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The collected lectures summarize the contents of the course "Physical methods in Inorganic Chemistry". I first time gave this course in 1981 at the University of Milano, then from 2000 to 2017 at the University of Milano-Bicocca. The reader is here conducted, by using the symmetry properties of the molecules, to understand the chemical bond models of inorganic and coordination compounds. Special emphasis was given to the crystal field theory and to the possibility of designing the energy level trends by electronic spectra and magnetic measurements. In 1981 this was a research topic, which then became routine investigation to be regularly taught.

When I am preparing the course the first time, my daughter Diletta was 3 years and she asked me each evening to repeat the lecture of the day after, sat on her small bad. In two years she was able and want to directly write on the transparency I reported here as cover, at that time used during the lecture. I dedicate this lecture collection her, who is now a young women, is an economist and fortunately she did not decide to follow the mother traces.
The knowledge of the molecular nature of matter and of its changes constitutes at the present the necessary pathway to understand the chemical properties and the reactivity. The goal is, basing on molecular properties, also inclusive of the electronic properties, to reach more valuable and extensive laws in chemistry. The complexity of studying even a simple molecule suggests that approximate methods have to be used in the quantum mechanical treatment, taking also into account the macroscopic peculiarities of the chemicals, e.g. the shape.

In the case of inorganic molecules a convenient approximation comes from the symmetry, which constrains the electronic energies and the chemical bonds. Thus the present text will give special emphasis to the symmetry rules and will compare the use of symmetry operators with that of Hamiltonian operators. If possible, also the reactivity of the molecules will be rationalized in terms of symmetry properties. Electronic spectroscopy and magnetism will be used to provide experimental confirmation of the electronic energy levels.

The book is a collection of lectures, thus it cannot be considered exhaustive for the exam preparation; however it constitutes a useful guideline to understand the essential role of symmetry in approaching the chemical properties of inorganic and coordination compounds.
1. The electronic structure determination

This is a peculiar physical problem, and only later in the time, with respect to the atomic structure determination by physical scientists, the chemists investigated the molecular case of study. Expectedly the preferential object for chemists lies in the rationalization of the reactivity, where also a number of experimental data are considered. With this in mind the problem solving pathway is in the following:

**i) The Schrödinger equation** \( H\Psi_n = E\Psi_n \) is very difficult to be resolved for a molecular system, being the \( E_n \) values impossible to be calculated in the absence of \( H \) and \( \Psi_n \) expressions.

**ii) Hypotheses on** \( \Psi_n \) and/or \( H \) **have to be made** in order to optimize \( \Psi_n \), by variation or perturbation methods.

**iii) The eigenvalues of the Schrödinger equation are calculated** by assuming approximated values of \( \langle \Psi_n^* | H | \Psi_n \rangle \) in agreement with the peculiar properties of the compound.

**iv) The calculated eigenvalues are compared with the experimental ones**, derived from electronic spectra, in order to obtain structure-chemical property relations.

1.1 **Variation method (molecular orbital linear combination of atomic orbitals, MO LCAO)**

The hypothesis on \( \Psi_n \) is that it consists in a linear combinations of atomic orbitals

\[ \Psi_j = \sum_r c_{jr} \phi_r \]
The hypothesis on $H$ is absent

For a biatomic bielectronic system

$\Psi = C_1 \phi_1 + C_2 \phi_2\ E = E (C_1, C_2)$

$E = \int \Psi^* H \Psi \ d\tau / \int \Psi^* \Psi \ d\tau =$

$\int \left[ (C_1 \phi_1 + C_2 \phi_2)^* H (C_1 \phi_1 + C_2 \phi_2) \ d\tau \right] / \left[ (C_1 \phi_1 + C_2 \phi_2)^* (C_1 \phi_1 + C_2 \phi_2) \right] \ d\tau =$

$\int \left[ (C_1 \phi_1 + C_2 \phi_2)^* H (C_1 \phi_1 + C_2 \phi_2) / [C_1 \phi_1 + C_2 \phi_2] \ d\tau \right]$

if $\int \phi_1^* \phi_j \ d\tau = H_{ij}$ and $\int \phi_i^* \phi_j \ d\tau = S_{ij}$

$E = C_1^2 H_{11} + 2 C_1 C_2 H_{12} + C_2^2 H_{22} / C_1^2 S_{11} + 2 C_1 C_2 S_{12} + C_2^2 S_{22}$

The energies have to be minimum varying $C_1$ and $C_2$ (variation condition)

$\frac{dE}{dc_1} = 0 \quad (A)$

$\frac{dE}{dc_2} = 0$

$(A)$ is a two equation linear system, to derive $E_{1,2}$ and $C_{1,2}$ values.

$E_{1,2}$ are depending on the Coulomb, and on the Resonance and Overlap integrals, which are difficult to be calculated.

The system $(A)$ becomes

$C_1 (H_{21} - E S_{21}) + C_2 (H_{12} - E S_{12}) = 0$

$C_1 (H_{21} - E S_{21}) + C_2 (H_{22} - E S_{22}) = 0$

One solution is $C_1 = C_2 = 0 \quad \Psi = 0$

The other ones may be obtained from the following determinant:

$$\begin{vmatrix}
H_{11} - ES_{11} & H_{12} - ES_{12} \\
H_{21} - ES_{21} & H_{22} - ES_{22}
\end{vmatrix} = 0$$

If the two atoms are the same

$(H_{11} - E)^2 - (H_{12} - S_{12} E)^2 = 0$

Given $S_{11} = S_{22} = 1 \quad S_{12} = S_{21} \quad H_{11} = H_{22} \quad H_{12} = H_{21}$
\[ E_1 = \frac{H_{11} + H_{12}}{1 + S_{12}} \quad \frac{E_1 = H_{11} - H_{12}}{1 - S_{12}} \]

The determinant dimension is equal to the number of atomic orbitals used in the linear combination. The \( E \) energy values, substituted in (A) and combined with the additional equation \( C_1^2 + C_2^2 = 1 \) allow to calculate \( C \) coefficients.

\( S_{ij} \) is obtained from the molecular structure. \( H_{ij} \) cannot be precisely determined for complex molecules and all methods denominated MO LCAO differ in the different approximations to calculate the \( H_{ij} \) integrals.

The calculations of both energies and the atomic orbital coefficients can be done by solving an eigenvalues eigenvector equation.

The most simple approximation is the Hückel approximation essentially based on symmetry properties and frequently used for organic molecules.

### 1.2 Hückel requirements

1) Resonance integrals = 0 for unconnected atoms,
\[ = \beta \text{ (scalar value) for connected ones} \]

2) Coulomb integrals = \( \alpha \text{ (scalar value)} \)

3) Overlap integrals = 1 for connected atoms,
\[ = 0 \text{ for unconnected ones} \]

The results of calculation, obtained by solving the linear system (secular determinant), allow to express the energies as a function of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), then determined on the basis of the experimental absorption.

### 1.2.1 The case of the allyl anion (MO-LCAO of \( \pi \) orbitals)

The Hückel method allows to obtain the energy of MO \( \pi \) orbitals, constituted by three \( p \) atomic orbitals of the three carbon atoms (Scheme 1), as eigenvalues of the secular determinant. At the same time the coefficients of the atomic orbitals within the molecular orbitals are obtained as eigenvectors. Being \( \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3 \) the three \( \pi \) atomic orbitals corresponding to the three carbon atoms, the three MO take the following increasing energies (eigenvalues):

\[ \alpha + \beta (2)^{1/2} \quad \alpha \quad \alpha - \beta (2)^{1/2} \]

The corresponding eigenvectors are:
Basing on these results, the electron density on the allyl ion is concentrated on the terminal carbons, in fact:

If we define the electron density on the r atom as
\[ q_r = -\sum_j n_j c_{jr}^2 \]
where \( n_j \) is the electron number in the jth MO and \( c_{jr} \) is the coefficient of the rth atomic orbital (AO) in the jth MO.

For the filled orbitals we obtain
\[ q_1 = -\frac{3}{2}, \quad q_2 = -1, \quad q_3 = -\frac{3}{2} \]

The electronic charge located on the terminal C atoms is higher than on the intermediate one, thus we can expect that the reactions involving a dipolar interaction are oriented in a way as the terminal atoms interact with the positive pole of the reactant, e.g.

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{CH}=\text{CH}_2 + \text{R-MgX}^+ \rightarrow \text{CH}_2=\text{CH}-\text{CH}_2^- + \text{RH} + \text{MgX}^+ \\
^-\text{CH}_2\text{CH}=\text{CH}_2 + \text{MgX}^+ \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{MgX}-\text{CH} = \text{CH}_2
\]

### 1.3 Extended Hückel procedure

In this approximation for \( H_{ij} \) is taken the valence state ionization potential. \( H_{ij} \) is approximated by \( 1/2k S_{ij} (H_{ii} + H_{jj}) \) still obtained from the ionization data.

Tables 1 and 2 reports the atomic orbitals (AO) coefficients calculated by the extended Hückel approximation, for a single molecular orbital (MO), in the allyl ion (Scheme 2)
Table 1 - Extended Hückel coefficients for allyl anion

(The vertical numbers correspond to \( \text{A.O.} \)'s and the horizontal ones to \( \text{M.O.} \)'s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A.O.'s</th>
<th>M.O.'s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1.80 -0.00 0.24 -0.00 0.27 -0.00 0.25 -0.00 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.00 0.00 0.00 -0.00 0.00 -0.00 0.00 -0.00 -0.97 -0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.51 -0.00 -0.35 0.00 -1.31 0.00 -0.24 0.00 -0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.00 -1.28 -0.00 -0.68 -0.00 -0.29 -0.00 -0.00 -0.00 -0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.76 1.12 0.87 -0.38 -0.01 0.22 0.01 -0.00 -0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.00 -0.00 -0.00 0.00 0.00 -0.00 0.00 0.65 -0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-0.43 -0.53 0.31 -0.58 0.30 0.62 -0.65 0.00 -0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.68 -0.13 0.58 -0.89 -0.53 -0.54 0.15 -0.00 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.76 -1.12 0.87 0.33 -0.01 -0.22 0.01 -0.00 -0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-0.00 -0.00 -0.00 0.00 -0.00 0.00 -0.00 0.65 -0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-0.43 0.53 0.31 0.58 0.30 -0.62 -0.65 -0.00 -0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.68 -0.13 -0.58 -0.89 0.53 -0.54 -0.15 0.00 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.28 0.00 -0.30 0.00 -1.17 0.00 -0.61 -0.00 -0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-0.07 -0.48 -0.61 0.49 0.46 0.68 -0.59 0.00 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.15 -0.09 -0.75 0.82 -0.03 -0.55 0.61 -0.00 -0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.15 0.09 -0.75 -0.82 -0.03 0.55 0.161 0.00 0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{C} & : 1 -0.00 0.01 -0.00 0.00 -0.09 0.33 -0.00 0.48 \\
2 & : -0.00 -0.00 -0.56 0.00 -0.00 -0.00 -0.36 -0.17 -0.00 0.00 \\
3 & : -0.00 0.33 -0.00 -0.00 -0.00 -0.36 -0.17 -0.00 0.01 \\
4 & : -0.49 -0.06 -0.00 -0.30 -0.00 -0.00 -0.36 -0.17 -0.00 0.16 -0.00 \\
5 & : -0.14 -0.05 -0.00 -0.03 -0.00 -0.00 -0.36 -0.17 -0.00 0.44 -0.36 \\
6 & : -0.00 -0.35 -0.00 -0.00 -0.00 -0.00 -0.36 -0.17 -0.00 0.00 -0.00 \\
7 & : -0.12 -0.35 -0.00 -0.00 -0.00 -0.00 -0.36 -0.17 -0.00 0.01 -0.00 \\
8 & : 0.41 0.00 -0.00 -0.00 0.37 -0.12 -0.12 0.01 -0.00 0.00 -0.00 \\
9 & : 0.14 0.05 -0.00 0.03 -0.03 -0.20 -0.44 -0.34 0.36 0.36 -0.00 \\
10 & : 0.00 0.00 -0.40 0.00 -0.00 -0.00 -0.00 -0.00 0.00 0.00 -0.00 \\
11 & : 0.12 -0.05 -0.00 -0.37 -0.12 -0.12 -0.01 -0.00 0.00 -0.00 -0.00 \\
12 & : 0.41 -0.00 -0.00 0.07 -0.29 0.14 0.02 -0.00 -0.00 0.00 -0.00 \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H} & : 13 0.00 -0.40 -0.00 0.00 0.00 0.27 0.32 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 \\
14 & : 0.37 0.26 0.00 -0.15 0.27 -0.15 0.17 0.04 0.04 \\
15 & : -0.07 -0.33 0.00 0.36 0.02 -0.23 0.19 0.04 0.04 \\
16 & : 0.07 -0.33 0.00 -0.36 0.02 -0.23 -0.19 -0.05 \\
17 & : -0.37 0.26 -0.00 0.15 0.27 -0.15 -0.17 -0.04 0.04 \\
\end{align*}
\]

M.O.'s 10 to 17 have \( 2\pi^* \)

- \( ^* \) has \( \text{C} \)
- \( ^{1\text{to }8} \) empty

Atomic Orbitals 1-4, 5-8, and 9-12 are carbon orbitals in the order \( 2s, 2p_x, 2p_y, 2p_z \) for each atom.

A.O.'s 13 to 17 are hydrogen 1s.
Table 2 - Energy of MO in allyl anion. M.O. 12

Is the lowest energy occupied molecular orbital with \( \pi \) symmetry. It is composed by - 0.40 \( 2p_z(C_2) \), - 0.56 \( 2p_z(C_1) \), - 0.40 \( 2p_z(C_3) \)  \( E = -14.03 \) eV. This is in agreement with the results of the simple Hückel approach.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M.O.</th>
<th>Energy (eV)</th>
<th>Occ.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>53.22</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>36.55</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>28.31</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>22.97</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>18.76</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-4.63</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-10.16</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-12.67</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-13.83</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-14.03</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-15.01</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-15.96</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-19.40</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-22.83</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In all cases until now considered it is mandatory to select the atomic orbitals which have to be used in the linear combination and give the MO. At the maximum limit all AO can be employed. However it is not convenient to consider all the orbitals, because some of them give no relevant contribution to MO (see allyl anion Table of M.O and A.O.)

**How to select those which give the maximum contribution?** Let we consider that the MO shape should reflect the symmetry of the molecule and the AO have to be combined in agreement to this symmetry. In order to control the symmetry of MO we have to use the *Group Theory.*

**WHY?**
Let we consider firstly the symmetry operations of a molecule, which are the motions of the molecule reproducing it unchanged.

Table 3 - Symmetry elements and associated operations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symmetry Operation</th>
<th>Symmetry Element</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>identity</td>
<td></td>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>all molecules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reflection</td>
<td>plane</td>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>$H_2O$, $BF_3$ (plane)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inversion</td>
<td>point (center of symmetry)</td>
<td>$i$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proper rotation</td>
<td>axis</td>
<td>$C_n$ (n-order)</td>
<td>$NH_3$, $H_2O$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>improper rotation</td>
<td>axis and plane</td>
<td>$S_n$ (n-order)</td>
<td>ethane, ferrocene (staggered structure)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Ferrocene is staggered and possesses an $S_n$ improper rotation axis.

As example the clockwise rotation on the $BCl_3$ molecule and the reflection by a mirror plane for the $H_2O$ molecule

Since the molecular energy is time invariant and independent of the position of the molecule, the Hamiltonian must be invariant under a symmetry operation. Thus any symmetry operation is associated to a symmetry operator which commutes with the Hamiltonian i.e $HR = RH$ ( $R$ is the symmetry operator associated to the symmetry operation). If we take the wave equation $\hat{H}\Psi_n = E\Psi_n$ and multiply each side by the symmetry operator $R$, we get
\[ HR\Psi_n = ER\Psi_n \] thus \( R\Psi_n \) is an eigenfunction.

Being \( \Psi_n \) normalized, it is normalized also \( R\Psi_n \), that is \( \int R\Psi_n R\Psi_n^* \, dt = 1 \) \( dt \) is the coordinate space

\[ R\Psi_n = \pm 1\Psi_n \]

The molecular eigenfunction do not vary under the symmetry operators of the molecular point symmetry group, in analogy to the irreducible representation base function (see further details in the group theory chapter).

### 1.4 Group Theory

The point groups collect the possible symmetry operations for a given molecule.

**Table 4 - Symmetry elements in some common point groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point Group</th>
<th>Symmetry Elements</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( C_1 )</td>
<td>no symmetry</td>
<td>SiBrClF3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_2 )</td>
<td>one ( C_2 ) axis</td>
<td>( H_2O )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_{nm} )</td>
<td>one ( n )-fold axis and a horizontal plane ( \sigma ), which must be perpendicular to the ( n )-fold axis</td>
<td>\text{trans-C}_2H_2Cl_2 (( C_2h ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_{nv} )</td>
<td>one ( C_2 ) axis and two ( \sigma ) planes</td>
<td>( H_2O, SO_2Cl_2, SiCl_2Br_2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_{web} )</td>
<td>one ( C_2 ) axis and three ( \sigma ) planes</td>
<td>( NH_3, CH_3Cl, POCl_3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D_{2h} )</td>
<td>three ( C_2 ) axes all ( \perp ), two ( \sigma ) planes, one ( \sigma_n ) plane, and a center of symmetry</td>
<td>( N_2O_4 ) (planar)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D_{sh} )</td>
<td>one ( C_2 ), three ( C_2 ) axes ( \perp ) to ( C_2 ), three ( \sigma ) planes and one ( \sigma_n )</td>
<td>( BCl_3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D_{sd} )</td>
<td>three ( C_2 ) axes, two ( \sigma ) planes and one ( S ) (coincident with one ( C_2 ))</td>
<td>( H_2C=C=CH_2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_d )</td>
<td>three ( C_2 ) axes ( \perp ) to each other, four ( C_2 ), six ( \sigma ) and three ( S ) containing ( C_2 )</td>
<td>( CH_4, SiC_4 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^* \) All point group possess the identity element, \( E \).

The **following flow chart** (Scheme 3) provides a systematic way to approach the classifications of molecules in their proper point groups, through identification of the symmetry operations.
1.4.1 Rules for the elements which constitute a group

1. the product of groups elements must still produce a group element.
   For product is intended the combination of two operations, performed in a given order.
   e.g. \( C_2 \times \sigma_v \) or \( \sigma_v \times C_2 \)

2. It is mandatory the existence of one operation commuting with the others and leaving them unchanged. This is the identity element
   \( E \times \sigma_2 = \sigma_2 \times E = \sigma_2 \)

3. The associative property must be applicable
   \( A(B(C)) = A(BC) \)

4. Each element must have a reciprocal among the group elements. For each symmetry operation there will be another one which anneals the first. \( C_3 \) has \( C_3^2 \) as reciprocal element, thus \( C_3 \times C_3^2 = E \)

1.4.2 Group multiplication tables

Let we consider the ammonia molecule \( \text{NH}_3 \) and its symmetry operations \( E, 2C_3, 3\sigma_v \) in \( C_{3v} \) group.
If we examine the combination of two symmetry operations the following matrix has been obtained.
The matrix elements (Table 5) indicate that the group can be decomposed in subgroups which are smaller groups of elements satisfying all the requirements of group theory, e.g. $E, C_3, C_3^2, \sigma_v, \sigma_v', \sigma_v''$.

**1.4.3 Representation of symmetry operations by matrices**

For the point $P$ with cartesian $x\ y\ z$ coordinates, when undergone the *identity operation*, the following matrix notation can be assumed where the ternary matrix corresponds to the identity operation, $X\ Y\ Z'$ represents the vector undergone the identity symmetry operation; that leads

$$\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
X \\
Y \\
Z
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
X' \\
Y' \\
Z'
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$X=X'\ Y=Y'\ Z=Z'$$
the result of the operation $\sigma_{yz}$ is

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
X \\
Y \\
Z
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
X' \\
Y' \\
Z'
\end{bmatrix}
\]

That of $\sigma_{xz}$ is

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
X \\
Y \\
Z
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
X' \\
Y' \\
Z'
\end{bmatrix}
\]

For the inversion operation the equation is

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
X \\
Y \\
Z
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
X' \\
Y' \\
Z'
\end{bmatrix}
\]

For the clockwise rotation of the point $x, y$ (vector $P$) by a $\phi$ angle the rotation around the $z$ axis does not change the $z$ component

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
x' \\
y' \\
z'
\end{bmatrix}
\]

As for the $x$ and $y$ components each of them become a linear combination of both $x$ and $y$ components.
In total for both coordinates

\[
\begin{align*}
x_2 &= x_1 \cos \varphi + y_1 \sin \varphi \\
y_2 &= -x_1 \sin \varphi + y_1 \cos \varphi
\end{align*}
\]

Writing in a matrix form these equations for a clockwise proper rotation of two coordinates

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \varphi & \sin \varphi \\
-\sin \varphi & \cos \varphi
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
x_1 \\
y_1
\end{bmatrix}
=
\begin{bmatrix}
x_2 \\
y_2
\end{bmatrix}
\]

For all three coordinates

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \varphi & \sin \varphi & 0 \\
-\sin \varphi & \cos \varphi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

In the particular case of C\textsubscript{2} rotation

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The multiplication of two symmetry operations can be associated to the multiplication of the corresponding matrices

\[
\sigma_{xz} \times \sigma_{xz} = E
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
=
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

1.5 \textit{Irreducible representations}

Let we consider a molecule belonging to C\textsubscript{2v} symmetry group (e.g. the H\textsubscript{2}O molecule) and the matrices representing the group operations based on the three cartesian coordinates
Each matrix is composed by three one dimension matrices, which lie on the diagonal. If we are concerned with a one dimensional vector like \( \{x, 0, 0\} \), only the first row of the total set of the four matrices is necessary to represent the behaviour of vector \( \{1, -1, 1, -1\} \). The row is called irreducible representation and takes the denomination of \( B_1 \). The irreducible representation for \( \{0, y, 0\} \) is \( \{1, -1, -1, 1\} \), labeled \( B_2 \), that for \( \{0, 0, z\} \) is \( \{1, 1, 1, 1\} \) labeled \( A_1 \).

If a different object (function or operation) is selected to be base of the \( C_{2v} \) symmetry operations, e.g. the \( C_2 \) rotation, also the behaviour of this object can be associated to an irreducible representation, in this case \( \{1, 1, -1, -1\} \), labeled \( A_2 \).

### 1.5.1 Character Table of groups

The table of Characters associated to the \( C_{2v} \) group is

\[
\begin{align*}
E & \quad C_2 & \quad \sigma_xz & \quad \sigma_{yz} \\
\begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
\end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix}
-1 \\
-1 \\
-1 \\
1 \\
\end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
-1 \\
-1 \\
1 \\
\end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix}
-1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

where the first column reports the name of the irreducible representation of the corresponding row and the last column indicates the base objects undergoing the symmetry transformations. As for the label of the irreducible representations:

A and B refer to representations which have one single function as base of the symmetry operations, A in particular has +1 character and B has -1 for the \( C_n \) operation; 1 and 2 refer to the symmetric and antisymmetric role of the the \( \sigma_{xz} \) operation.
Let us consider the $\text{NH}_3$ molecule and its symmetry operations.

For $\sigma_{v}$

\[ E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad C_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\ -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \]

For $\sigma'_{v}$ and $\sigma''_{v}$

\[ \sigma'_{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \sigma''_{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\ -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \]

The table of characters for the $C_{3v}$ group is
In the first column is the representation label, where $E$ is a bidimensional representation, that means has a double base function.
In the second there are the trace of matrices, in the third and forth the base functions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$C_{3v}$</th>
<th>$E$</th>
<th>$2C_3$</th>
<th>$3\sigma_v$</th>
<th>$x^2 + y^2, z^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_1$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$z$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_2$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>$R_z$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$(x, y) R_x R_y$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.5.2 Factoring the total representation into irreducible representation

Let we consider the $H_2O$ molecule, having $C_{2v}$ symmetry, and attribute 3 cartesian coordinates to each atom. Looking at the symmetry representations, for the $E$ operation

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
x_o \\
y_o \\
z_o \\
x_a \\
y_a \\
z_a
\end{bmatrix}
=
\begin{bmatrix}
x'_o \\
y'_o \\
z'_o \\
x'_a \\
y'_a \\
z'_a
\end{bmatrix}
\]

For the $C_2$ operation

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-1 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
x_o \\
y_o \\
z_o \\
x_a \\
y_a \\
z_a \\
x_b \\
y_b \\
z_b
\end{bmatrix}
=
\begin{bmatrix}
x'_o \\
y'_o \\
z'_o \\
x'_a \\
y'_a \\
z'_a \\
x'_b \\
y'_b \\
z'_b
\end{bmatrix}
\]
similarly complicated matrices can be constructed for $\sigma_{xz}$ and $\sigma_{yz}$ operations. The traces of the matrices result

\[
\chi_T = \begin{pmatrix}
E & C_2 & \sigma_{xz} & \sigma_{yz}' \\
9 & -1 & 1 & 3
\end{pmatrix}
\]

They can be more easily obtained with the following rules, as alternative to construct the complete representation matrices:

i) Any vector which is unchanged by the symmetry operations contributes +1

ii) Any vector which changes into its opposite by the symmetry operations contributes -1

iii) Any vector which changes in another one under the symmetry operations contributes 0.

By these rules it can be constructed the character of the total (reducible) representation. The character is used to decompose the reducible representation into irreducible ones by the following theorem.

\[
a_i = \frac{1}{h} \sum_R g \chi_i(R) \chi_T(R)
\]

Where $a_i$ is the number of irreducible representations of type $i$ contained in the total one $t$, $\chi_i$ and $\chi_t$ are the characters under the different symmetry operations $R$ added each other for all the operations, $h$ is the number of the group operations, $g$ the number of operations having the same character (class).

For the water molecule, having $C_{2v}$ symmetry group

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{4_1} &= \frac{1}{4} \left( g_{Z_A}(E) \chi_T(E) + g_{Z_A}(C_2) \chi_T(C_2) + g_{X_A} (\sigma_x) \chi_T(\sigma_x) + g_{X_A} (\sigma_x') \chi_T(\sigma_x') \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \left[ 1 \times 1 \times 9 + 1 \times 1 \times (-1) + 1 \times 1 \times 1 + 1 \times 1 \times 3 \right] = 3 \\
\alpha_{4_2} &= \frac{1}{4} \left[ 1 \times 1 \times 9 + 1 \times 1 \times (-1) + 1 \times (-1) \times 1 + 1 \times (-1) \times 3 \right] = \\
\alpha_{2_1} &= \frac{1}{4} \left[ 1 \times 1 \times 9 + 1 \times (-1) \times (-1) + 1 \times 1 \times 1 + 1 \times (-1) \times 3 \right] = \\
\alpha_{2_2} &= \frac{1}{4} \left[ 1 \times 1 \times 9 + 1 \times (-1) \times (-1) + 1 \times (-1) \times 1 + 1 \times (-1) \times 3 \right] =
\end{align*}
\]
The total representation consists of the sum of $3A_1 1A_2 2B_1 3B_2$ irreducible representations, for a total number of 9 representations.

1.5.3 Relation between molecular wave functions and irreducible representations

Let we still consider that the energy of a molecule is unchanged by carrying out a symmetry operation, as well as the Hamiltonian too. Thus a symmetry operator $R$ commutes with the Hamiltonian operator.

$HR = RH$

If we take the wave equation $H\Psi_i = E\Psi_i$ and multiply each side by the symmetry operation $R$, we obtain $HR\Psi_i = ER\Psi_i$ due to the commutation of operators. $R\Psi_i$ is the eigenfunction $R\Psi_i = \pm 1\Psi_i$ and $\Psi_i$ results basis of irreducible representation.

1.5.4 Obtaining molecular orbitals with a given symmetry

Given the symmetry properties of the molecular orbitals, it appears not mandatory but very convenient that the molecular eigenfunctions were chosen as symmetry adapted linear combination of atomic orbitals. They supply the operative bases to perform the MO calculation, avoiding the long and useless calculations necessary in the case the eigenfunctions are not bases of irreducible representation.

Let we consider the nitrite anion (Scheme 4).

Taking as operative basis the three p orbitals, in a $C_{2v}$ symmetry group, the following values are obtained:

$$
\begin{array}{c|ccc}
C_{2v} & E & C_2 & \sigma_v(xz) & \sigma_v'(yz) \\
\hline
3 & -1 & +1 & -3
\end{array}
$$

to describe the behaviour of the reducible representation. This later can be decomposed into $A_2 + 2B_1$ irreducible representations. We can now use the projection operators,
working on the basis set of the three p orbitals, and annihilate any element in the basis set that does not contribute to a given irreducible representation. We generally work on an atomic orbital \( \phi_i \) (\( i = 1, 2, 3 \)), e.g. the p orbital of the atom \( i \), with the operator

\[
\hat{P} = \frac{l}{h} \sum_{R} \chi_{R} \hat{R}
\]

\( \hat{R} \) is the symmetry operation, \( \chi_{R} \) is the character of the symmetry operator, \( l \) is the dimension of the irreducible representation, \( h \) is the group order (number of group operations). The sum is extended over all the symmetry operations. If we operate on \( \phi_1 \) with the \( A_2 \) symmetry operator

\[
\psi (\text{for } A_2) = \hat{P}(A_2)\phi_1 = \frac{1}{4} \left[ (1)E\phi_1 + (1)C_2\phi_1 + (-1)\sigma_v\phi_1 + (-1)\sigma_d\phi_1 \right]
\]

The numbers in parenthesis are the elements of the \( A_2 \) irreducible representation, and \( \phi_1 \) is the atomic orbital to be modified under the symmetry operation

\[
\hat{P}(A_2)\phi_1 = \frac{1}{4} \left[ (1)\phi_1 + (1)(-1)\phi_2 + (-1)(1)\phi_3 + (-1)(-1)\phi_1 \right]
\]

\[
= N[\phi_1 - \phi_3]
\]

If we operate on the \( \phi_2 \) orbital

\[
\hat{P}(A_2)\phi_2 = \frac{1}{4} \left[ (1)\phi_2 + (1)(-1)\phi_2 + (-1)(1)\phi_2 + (-1)(-1)\phi_2 \right] = 0
\]

That means to remove (annihilate) the \( \phi_2 \) orbital from the linear combination having \( A_2 \) symmetry.

The coefficient determination results

\[
\int (C_1\phi_1 - C_1\phi_3)^2 \, d\tau = C_1^2 \int \phi_1^2 \, d\tau - 2C_1^2 \int \phi_1 \phi_3 \, d\tau + C_1^2 \int \phi_3^2 \, d\tau = 1
\]

\[
\int \phi_1^2 \, d\tau = 1; \quad \int \phi_1 \phi_3 \, d\tau = 0; \quad \text{and} \quad \int \phi_3^2 \, d\tau = 1.
\]
\(2C_1^2 = 1\) and the normalized function \((1/2)^{1/2} (\phi_1 - \phi_3)\)

No eigenfunctions with \(A_1\) symmetry are expected from the decomposition of the reducible representation

\[
P(A_1)\phi_1 = \frac{1}{4} [(1)(1)\phi_1 + (1)(-1)\phi_3 + (1)(1)\phi_3 + (1)(-1)\phi_1] = 0
\]

Nor with \(B_2\)

\[
P(B_2)\phi_1 = \frac{1}{4} [(1)\phi_1 + (+1)\phi_3 + (-1)\phi_3 + (-1)\phi_1] = 0
\]

Instead two eigenfunctions with \(B_1\) symmetry are expected

\[
P(B_1)\phi_1 = \frac{1}{4} [(1)(1)\phi_1 + (-1)(-1)\phi_3 + (1)(1)\phi_3 + (-1)(-1)\phi_1] \nonumber
\]

\[
= N[\phi_1 + \phi_3]
\]

\[
P(B_1)\phi_2 = \frac{1}{4} [(1)(1)\phi_2 + (-1)(-1)\phi_2 + (1)(1)\phi_2 + (-1)(-1)\phi_2] = \phi_2
\]

These two eigenfunctions with identical symmetry can mix as

\[
\psi_1 = a\phi_1 + b\phi_2 + a\phi_3
\]

\[
\psi_2 = a'\phi_1 - b'\phi_2 + a'\phi_3
\]

Significantly the magnitude of \(a\) and \(b\) cannot be determined by only symmetry considerations; we can picture however the three symmetry adapted molecular orbitals

\[\text{Scheme 5 - Symmetry adapted orbitals of nitrite anion}\]
in terms of $B_1$ ligand orbital involving the in phase linear combination of three atomic p orbitals, $B_1$ antiligand orbital involving the out of phase linear combination, $A_2$ non ligand orbital involving the combination of only two p atomic orbitals located on non adjacent atoms. This can give a precise idea of the electronic distribution among the symmetry eigenfunctions built by $\pi$ atomic orbitals.
2. Symmetry orbitals for different bis and polyatomic molecules

The description will involve the examples of \( \text{H}_2 \) and the linear diatomic omonuclear molecules of the periodic table first row, of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}, \text{NH}_3, \text{CO}, \text{CH}_4 \) molecules.

\( \text{H}_2 \) The molecule belongs to the \( \text{D}_{\infty h} \) symmetry group, as well as all the diatomic omonuclear molecules of the periodic table first row, reported below. Assuming as atomic base function that constituted by two s orbitals, \( \phi_1 \) and \( \phi_2 \), the character tables for this base becomes:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
\text{D}_{\infty h} & \text{E} & C_{\infty}^{-\phi} & \sigma_v & i & S_{\infty}^{-\phi} & C_{2v} \\
\chi_t & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

\( \chi_t \) indicates the total representation of the base of the two s orbitals.

If the characters of the two irreducible representations \( \Sigma_g^+ \) and \( \Sigma_u^+ \) are considered, the total representation results the sum of both \( \chi_t = \Sigma_g^+ + \Sigma_u^+ \).

By using the projection operator, new symmetry eigenfunctions can be obtained

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\Sigma_{\infty}^+ & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\Sigma_{u}^+ & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
\Phi_1 & \phi_1 & \phi_1 & \phi_1 & \phi_1 & \phi_2 & \phi_2 \\
\Phi_2 & \phi_2 & \phi_2 & \phi_2 & \phi_1 & \phi_1 & \phi_1 \\
\Sigma_{g}^+ = \phi_1 + \phi_2 \text{ (bonding)} \text{ and } \Sigma_{u}^+ = \phi_1 - \phi_2 \text{ (antibonding)}, \text{ in the following energy scheme}
\end{array}
\]
2.1 Omonuclear diatomic molecules A-A of the periodic table first row

We assume the following base of atomic orbitals

For the \( 2s \) orbitals

\[
\varphi_1 = 2s_A \quad \varphi_2 = 2s_B \quad \text{leads to}
\]

\[
\Sigma^+_g = \varphi_1 + \varphi_2 \quad (bonding) \quad \Sigma^+_u = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2 \quad (antibonding)
\]

For the \( 2p \) orbitals

\[
\varphi_1^A = 2p_x \quad \varphi_2^A = 2p_y \quad \varphi_3^A = 2p_z; \quad \varphi_4^B = 2p_x \quad \varphi_5^B = 2p_y \quad \varphi_6^B = 2p_z
\]

\[\text{D}_{\infty h}\] indicates the total representation of the base containing the six \( p \) orbitals.

Looking at the Character Tables of the linear groups

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
C_{\infty v} & E & C_{\infty v} & \sigma_v & i & C_{2v} \\
\Xi^+ & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\Xi^- & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\
\Pi^+ & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 2 \cos \varphi \\
\Pi^- & 1 & 1 & 0 & -2 & 2 \cos \varphi
\end{array}
\]

and considering the character table of the irreducible representations of the \( \text{D}_{\infty h} \) group

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
\text{D}_{\infty h} & E & C_{\infty v} & \sigma_v & i & C_{2v} \\
\Sigma^+_g & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\Sigma^+_u & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
\Pi^+_g & 2 & 2 \cos \varphi & 0 & 2 & -2 \cos \varphi \\
\Pi^-_u & 2 & 2 \cos \varphi & 0 & -2 & 2 \cos \varphi
\end{array}
\]
the base of six p atomic orbitals allows to build the following symmetry orbitals reported in a general scheme 6 with the s based atomic orbitals where the number before the symmetry orbital indicates the progression of orbitals of analogous symmetry.

Scheme 6 – General energy trend for the first row biatomic molecules

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_{2p_z} &= (2p_{zA} + 2p_{zB}) \\
\psi_{3p_z} &= (2p_{zA} - 2p_{zB}) \\
\phi_{1s_+} &= (2p_{xA} + 2p_{xB}) \quad (2p_{yA} + 2p_{yB}) \\
\phi_{1s_-} &= (2p_{xA} - 2p_{xB}) \quad (2p_{yA} - 2p_{yB})
\end{align*}
\]

The scheme 7 shows the different energy trends for the first row biatomic molecules labeled (a) and for those labeled (b), and indicates that for the molecules (b) an energy inversion of \( \sigma^+_g \) and \( \pi_u \) is active. This is connected to the small energy difference between s and p orbitals of the interacting atoms.

Scheme 7 – Energy trend for the first row biatomic molecules as a function of the atomic species
On proceeding along the first row of the periodic table, increasing the atomic number, the electronic population in Table 6 is expected, where the bond strength of the molecules is associated to the number and the type of populated symmetry orbitals.

### Table 6 - Orbital population for the first row biatomic molecules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecule/ion</th>
<th>$2\sigma_0^+$</th>
<th>$2\sigma_0^-$</th>
<th>$3\sigma_0^+$</th>
<th>$1\pi_u$</th>
<th>$1\pi_g$</th>
<th>$3\sigma_P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li$_2$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be$_2$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B$_2$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_2$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$_2^+$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_2$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_2^+$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F$_2$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The overlap detail for the different orbitals is represented as

#### 2.2 Water molecule, as example of triatomic molecule

We assume the following base of atomic orbitals $\varphi_1 = 1s_H$, $\varphi_2 = 1s_{H_2}$, $\varphi_3 = 1s_O$, $\varphi_5 = 2p_{ox}$, $\varphi_6 = 2p_{oy}$, $\varphi_7 = 2p_{oz}$ (Scheme 8)
In $C_{2v}$ symmetry:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\chi_r$</th>
<th>$E$</th>
<th>$C_2$</th>
<th>$\sigma_{y}(xz)$</th>
<th>$\sigma'_{y}(yz)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_1$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_2$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_1$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_2$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\chi_r = 3A_1 + 2B_2 + B_1$

the $\phi_3$ orbital has energy too different from the other ones to be conveniently combined by symmetry rules. The remaining ones give rise, by the use of the projection operator, to symmetry adapted combinations associated to the $C_{2v}$ symmetry of $H_2O$.

Considering the representation table for the six base orbitals:

$C_{2v}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\phi_1$</th>
<th>$\phi_2$</th>
<th>$\phi_3$</th>
<th>$\phi_4$</th>
<th>$\phi_5$</th>
<th>$\phi_6$</th>
<th>$\phi_7$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_1$</td>
<td>$\phi_2$</td>
<td>$\phi_3$</td>
<td>$\phi_4$</td>
<td>$\phi_5$</td>
<td>$\phi_6$</td>
<td>$\phi_7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_1$</td>
<td>$\phi_2$</td>
<td>$\phi_3$</td>
<td>$\phi_4$</td>
<td>$\phi_5$</td>
<td>$\phi_6$</td>
<td>$\phi_7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_1$</td>
<td>$\phi_2$</td>
<td>$\phi_3$</td>
<td>$\phi_4$</td>
<td>$\phi_5$</td>
<td>$\phi_6$</td>
<td>$\phi_7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_1$</td>
<td>$\phi_2$</td>
<td>$\phi_3$</td>
<td>$\phi_4$</td>
<td>$\phi_5$</td>
<td>$\phi_6$</td>
<td>$\phi_7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_1$</td>
<td>$\phi_2$</td>
<td>$\phi_3$</td>
<td>$\phi_4$</td>
<td>$\phi_5$</td>
<td>$\phi_6$</td>
<td>$\phi_7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_1$</td>
<td>$\phi_2$</td>
<td>$\phi_3$</td>
<td>$\phi_4$</td>
<td>$\phi_5$</td>
<td>$\phi_6$</td>
<td>$\phi_7$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and having as objective the construction of the six symmetry adapted linear combinations of atomic orbitals (SALC):

$2A_1 \phi_1 + \phi_2 ; \phi_4 ; \phi_7 \rightarrow \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_4$

$1B_2 \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_6$
The numbers before the symmetry indicate the progression of orbitals of a given symmetry. The grey color indicates the negative sign of the function.

In the case we tentatively adopt a linear $D_{\infty h}$ symmetry (Scheme 9), the irreducible representation will be $2\sigma_g'$, $1 \sigma_u$, $\pi_u$, $3 \sigma_g'$, $2 \sigma_u'$, a lower number than $C_{2v}$, due to degeneracy of $\pi_u$. The lack of $\pi_u$ induces stabilization of $3a_1$. 

\begin{align*}
3A_1 & \quad \varphi_1 + \varphi_2 - \varphi_7 - \varphi_4, \\
1B_1 & \quad \varphi_5, \\
4A_1 & \quad \varphi_1 + \varphi_2 + \varphi_7 - \varphi_4, \\
2B_2 & \quad \varphi_1 - \varphi_2 + \varphi_6.
\end{align*}

Scheme 9 – $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ linear symmetry versus the bent one (the real one)
Scheme 10 – (A) energy level trend of the water symmetry orbitals (B) the same against HOH angle amplitude. $b_1$ and $b_2$ are exchanged from (A to (B).

The HOH angle of 105.5 ° amplitude is the best convenient to minimize the energy of the water molecule. In Scheme 10 is reported the energy trend (A) and the H-O binding energy (B) against HOH angle.

The Photoelectron spectrum of the water molecule (Figure 1) confirms the symmetry energy trend, excluding the presence of degenerate $\pi_u$ states.
Fig. 1 - Photoelectron spectrum of water, indicating the electronic transitions

2.3 Ammonia molecule, as example of tetratomic molecule

We assume the following base of atomic orbitals: $\phi_1 = 2s_N$
$\phi_2 = 2p_x$, $\phi_3 = 2p_y$, $\phi_4 = 2p_z$, $\phi_5 = 1s (H_5)$, $\phi_6 = 1s (H_6)$, $\phi_7 = 1s (H_7)$ (Scheme 11)

Referring to $C_{3v}$ symmetry, the characters of the reducible representation under the related symmetry operations are

\[
\begin{align*}
E & \quad 2C_3 & \quad 3\sigma_v \\
7 & \quad 1 & \quad 3
\end{align*}
\]

Decomposed into the irreducible ones:

\[3A_1 + 2 \ E\]
In order to apply the projection operator the following transformation table is compiled

**Transformation table of the base function**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C₃ᵥ</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>C₃</th>
<th>C₃ᵥ</th>
<th>σᵥ(H₅)</th>
<th>σᵥ(H₆)</th>
<th>σᵥ(H₇)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ϕ₁</td>
<td>ϕ₂</td>
<td>ϕ₃</td>
<td>ϕᵥ₁</td>
<td>ϕᵥ₂</td>
<td>ϕᵥ₃</td>
<td>ϕᵥ₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ϕ₂</td>
<td>(-1/2 ϕᵥ₂ -√3/2 ϕᵥ₃)</td>
<td>(-1/2 ϕᵥ₂ +√3/2 ϕᵥ₃)</td>
<td>ϕᵥ₂</td>
<td>(-1/2 ϕᵥ₂ -√3/2 ϕᵥ₃)</td>
<td>(-1/2 ϕᵥ₂ +√3/2 ϕᵥ₃)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ϕ₃</td>
<td>(V3/2 ϕᵥ₃ -1/2 ϕᵥ₂)</td>
<td>(-V3/2 ϕᵥ₃ -1/2 ϕᵥ₂)</td>
<td>-ψ₂</td>
<td>(V3/2 ϕᵥ₃ ,1/2 ϕᵥ₂)</td>
<td>(-V3/2 ϕᵥ₃ ,1/2 ϕᵥ₂)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By using the projection operator, applied to either not degenerate or degenerate representations (A₁ and E) the following symmetry orbitals are obtained.

2A₁ = ϕ₂ + ϕ₅ + ϕ₆ + ϕ₇

1Eₓ = -ϕ₂ -2ϕ₅ + ϕ₆ + ϕ₇
1Eᵧ = ϕ₃ + ϕ₆ - ϕ₇

37
\[ 3A_1 = -\varphi_1 + \varphi_5 + \varphi_6 + \varphi_7 - \varphi_4 \]

\[ 4A_1 = -\varphi_1 + \varphi_5 + \varphi_6 + \varphi_7 + \varphi_4 \]

\[ 2E_x = 2\varphi_5 + \varphi_6 + \varphi_7 - \varphi_2 \]
\[ 2E_y = \varphi_3 - \varphi_6 + \varphi_7 \]

Scheme 12 - Energy level trend of NH \textsubscript{3} symmetry orbitals
The scheme 12 reports the energy level trends of ammonia for different possible symmetries. The stabilization of $3a_1$ energy level in $C_{3v}$ symmetry, due to interaction with the ground state, is responsible for the stability of the pyramidal $C_{3v}$ structure.

2.4 Methane molecule, as example of pentatomic molecule

$T_d$ symmetry (Scheme 13) guarantees the highest stability for the CH$_4$ unit due to the decrease of $b_{1g}$ eigenfunction

![Scheme 13 – Energy level trend of methane symmetry orbitals](image)

2.5 Considerations about the models of chemical bond in use before the adoption of the symmetry molecular orbital model

In the time before the introduction of the molecular orbital model, two different models were proposed to rational-
ize the molecular shape, the reactivity and the electronic configuration. 1) the primitive Lewis model that does not consider any molecular shape and takes into account only the filling of the valence shell (8 electrons model); 2) the valence electron pair repulsion (VSEPR) theory which rationalizes the molecular shape in terms of the minimum pair repulsion, keeping the couples of bound atoms each ones separated in their interaction (also using hybrid orbitals). The symmetry adapted molecular orbitals takes as molecular orbitals those which are base of the symmetry group of the molecule.

Step by step the model implementation goes from the only consideration of the electron number, to the total consideration of electrons and orbitals of the molecules, assessing that the bonding is in charge to all the atomic orbitals, not only to those bonding two atoms. By this way (see water molecule) both the shape and some reactivity can be rationalized. The spectroscopic experimental data (XPS) are in agreement with this symmetry approach.

It can be suggested that the symmetry constitutes a unifying approach to treat the chemical bond, as well as the molecular symmetry has the function of including all the constituents of the chemical bonds in the entire molecule. No energy is implied in the symmetry operations, thus the symmetry is only a way to describe in easy way the electronic properties, and to suggest that the symmetry is a condition to whom the electrons must obey.

On the purpose of the unique value of the symmetry, it has been invoqued also in the music composition; it was suggested that several composers, mainly Ludwing Van Beethoven, assembled the musical text by using measures related by symmetry operations. This guaranties the correlation among the various sections of the text.
3. Perturbation theory

The hypothesis on $\Psi_n$ is that they consist of known solutions $\Psi_n^0$ of the equation

$$H^0 \Psi_n^0 = E_n^0 \Psi_n^0$$

The hypothesis on $H$ is that $H = H^0 + H'$ where $H'$ is the operator associated to the perturbation energy with respect to pristine $H^0$.

The following equation has to be solved

$$H \Psi_n = E_n \Psi_n$$

$$E_n = E_n^0 + <\Psi_0^0 | H' | \Psi_0^0>$$

$$\Psi_n = \Psi_n^0 + \sum_{k \neq n} <\Psi_k^0 | H' | \Psi_n^0> \times \Psi_k^0 / E_n^0 - E_k^0$$

Evidently the perturbed energy is the addition of the unperturbed one and of the perturbation energy obtained by the $H'$ operator.

The perturbed eigenfunctions are the unperturbed ones modified by the perturbation energy, weighted on the energy differences between the ground state and the excited interacting states.

Assuming as example a system whose unperturbed eigenfunctions are $\Psi_1^0$ and $\Psi_2^0$, corresponding to energies $E_1^0$ and $E_2^0$,

the determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} H_{11} - E & H_{12} \\ H_{21} & H_{22} - E \end{vmatrix}$$

where $H_{11} = <\Psi_1^0 | H | \Psi_1^0>$  \hspace{1cm} $H_{22} = <\Psi_2^0 | H | \Psi_2^0>$
has $H_{11}$ and $H_{22}$ as solutions, when $H_{21} = H_{12}$, thus

$$E_1 = H_{21} = \langle \Psi^0_1 | H^0 + H^- | \Psi^0_1 \rangle = \langle \Psi^0_1 | H^0 | \Psi^0_1 \rangle + \langle \Psi^0_1 | H^- | \Psi^0_1 \rangle$$

$$E_2 = H_{22} = E_2^0 + \langle \Psi^0_2 | H^0 | \Psi^0_2 \rangle$$

In a similar way as LCAO method, it is still a great difficulty to calculate the $H_{ij}$ integrals. We will evaluate them by a semiempirical method, using the experimental parameters from spectroscopic measurements.

The perturbation method can be positively applied when the perturbation energy is well lower than the energies of the unperturbed system and it never describes the covalent bond.

Basing on this assumption, in the following we will describe the perturbations that modellize the atomic electronic structure.

### 3.1 Interelectronic repulsion perturbation

If an atomic system has one electron there is no energy difference whatever is the occupied orbital by the electron. See for example one electron in the five d orbitals named by its $m_l$ value

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
2 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -2 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{array}
\]

When the system contains two electrons, the energy level is depending on the relative occupation of the orbitals. It is necessary to combine all the possible electronic distributions by using the so called atomic vector model. The following table reports all possible combinations of two electrons into d orbitals (microstates), with their associated $m_l$ value. In the columns there is the sum of $m_l$ values ($M_l$) relatable to the sum of $l$ values ($L$). Also $m_s$ values of the individual electrons are reported as well as in the line there is the sum of the individual $m_s$ ($M_s$).
### Table 7 - Electronic microstates for the d^2 configuration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M_L</th>
<th>(+ +)</th>
<th>(+ -)</th>
<th>(- -)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+1</td>
<td>2^+ 1</td>
<td>2^- 1</td>
<td>2^- 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2^+ 0</td>
<td>2^- 0</td>
<td>2^- 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1^+</td>
<td>1^-</td>
<td>1^-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1^-</td>
<td>1^-</td>
<td>1^-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In table 7, the same brackets include the terms of a given L. The letters S, P, D, F, G, H, I corresponding to L = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 indicate the effects of the electron-electron repulsion. Also the spin multiplicity 2S+1 is reported at the left top of the letter and it results from the table.

In the case of d^1 electronic system

- m_L = M_L = 2, 1, 0, -1, -2    D term
- m_S = M_S = -1/2, +1/2       S = ½ doublet term ²D

In the case of d^2 electronic system

- M_L = 4, 3, 2, 1, 0 L = 4, 3, 2, 1, 0    G term
- L = 4 M_L = -4, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4    G term
- L = 3 M_L = -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3    F term
- L = 2 M_L = -2, -1, 0, 1, 2    D term
- L = 1 M_L = -1, 0, 1    P term
- L = 0 M_L = 0    S term
- S = 1 M_S = -1, 0, +1    ³F and ³P terms
- S = 0 M_S = 0    ¹G ¹S ¹D terms

![Scheme 14 - Energy levels obtained for a d^2 configuration](image-url)
Although the vector atomic model allows to predict the type of energy states (Scheme 14), that is the orbital and spin multiplicity, the scheme does not give the relative energies of the states. In order to calculate them it is needed to apply the electronic repulsion operator. How does it work? The perturbation operator is

\[ H' = \sum_{i>j} \frac{e^2}{r_{ij}} \]

\( r_{ij} \) is the distance between the two electrons

The energies for all of the terms associated to the electronic configuration can be calculated and expressed by the Condon-Shortley parameters \( F_0 \), \( F_2 \) and \( F_4 \). These parameters are abbreviations for the various repulsion integrals in the perturbation determinant and allow the values can be determined by detecting the experimental atomic transitions. The energy expression as a function of these parameters are independent of the atom but the parameter values there are. The entire atomic spectrum is fitted by the same parameter values.

For the V(III) ion \( d^2 \)

\[
E^{(3P - 3F)} = 15B \\
E^{(1D - 3F)} = 5B + 2C
\]

\( B = 866 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) and \( C/B = 3.6 \).

Racah redefined the Condon-Shortley parameters to simplify the energy separation between the states

\[
B = F_2 - 5F_4 \quad C = 35F_4
\]

Still for the V(III) ion

\[
E^{(3P - 3F)} = 15F_2 - 75F_4 = 13,000 \text{ cm}^{-1} \\
E^{(1D - 3F)} = 5F_2 + 45F_4 = 10,600 \text{ cm}^{-1}
\]

\( F_2 = 1310 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) and \( F_4 = 90 \text{ cm}^{-1} \).

The free ion terms for the different electronic configurations are in Table 8.
Look at the analogy between the configurations complementary in 10. This originates from the analogy of the repulsion energy between two electrons and two holes. The magnitude of the electro-electron perturbation energy is a few thousand cm⁻¹.

### 3.2 Spin orbit coupling perturbation

This perturbation describes the energy modification in an atom due to interaction of the angular spin magnetic moment with the angular orbital magnetic moment. Two schemes are used to treat the effect: the \( L.S \) scheme (Russel –Saunders) and the \( j-j \) scheme; the first one is the most common and it is used when the spin-orbit perturbation is lower than the electronic repulsion (the major part of atoms); the second one is suitable to treat rare earth elements and the third raw transition elements.

The first case will be described in detail as it is the most common. The individual \( m_L \) values of the single electrons undergo coupling and produce the angular momentum \( L \); the spin \( m_S \) values give the \( S \) value. The resultant momentum is called \( J \), and it takes all consecutive integer values spanning from \( L-S \) to \( L+S \).

By still using the vectorial atomic model, it is easy to predict the type of spin orbit coupled states. In fact for the carbon atom

\[
\begin{array}{c|c c c}
 & 1s & 2s & 2p \\
\hline
1s & 1 & 1 & +1 & 0 & -1 \\
\end{array}
\]

\( L = 1 \quad S = 1 \quad L-S = 0 \quad L+S = 2 \quad J = 0, 1, 2 \) the term is \( ^3P_0 \), being the shell less than half filled
The scheme 15 describes the spin orbit coupling effects for \( d^2 \) configuration

\[
\text{Scheme 15 - Spin orbit coupling effect in } d^2 \text{ configuration}
\]

As for the spin orbit coupling energy perturbation, two parameters, \( \lambda \) and \( \xi \), are used to describe the energy magnitude.

\[
\xi = \frac{Z_{\text{eff}} e^2}{2m^2 c^2} \langle r^{-3} \rangle
\]

where \( r^3 \) is the average value of the atomic radius, \( Z_{\text{eff}} \) is the nuclear charge, \( m \) is the electron mass. The operator is

\[
\xi \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S}
\]

Using the parameter \( \lambda \) where

\[
\lambda = \pm \frac{\xi}{2S}
\]
and if the shell is less than half filled λ is positive the operator becomes \( \lambda \mathbf{L} \mathbf{S} \)

The spin orbit effects, as in the Scheme 15, are given by

\[
\frac{1}{2} \lambda [J(J + 1) - L(L + 1) - S(S + 1)]
\]

The magnitude of the spin orbit effect is generally few hundred cm\(^{-1}\).

**3.3 Crystal field perturbation**

The perturbation described in this paragraph is able to model the bonding interaction between a central metal ion, with a given electronic configuration, and the surrounding groups (either ions or polar molecules). Thus we shift from the atomic to a molecular context. Interestingly the information on the bond is not related to the energy decrease of the metal electrons, but it involves the electronic repulsion between the electrons of the metal ion and the negative charge of the surrounding groups.

The base for the electronic perturbation in the case of transition metal ions are the following eigenfunctions

\[
Y_2^0 = (5/8)^{1/2} (3 \cos^2 \theta - 1) \cdot (2\pi)^{-1/2}
\]

\[
Y_2^\pm 1 = (15/4)^{1/2} \sin \theta \cos \theta \cdot (2\pi)^{-1/2} e^{i\sigma}
\]

\[
Y_2^\pm 2 = (15/16)^{1/2} \sin^2 \theta \cdot (2\pi)^{-1/2} e^{\pm 2i\sigma}
\]

Alternatively in a real form, the more common called d orbitals are

\[
d_{z^2} = |0\rangle
\]

\[
d_{yz} = (i/\sqrt{2}) [|1\rangle - |0\rangle]
\]

\[
d_{xz} = (1/\sqrt{2}) [|1\rangle - |0\rangle]
\]

\[
d_{xy} = -(i/\sqrt{2}) [|1\rangle + |0\rangle]
\]

\[
d_{x^2-y^2} = (1/\sqrt{2}) [|2\rangle + |-2\rangle]
\]

The complete hamiltonian for the perturbed systems is

\[ H = H_0 + V \]
where $H_0$ is the free-ion hamiltonian and $V$ the perturbation operator which describes the electronic repulsion between the ion electrons and the ligands, these simplified as point charges. For an octahedral interaction the perturbation is

$$\hat{V} = \sum_{i=1}^{6} eZ_i/r_{ij}$$

where $e$ is the electron charge, $Z_i$ the effective ligand charge, $r_{ij}$ the distance between electron and ligand. The form of the integrals in the perturbation determinant is $\langle M_L | V | M_{L'} \rangle$. When the integration is done, many quantities related to the radial part of the matrix elements appear in the determinant and have the form $1/6 (Ze^2r^4a^2)$ where $r$ is the average radius of the d electrons of the central ion and $a$ the metal-ligand distance.

This quantity is referred to as $10Dq$ and is an energy. With this considerations the determinant for an octahedral complex having a d$^1$ central ion is

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
|2\rangle & |1\rangle & |0\rangle & |-1\rangle & |-2\rangle \\
Dq - E & -4Dq - E & 6Dq - E & -4Dq - E & Dq - E \\
& -4Dq - E & 6Dq - E & -4Dq - E & Dq - E \\
& 6Dq - E & -4Dq - E & 6Dq - E & -4Dq - E \\
& & & & \\
\end{array}$$

This gives roots

$$E(|1\rangle) = -4Dq$$
$$E(|-1\rangle) = -4Dq$$
$$E(|0\rangle) = 6Dq$$
$$E(|-2\rangle)$$

Still having the roots $-4Dq$ +6Dq

Thus the crystal field effect separates the d orbitals in two sets, $10Dq$ distant, as in the Scheme 16.

For the correlation between terms and d orbitals, see the beginning of the paragraph.
If we consider the $d^2$ configuration, several times discussed in the previous chapters, more free ion terms can be considered for the interaction with the octahedral crystal field. Let we start with the perturbation of the $^3F$ ground state. The secular determinant results

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
(3) & (2) & (1) & (0) & (1-1) & (1-2) & (1-3) \\
\hline
-3Dq - E & \gamma Dq - E & -Dq - E & 15^{1/2}Dq & 5Dq & 15^{1/2}Dq \\
15^{1/2}Dq & -Dq - E & -6Dq - E & -Dq - E & \gamma Dq - E & -3Dq - E \\
15^{1/2}Dq & 5Dq & -6Dq - E & -Dq - E & \gamma Dq - E & -3Dq - E \\
\end{array}
\]

The solutions are

\[
\begin{align*}
E_1 &= -6Dq & E_5 &= 2Dq \\
E_2 &= -6Dq & E_6 &= 2Dq \\
E_3 &= -6Dq & E_7 &= 12Dq \\
E_4 &= 2Dq & \\
12Dq &= [2^{-1/2} (|2\rangle + |-2\rangle)] \\
2Dq &= [2^{-1/2} (|2\rangle - |-2\rangle)] \\
-6Dq &= [24^{-1/2} (|3\rangle - 3|1\rangle)] \\
\end{align*}
\]

The denomination of the terms uses the group theory, in the way described in the next paragraph.

### 3.3.1 Use of the group theory to predict the orbital splitting in a given symmetry

The target is how to be able assigning the terms obtained by the octahedral perturbation to the irreducible representations of the octahedral group itself. Given that the symmetry of the crystal field perturbation decides the form of the perturbation operator, it can be expected that the eigenfunctions of both singly or multi electronic ions belong to the symmetry group of the complex. We begin by examin-
ing the effect of an octahedral field on the total representation whose the d orbitals form the basis. Since all d orbitals have symmetry center, no new information arises from the symmetry inversion operation. Thus it is easier to use the simple rotational group, the O group, which contains only the rotations present in the Oₘ group.

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  e^{2i\varphi} \\
  e^{i\varphi} \\
  e^0 \\
  e^{-i\varphi} \\
  e^{-2i\varphi}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The vector contains as terms the five d orbitals, which undergo the rotation; notably only the \( \varphi \) angle varies, as the remaining polar coordinates, \( \theta \) and \( \rho \) are not changed by the symmetry operation.

The symmetry rotation is represented by the following matrix

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  e^{2i\varphi} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & e^{-i\varphi} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & e^0 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-i\varphi} & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-2i\varphi}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

more in general, whatever the base orbitals

The sum of the diagonal elements is

\[
\chi(\alpha) = \frac{\sin \left( \alpha + \frac{1}{2} \right)}{\sin \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} \right)}
\]
In the case of a 120° rotation (C₃)

\[
\varphi(C₃) = \frac{\sin \left[ \left( \frac{2 + \frac{1}{2}}{2} \right) \left( \frac{2\pi}{3} \right) \right]}{\sin \left( \frac{2\pi}{3 \times 2} \right)} = \frac{\sin \frac{5\pi}{3}}{\sin \frac{\pi}{3}} = -\frac{\sin \frac{\pi}{3}}{\sin \frac{\pi}{3}} = 1
\]

Using the above formula we will represent the various operations in the O rotational group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operator</th>
<th>( E )</th>
<th>( 6C₄ )</th>
<th>( 3C₂ ) (( C₄^2 ))</th>
<th>( 8C₃ )</th>
<th>( 6C₂ )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \chi_T )</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \chi_T \) is decomposed in \( T₂ + E \), in the case of \( O_h \), \( T₂ + E \).

The results obtained by the only symmetry considerations are the same as those deriving from the application of the perturbation operator, that is the \( d \) orbitals split in two sets of orbitals, one three times degenerate (\( T₂ \)) and the other two times (\( E \)). The symmetry considerations allow to predict the number and type of energy levels, but do not give any quantitative energy measure. See in the following table the prevision

**Table 9 - Association between orbitals and their symmetry properties in \( O_h \)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Orbital</th>
<th>( l ) Value</th>
<th>Irreducible Representation*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( s )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( a₁g )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>( t₁_u )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( d )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>( e_g + t₂g )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f )</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>( a₂u + t₁_u + t₂s )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( g )</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>( a₁g + e_g + t₁g + t₂g )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h )</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>( e_u + 2t₁_u + t₂s )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( i )</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>( a₁g + a₂g + e_g + t₁g + 2t₂g )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Let consider that the correlation among the type of orbital (\( l \) value) and the irreducible representations are valid also for the states (\( L \) values) and the irreducible representations of the states.

The prevision of the states both in the case of monoelectronic and multielectronic states can be transferred from the octahedral symmetry to the different ones. In fact the same wave functions, in different point groups, are base of different irreducible representation.
The crystal field perturbation can have different weight on the perturbed energy, that is it can be stronger (strong field) or weaker (weak field) than the inter-electronic perturbation. If we suppose the \( d^n \) electronic configurations in a strong crystal field perturbation, for the \( d^1 \) configuration the two perturbed terms are \( ^2T_{2g} \) and \( ^2E_g \). In the case of \( d^2 \), we have \( t_{2g}^2 \), \( t_{1g}^1 e_{1g}^1 \), \( e_{2g}^2 \) orbital arrangements and the terms are given by the direct product of the single arrangements (direct product of the irreducible representations).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{t}_{2g}^2 \times \text{t}_{2g}^2 &= T_{1g} + T_{2g} + E_g + A_{1g} \\
\text{t}_{1g}^1 e_{1g}^1 \times e_{2g}^2 &= T_{1g} + T_{2g} \\
\text{e}_{1g}^1 \times e_{2g}^2 &= E_g + A_{1g} + A_{2g}
\end{align*}
\]

However, while the terms are easy to be obtained by the group theory, the related spin states are not. In fact the \( d^2 \) configuration allows two spin states, the singlet and the triplet, and their attribution to the terms is not immediate. We will use the method of descending symmetry. If the \( e_{2g}^2 \) configuration is considered, when we lower the symmetry from \( O_h \) to \( D_{4h} \), the determination of the spin state becomes straightforward, and the following configurations are expected:

\[
\begin{align*}
b_{1g}^2 \ (S=0) & , \ a_{1g} \ b_{1g} \ (S=0 \ and \ S=1), \ a_{1g}^2 \ (S=0) \end{align*}
\]

which give the terms \( A_{1g} \ (S=0) \ B_{1g} \ (S=0 \ and \ S=1) \ A_{1g}^2 \ (S=0) \)
Given the following correlations:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
O_h & D_{2h} \\
A_{1g} & A_{1u} \\
A_{2g} & B_{1g} \\
E_g & A_{1u} \\
 & B_{1e}
\end{array}
\]

The spin state and the orbital degeneracy can be assigned

\[
\begin{array}{c}
D_{2h} & O_h \\
^1A_{1g} & A_{2g}(^1A_{1g}) \\
^3B_{2g} & A_{2g}(^3A_{2g}) \\
^1A_{1g} & E_g(^1E_g) \\
^1B_{2g} & \\
\end{array}
\]

The method of descending symmetry thus consists in rewriting the electronic configuration in a symmetry where all the orbital degeneracies disappear. By this way the spin state can be definitely associated to the orbital. It results a complete correlation diagram between the one electron configurations, that correspond to very strong crystal field perturbation, and terms, where the electronic repulsion is stronger than the crystal field one (weak field).

The Scheme 17 proposes the correlation between energies of weak and strong field, and shows that these energies vary very much with the field strength, until to fully change the energy trend of the free ions states. However there is a full correspondence among high field and low spin terms.

It is important to observe that no crossover is possible, along the field variation, between the states which have the same symmetry and spin state, as the same energy of two states which derive from different configurations is non-sense.

Looking at the $d^2$ diagram the configurations are associated to a number of electronic transitions which, under excitation energy, can change the electronic state of the ion and also assign to the ion a given electronic configuration and field symmetry.
Based on the possibility of experimentally detecting the electronic transitions and consequently assigning the crystal field symmetry and the ion electronic configuration, taking also into consideration that the most probable (strong) transitions are those spin allowed, Orgel proposed the schemes on the left (Scheme 18, A and B).

The transition energies reported by these schemes, plotted for increasing $Dq$ values, are between states with the same spin multiplicity and refer to octahedral and tetrahedral symmetries. They allow to assign the crystal field of the spectra from $d^1$ to $d^9$ configurations and to recognize both symmetry and electronic configuration of the ion.

Tanabe and Sugano alternatively described the cubic (octahedral and tetrahedral) configurations by diagrams which report all the states for a single electronic configuration, independently of the similarity of their spin multiplicity. The diagrams plot the electronic energies of the ions states against the $Dq$ energy values. All energy values are measured in B (Racah) units in order to make the values inde-
pendent of the different ions. On the left hand of the dia-
grams we read the free ion energies, on the right hand the
energy for an infinitely strong field. When the strong field
configuration is not indicated it is intended that it remains
the same as the lower energy state.

Scheme 19 – Tanabe-Sugano Diagrams for different electronic configurations

From the $d^4$ to $d^7$ configuration, the diagrams contain a vertical line which separates the high spin from the low spin region for the same ion. The two regions are different in the ground and the other states. The transitions in Tanabe Sugano diagrams can be read by the same way as for the Orgel diagrams and show the complete spectrum of the absorption bands.
### 3.4 Examples of attribution of the absorption bands

Let we consider the absorption maxima of some Ni(II) octahedral complexes (Fig.2 Table 11)

#### Table 11 – Crystal field transitions of Ni(II) octahedral complexes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>$^1A_g \rightarrow ^1T_{2g}$</th>
<th>$^3A_g \rightarrow ^3T_{2g}(F)$</th>
<th>$^3A_g \rightarrow ^3T_{2g}(P)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$O</td>
<td>8500</td>
<td>15,400</td>
<td>26,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH$_3$</td>
<td>10,750</td>
<td>12,500</td>
<td>28,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CH$_3$)$_2$SO</td>
<td>7730</td>
<td>12,970</td>
<td>24,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$O/N(CH$_3$)$_2$</td>
<td>8500</td>
<td>13,605 (14,900)</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$C(O)/N(CH$_3$)$_2$</td>
<td>7575</td>
<td>12,740 (14,285)</td>
<td>23,810</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Three bands are evident, whose assignment is obtained by the Orgel diagram for the d$^8$ electronic configuration. The shapes of all the spectra are similar and indicate that the electronic configuration and the symmetry are similar. Only the energy of the transitions is different (see table) and can be associated to the different crystal field strength.

![Figure 2 – Electronic absorption spectra of Ni(II) complexes](image)

As expected the presence of N in the first coordination Ni(II) sphere induces higher field strength, due to the stronger basicity of the ligand.

In the following (Fig 3) we report and assign the electronic transitions of a number of octahedral coordination compounds, by using the Tanabe Sugano diagrams.
(A) Co\textsuperscript{3+} d\textsuperscript{6} \rightarrow \mathbf{5T}_{2g} \rightarrow \mathbf{5E}_g

(B) Cr\textsuperscript{3+} d\textsuperscript{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{4A}_{2g} \rightarrow \mathbf{4T}_{2g} \rightarrow \mathbf{4A}_{2g} \rightarrow \mathbf{4T}_{1g} (F)

(C) Cr\textsuperscript{2+} d\textsuperscript{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{5E}_g \rightarrow \mathbf{5T}_{2g}
(D) Fe$^{2+}$ d$^6$ $^5T_{2g} \rightarrow ^5E_g$
(E) Mn$^{2+}$ d$^5$ no spin allowed electronic transitions
(F) Ti$^{3+}$ d$^1$ $^2T_{2g} \rightarrow ^2E_g$
(G) V$^{3+}$ d$^2$ $^3T_{1g}(F) \rightarrow ^3T_{2g}$ $^3T_{1g}(F) \rightarrow ^3T_{1g}(P)$
(H) Ni$^{2+}$ d$^8$ $^3A_{2g} \rightarrow ^3T_{2g}$ $^3A_{2g} \rightarrow ^3T_{1g} \rightarrow ^3T_{1g}(P)$
(I) Cu$^{2+}$ d$^9$ $^2E_g \rightarrow ^2T_{2g}$

Fig. 3 - Electronic spectra of different d$^n$ configurations. The transitions are assigned basing on Tanabe Sugano diagrams.

3.5 Crystal field limits

Several limitations affect the crystal field theory, due to the simplified model which considers the ligands as negative spheres and the interaction between valence electrons and the negative ligand charge a mere Coulomb repulsion. No covalent interaction is admitted.

For this reason the experimental $Dq$ value results different from that expected from the simple crystal field approximation. The following diagrams show the variations of $Dq$ when the overlap between $\sigma$ or $\pi$ ligand orbitals and d orbitals is taken into account.

In Scheme 19 (A) indicates the overlap of d orbitals with empty $\pi$ orbitals (B) with the filled ones and cause respectively an increase of $Dq (\Delta)$ and a decrease with respect to the crystal field value.

Scheme 19 - Ligand field effect by $\pi$ orbitals
Scheme 20 indicates the overlap of d orbitals with the σ ligand orbitals.

The covalent interaction leads to an increase of $Dq$ value. Thus it is possible to order the effects of the different ligand molecules basing on the π and σ interactions they display. The trend is called spectrochemical series and the comparison among the ligand effect have to be made only for the same crystal field effect of the metal ion.

\[
\begin{align*}
1^- &< Br^- < SCN^- < F^- < \text{urea} < OH^- < CH_3CO_2^- < C_2O_4^{2-} < \\
H_2O &< NCS^- < \text{glycine} < C_6H_5N \sim NH_3 < \text{ethylenediamine} < \\
SO_4^{2-} &< \text{o-phenanthroline} < NO_3^- < CN^-
\end{align*}
\]

Crystal field trend (magnitude of 10 $Dq$) for different metal ions By this way we change the crystal field theory in ligand field theory.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Mn(II)} &< \text{Ni(II)} < \text{Co(II)} < \text{Fe(II)} < \text{V(II)} < \text{Fe(III)} < \text{Cr(III)} < \text{V(III)} \\
&< \text{Co(III)} < \text{Mn(IV)} < \text{Mo(II)} < \text{Rh(II)} < \text{Pd(IV)} < \text{Ir(III)} < \text{Re(IV)} \\
&< \text{Pt(IV)}
\end{align*}
\]
3.6 Effect of distortion from cubic symmetry

The group theory allows an easy indication of the crystal field effects on the atomic states and we reported the examples in the previous chapters. However the extensive treatment was for cubic symmetry. It is expected that in the case of lower symmetry, or distortion from the cubic one, the perturbation field effects on the ion states are different. In fact for a d¹ ion in distorted octahedral symmetry (elongated tetragonal), the pristine O_h states split as shown on the left. For a low spin Co(III) d⁶ the ground state splits into.

Fig 4 reports as example the electronic spectra of three Co(III) complexes with different symmetries (Fig 4) (A) octahedral (B) cis-difluoro bis ethylenediamine (C) trans-difluoro bis ethylenediamine. On passing from (A) to (B) and (C) the two bands gradually broaden and at the end split in (C) where the distortion from the octahedral symmetry is highest. More in general looking at the change of energy in the absorption spectra, as a function of the percent (Scheme21) of distortion in Ni(II) complexes.

![Scheme 21 - Electronic spectra of Ni(II) complexes, as a function of the distortion from O_h symmetry](image)

![Figure 4 - Electronic spectra of Co(III) complexes](image)
Large variations both in the number and in the energy of the states are observed by lowering the symmetry. Thus it is not so easy to assign the symmetry and the electronic configuration in the presence of large distortion from the cubic symmetry. Instead it is sometimes simple to distinguish the tetrahedral from the octahedral symmetry of a given ion (Co(II) in Fig 5), basing on the intensity of transitions. These are much more intense in tetrahedral symmetry, due to the mixing between d and p orbitals allowed in Td symmetry. By this mixing the d-d transition is no more pure and no more forbidden.

Figure 5 - Electronic spectra of octahedral and tetrahedral Co(III) complexes

In conclusion the group theory allows the prevision of the type of the electronic states due to the crystal field perturbation and of the expected transitions. By this approach both the coordination geometry and the electronic configuration of the ion can be hypothesized.
### 3.7 Table of Characters to be used in the reported molecules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T'$</th>
<th>$E$</th>
<th>$8C_3$</th>
<th>$3C_2$</th>
<th>$6S_4$</th>
<th>$6\sigma_4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_1$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_2$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_1$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_2$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \]
\[ (2z^2 - x^2 - y^2, x^2 - y^2) \]
\[ (R_x, R_y, R_z) \]
\[ (x, y, z) \]
\[ (xy, xz, yz) \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$O$</th>
<th>$E$</th>
<th>$6C_4$</th>
<th>$3C_2(=C_4,^2)$</th>
<th>$8C_1$</th>
<th>$6C_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_1$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_2$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_1$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_2$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \]
\[ (2z^2 - x^2 - y^2, x^2 - y^2) \]
\[ (R_x, R_y, R_z); (x, y, z) \]
\[ (xy, xz, yz) \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$O_h$</th>
<th>$E$</th>
<th>$8C_3$</th>
<th>$6C_2$</th>
<th>$6C_4$</th>
<th>$3C_2(=C_4,^2)$</th>
<th>$i$</th>
<th>$6S_4$</th>
<th>$8S_4$</th>
<th>$3\sigma_a$</th>
<th>$6\sigma_s$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_{1g}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{2g}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_u$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{1u}$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{2u}$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{1u}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{2u}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_a$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{1a}$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{2a}$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \]
\[ (2z^2 - x^2 - y^2, x^2 - y^2) \]
\[ (R_x, R_y, R_z); (x, y, z) \]
\[ (xy, xz, yz) \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$D_{2h}$</th>
<th>$E$</th>
<th>$C_2(z)$</th>
<th>$C_2(y)$</th>
<th>$C_2(x)$</th>
<th>$i$</th>
<th>$\sigma(xy)$</th>
<th>$\sigma(xz)$</th>
<th>$\sigma(yz)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_g$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_{1g}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_{2g}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_{2g}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_u$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_{1u}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_{2u}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_{3u}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ x^2, y^2, z \]
\[ xy, xz, yz \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$D_{3h}$</th>
<th>$E$</th>
<th>$2C_3$</th>
<th>$3C_2$</th>
<th>$\sigma_h$</th>
<th>$2S_3$</th>
<th>$3\sigma_e$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_{1'}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{2'}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E'$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{1''}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{2''}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E''$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ x^2 + y^2, z^2 \]
\[ (x, y) \]
\[ (x^2 - y^2, xy) \]
\[ (R_x, R_y) \]
\[ (xz, yz) \]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(D_{4h})</th>
<th>(E)</th>
<th>(2C_4)</th>
<th>(C_2)</th>
<th>(2C_2')</th>
<th>(2C_2'')</th>
<th>(i)</th>
<th>(2S_4)</th>
<th>(\sigma_v)</th>
<th>(2\sigma_d)</th>
<th>(\sigma_e)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A_1g)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(x^2 + y^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A_2g)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>(R_z)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B_{1g})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>(x^2 - y^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B_{2g})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>(xy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E_g)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A_{1u})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>((xz, yz))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A_{2u})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(z)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B_{1u})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>(x)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B_{2u})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>((x, y))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E_u)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*\(\sigma_e\) passes through the atoms and \(\sigma_v\) bisects the bond angles.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(C_{3v})</th>
<th>(E)</th>
<th>(2C_{3w})</th>
<th>(\cdots)</th>
<th>(\infty\sigma_v)</th>
<th>(\infty\sigma_g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A_1 \equiv \Sigma^+)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(z)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A_2 \equiv \Sigma^-)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>(R_z)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E_1 \equiv \Pi)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 \cos \Phi</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>((x, y)); ((R_x, R_y))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E_2 \equiv \Delta)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 \cos 2\Phi</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>((x, y))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E_3 \equiv \Phi)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 \cos 3\Phi</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>((x, y))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\cdots)</td>
<td>(\cdots)</td>
<td>(\cdots)</td>
<td>(\cdots)</td>
<td>(\cdots)</td>
<td>(\cdots)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(D_{3h})</th>
<th>(E)</th>
<th>(2C_{3v})</th>
<th>(\cdots)</th>
<th>(\infty\sigma_v)</th>
<th>(i)</th>
<th>(2S_{\nu})</th>
<th>(\infty C_3)</th>
<th>(\infty\sigma_g)</th>
<th>(\sigma_e)</th>
<th>(\sigma_v)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\Sigma^+)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(x^2 + y^2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Sigma^-)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>(R_z)</td>
<td>((R_x, R_y))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Pi_1)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 \cos \Phi</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-2 \cos \Phi</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>((x, y))</td>
<td>((x^2 - y^2))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta_1)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 \cos 2\Phi</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 \cos 2\Phi</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>((x, y))</td>
<td>((x^2 - y^2))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\cdots)</td>
<td>(\cdots)</td>
<td>(\cdots)</td>
<td>(\cdots)</td>
<td>(\cdots)</td>
<td>(\cdots)</td>
<td>(\cdots)</td>
<td>(\cdots)</td>
<td>(\cdots)</td>
<td>(\cdots)</td>
<td>(\cdots)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| \(\Sigma^+\) | 1 | 1 | ... | 1 | -1 | -1 | ... | \(z\) |
| \(\Sigma^-\) | 1 | 1 | ... | -1 | -1 | -1 | ... | 1 | \((x, y)\) |
| \(\Pi_1\) | 2 | 2 \cos \Phi | ... | 0 | -2 | 2 \cos \Phi | ... | 0 | \((x, y)\) | \((x^2 - y^2)\) |
| \(\Delta_1\) | 2 | 2 \cos 2\Phi | ... | 0 | -2 | -2 \cos 2\Phi | ... | 0 | \((x, y)\) | \((x^2 - y^2)\) |
| \(\cdots\) | \(\cdots\) | \(\cdots\) | \(\cdots\) | \(\cdots\) | \(\cdots\) | \(\cdots\) | \(\cdots\) | \(\cdots\) | \(\cdots\) | \(\cdots\) |
\[
\begin{array}{c|cccc|c|c}
C_{2v}^a & E & C_2 & \sigma_v(xz) & \sigma_v'(yz) & z, x^2, y^2, z^2 & \text{xy} & x, y, \text{yz} \\
\hline
A_1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & z & x^2, y^2, z^2 \\
A_2 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & R_z & \text{xy} \\
B_1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & x, R_y & xz \\
B_2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & y, R_x & \text{yz} \\
\end{array}
\]

*For a planar molecule the x-axis is taken perpendicular to the plane.

\[
\begin{array}{c|ccc|c|c}
C_{3v} & E & 2C_3 & 3\sigma_v & z & x^2 + y^2, z^2 \\
\hline
A_1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & z & x^2 + y^2, z^2 \\
A_2 & 1 & 1 & -1 & R_z & \text{xy} \\
E & 2 & -1 & 0 & (x, y)(R_x, R_y) & (x^2 - y^2, xy)(xz, yz) \\
\end{array}
\]
The magnetic properties play a fundamental role in the understanding of the electronic structure of ions and molecules. In fact, starting from the energy of interaction between the electrons and the magnetic field, it becomes possible to locate the unpaired electrons of the ground state and to hypothesize the energy trend of the remaining electronic states. The magnetic data come from measurements of magnetic susceptibility and from electron spin resonance spectra. When a material is immersed between the polarities of a magnetic field, different modifications affect the magnetic flux lines, depending on the vacuum presence (A), the presence of a paramagnetic solid (B), the presence of a diamagnetic solid (C).

In order to quantify this effect, it is convenient to define a quantity called magnetic induction expressed as vector $\mathbf{B}$:

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{H}_0 + 4\pi \mathbf{M}$$

$\mathbf{H}_0$ is the external field and $\mathbf{M}$ the intensity of magnetization per unit volume. Dividing by $\mathbf{H}_0$,

$$\frac{B}{H_0} = 1 + 4\pi \frac{M}{H_0} = 1 + 4\pi \chi_m.$$
\( \chi \) is the magnetic susceptibility for unit volume, dimensionless

\( \chi/d = \chi_g \) gram susceptibility (cm\(^3\)/gr)  \( \chi_g \cdot \text{MW} \) (cm\(^3\)/mole)

**Molar susceptibility**

**Table 12 - Different types of magnetic behavior**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Sign</th>
<th>Magnitude</th>
<th>Field Dependence of ( Z )</th>
<th>Origin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diamagnetism</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>( 10^{-6} ) emu units</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>Field induced, paired electron circulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paramagnetism</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0 to ( 10^{-4} ) emu units</td>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>Angular momentum of the electron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferromagnetism</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>( 10^{-8} ) to ( 10^{-2} ) emu units</td>
<td>Dependent</td>
<td>Spin alignment from dipole-dip interaction of moments on adjacent atoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiferromagnetism</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0 to ( 10^{-4} ) emu units</td>
<td>Dependent</td>
<td>Spin pairing, from dipole-dip interactions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For our purposes is specially important the origin of the magnetic behavior, as it is this aspect that can be relatable to the electronic properties. The variation of the magnetic properties with the temperature is also indicative of the different behavior. Consider that the diamagnetic behavior is independent of the temperature.

Diamagnetism arises from field induced electron circulation of paired electrons, which generates a field opposed to the applied field. Thus all the molecules have contributions from diamagnetic effects. The diamagnetic susceptibility of an atom is proportional to the number of electrons \( n \) and to the sum of the square value of the average orbital radius of the \( i \)th electron

\[
\chi_A = -\frac{Ne^2}{6\hbar c^2} \sum_{i} r_i^2 = -2.83 \times 10^{10} \sum_{i} r_i^2
\]

The molar diamagnetic susceptibility can be obtained by the sum of the diamagnetic contributions of all the atoms \( \chi_A \) and of the functional groups \( \chi_B \), as indicated in the table 13. When a magnetic measurements is performed, the magnetic susceptibility is the difference between the measured value and the value of the diamagnetic susceptibility.

\[
\chi_{\text{PARA}} = \chi_{\text{MEAS}} - \chi_{\text{DIA}}
\]
Table 13 - Diamagnetic contributions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>$\chi_d \times 10^{-6}$ cm$^3$ mole$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>$\chi_d \times 10^{-6}$ cm$^3$ mole$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>$\chi_d \times 10^{-6}$ cm$^3$ mole$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>$-2.93$</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>$-63$</td>
<td>C=N</td>
<td>$+5.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>$-6.00$</td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>$-20.1$</td>
<td>C=N</td>
<td>$+0.8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (aromatic)</td>
<td>$-6.24$</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>$-39.6$</td>
<td>C=N</td>
<td>$+8.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>$-5.57$</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>$-44.6$</td>
<td>C=N</td>
<td>$+0.8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (aromatic)</td>
<td>$-4.61$</td>
<td>Mg$^{2+}$</td>
<td>$-5$</td>
<td>N=N</td>
<td>$+1.8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (monamide)</td>
<td>$-1.54$</td>
<td>Zn$^{2+}$</td>
<td>$-15$</td>
<td>N=O</td>
<td>$+1.7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (diamide, imide)</td>
<td>$-2.11$</td>
<td>Pb$^{2+}$</td>
<td>$-32.0$</td>
<td>C=O</td>
<td>$+6.3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>$-4.61$</td>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$</td>
<td>$-10.4$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_2$ (carboxylate)</td>
<td>$-7.95$</td>
<td>Fe$^{3+}$</td>
<td>$-12.8$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>$-15.0$</td>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$</td>
<td>$-12.8$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>$-26.3$</td>
<td>Co$^{2+}$</td>
<td>$-12.8$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni$^{2+}$</td>
<td>$-12.8$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An example, the pyridine molecule

$\text{C}_4\text{H}_4\text{N}$

**SUM OF CONTRIBUTIONS TO $\chi \times 10^{-6}$ cm$^3$ mole$^{-1}$**

\[
\begin{align*}
5 \times \text{C (ring)} & = -31.2 \\
5 \times \text{H} & = -14.6 \\
1 \times \text{N (ring)} & = -4.6
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\chi = \sum_i \chi_i + \sum_j \chi_{ij} = -50.4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mole}^{-1}
\]

The functional groups are accounted for by using the ring values for carbon and nitrogen, so $\Sigma \chi$ equals zero.

The calculation of the diamagnetic contribution allows, after the experimental measure of the total magnetic contribution, the determination of the paramagnetic contribution. The goal is at this point to relate the value of the paramagnetic contribution to the electronic properties.

**4.1 Interaction between electrons and magnetic field**

The effect of the magnetic field on the electronic spin is better explainable in terms of the quantum mechanical approach than by the magnetic field classical interaction. It is well known that each system having discrete energy levels may be associated to the equation (1)

\[
\Lambda \psi_i = \lambda_i \psi_i \quad (1)
\]

where $\Lambda$ is the operator describing the potential energy of the system to which we associate the energy states $i$, eigenvalues, and the wave functions $i$, eigenfunctions. The $\Lambda$ determination is a delicate point, to be done by attempts.
We will proceed through the following considerations:

**a)** By immersing a magnetic dipole $\mu$ in a magnetic field $H$ (fig.5) the energy of the system is given by the expression (2)

$$ W = -\mu \cdot H = -\mu \cdot H \cos(\mu H) \quad (2) $$

**b)** Both the orbital and the spin angular momentum undergo quantization (Fig 6,7), as well as their components in a space direction, being their values

$$ L(L+1)^{1/2} \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \quad S(S+1)^{1/2} \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} $$

$M_l$ $2L+1$ values from $-L$ to $+L$

$M_s$ $2S+1$ values from $-S$ to $+S$

**c)** The moment of the magnetic dipole is proportional both to the orbital and the spin angular momentum.

The property associated to the rotation of the electron negative charge, and the related relations are

$$ \mu_z = \gamma M_L \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} $$

$$ \mu_z = \gamma M_S \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \quad \text{and if} \quad \gamma = -\frac{ge}{2mc} \quad \beta = \frac{eh}{4\pi mc} \rightarrow $$

$$ \mu_z = -g\beta M_S $$

From the a and c considerations the energy of one electron with $M_s = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ is

$$ W = g\beta H M_S = \pm \frac{1}{2} g\beta H \quad \Delta = g\beta H $$

Based on points a – c, the spin properties of the system under investigation determine its behavior; thus the Hamiltonian equation for a spin only case results

$$ S_z \psi_i = M_s \psi_i \quad S_z \psi_i (M_s \pm \frac{1}{2}) = \pm \frac{1}{2} M_s \psi_i (M_s \pm \frac{1}{2}) $$

As $S_z$ is commutative with the Hamiltonian operator $H$,

$$ H \psi_i (M_s \pm \frac{1}{2}) = \pm \frac{1}{2} M_s \psi_i (M_s \pm \frac{1}{2}) $$

By associating to the magnetic moment $\mu_z$ the corresponding operator

$$ \mu_z = -g \beta M_s $$

$$ \mu_z = -g \beta S_z $$

and to the energy

$$ W = -\mu_z H $$

The corresponding Hamiltonian

$$ H = g \beta H S_z $$

$$ W_{+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2} g\beta H $$

$$ W_{-\frac{1}{2}} = -\frac{1}{2} g\beta H $$

$$ \Delta W = g\beta H \quad (\text{Fig. 8}) $$
The energy transition is $\Delta W$ and is tuned by $H$, provided
$\Delta M_z = \pm 1$ as selection rule $g$ is a value peculiar of the electron behavior
See the comparison between quantum mechanical and classical approaches:

Energy of a classical magnetic dipole in a magnetic field as a function of the angle $\Theta$ between the magnetic field and the axis of the dipole

![Fig. 6 - Energy interaction of one electron in a magnetic field](image)

Allowed values of the total spin angular momentum $[S(S+1)]^{1/2}$ and of the component $M_z$ (in units $\hbar$) in a fixed direction

$S=1/2$  $S=1$  $S=3/2$

![Fig 7 - Quantum mechanical effect on the spin angular momentum](image)
4.2 Magnetic susceptibility expression for $S=1/2$

Considering that for a given electron $n$, $\mu_n = -g\beta M_s$ and $W_n = g\beta H M_s = \pm \frac{1}{2} g\beta H$ and that the energy difference between the spin states is lower than $KT$, both the states of $S=1/2$ are populated at room temperature. The probability $P_n$ for populated states with energy $E_n$ is given by

$$P_n = \frac{N_n}{N} = \exp\left(\frac{-E_n}{kT}\right)$$

where $N_n$ refers to the population of the state $n$, while $N$ to the total population of all the existing states. The population-weighted sum of magnetic moments over the individual states gives the macroscopic magnetic moment $M$. For a mole of material

$$M = N \sum_{m_s} \mu_n P_n$$

where $N$ is the number of Avogadro. Substituting $P_n$
Substituting $\mu_n$ and $E_n$

$$M = \frac{N g \beta}{2} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{g \beta H}{2kT} \right) - \exp \left( - \frac{-g \beta H}{2kT} \right) \right]$$

in general given the short distance between the magnetic levels $g\beta H/KT << 1$, thus

$$\exp \left( \pm \frac{g \beta H}{2kT} \right) \approx \left( 1 \pm \frac{g \beta H}{2kT} \right)$$

$$M = \frac{Ng^2\beta^2H}{4kT}$$

since $\chi_{para} = \frac{M}{H}$

$$\chi = \frac{Ng^2\beta^2}{4kT}$$

Which is the **Curie law**. This expression gives the para-magnetic susceptibility for spin only systems

If we define a new scalar quantity

$$\mu_{eff} = \left( \frac{3k}{N\beta} \right)^{1/2} \chi(T)^{1/2} = 2.828(\chi T)^{1/2} \text{ (BM)}$$

$$\mu_{eff} \text{ (spin-only)} = g[S(S+1)]^{1/2} \text{ (BM)}$$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Unpaired Electrons</th>
<th>$S$</th>
<th>$\mu_{eff} \text{ (spin-only)} \text{ (BM)}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3/2</td>
<td>3.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5/2</td>
<td>5.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7/2</td>
<td>7.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thus the $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ value allows to detect the number of unpaired electrons in spin only systems. If the systems include magnetic contribution different from that of the spin one, the $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ values are different. In this case the system is associated to the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \lambda \hat{L} \cdot \hat{S} + \beta (\hat{L} + g \mu_B \hat{S}) \cdot H$$

$$E_n = E^{(0)}_n + \lambda L \cdot S \quad \text{(first-order Zeeman terms)} + \quad H^2 E^{(2)}_n \quad \text{(second-order Zeeman terms)}$$

By replacing in the Curie expression $\exp(-E_n/kT)$ with

$$\exp\left(-\frac{E^{(0)}_n + HE^{(1)}_n + H^2 E^{(2)}_n + \cdots}{kT}\right) \approx \left(1 - \frac{HE^{(1)}_n}{kT}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{E^{(0)}_n}{kT}\right)$$

$$\mu_n = \frac{-\partial E_n}{\partial H} = -E^{(1)}_n - 2HE^{(2)}_n$$

$$M = \sum_n \left(-E^{(1)}_n - 2HE^{(2)}_n\right) \left(1 - \frac{HE^{(1)}_n}{kT}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{E^{(0)}_n}{kT}\right)$$

Being $M=0$ for $H=0$

$$-\sum_n E^{(1)}_n \exp\left(-\frac{E^{(0)}_n}{kT}\right) = 0$$

Neglecting the terms higher than $E^{(2)}_n$ and $E^{(2)}_n \times E^{(2)}_n$

$$\chi = \sum_n \left[ \frac{(E^{(1)}_n)^2}{kT} - 2E^{(2)}_n \right] \exp\left(-\frac{E^{(0)}_n}{kT}\right)$$

This is the Van Vleck equation, where the magnetic susceptibility contains different contributions to the interaction with
the magnetic field, that field independent and that first order dependent. The difference of $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ with respect to the spin only value is due to the contribution of the orbital magnetic moment. It is mainly this difference to contribute the assignment of the electronic state. If an electron can occupy degenerate orbitals that permit circulation of the electron about an axis, an orbital angular momentum can result. Table 14 reports the cases where the angular momentum is active or quenched. The behavior depends on the electronic configuration and on the crystal field symmetry, thus the quenching or not gives an indication of the coordination geometry and of the electronic configuration.

Table 14 - Relations between magnetism and crystal field properties for different $d^n$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of d-electrons</th>
<th>Ion ground term</th>
<th>Ligand field ground term</th>
<th>Quenching of orbital contribution*</th>
<th>Quenching of orbital contribution*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$^2D$</td>
<td>$^2T_{2g}$</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$^2E$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$^3F$</td>
<td>$^3T_{1g}$</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$^3A_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$^4F$</td>
<td>$^4A_{2g}$</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$^4T_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$^5D$</td>
<td>$^5E_g$</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$^5T_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$^6F$</td>
<td>$^6A_{1g}$</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$^6T_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$^7D$</td>
<td>$^7T_{2g}$</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$^7E$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$^8F$</td>
<td>$^8A_{1g}$</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$^8T_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$^9D$</td>
<td>$^9T_{2g}$</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$^9E$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Let you consider the $d^3$ electronic configuration: in octahedral field symmetry the three electrons lie in $t_{2g}$ orbitals, with parallel spins and no orbital circulation is allowed. Thus the quenching of the orbital momentum is fully justified. Instead in tetrahedral symmetry field there is one electron in $t_{2g}$ orbitals and the orbital circulation is active.

4.3 Electron spin resonance

The resonance condition (energy of the magnetic transition) for one electron is
\[ W = g \beta H M_z = \pm \frac{1}{2} g \beta H \quad \Delta = g \beta H \quad \text{resonance condition} \]

\[ g = h \nu / \beta H_r \]

where \( \nu \) is the irradiation frequency and \( H_r \) is the magnetic field where the absorption happens.

The experimental choice is to fix the frequency \( \nu \) and to measure the field \( H_r \). The \( g \) value depends on the electronic surrounding of the unpaired electron, thus it is typical of a given paramagnetic center. In the case of a free electron \( g = 2.0023 \). Different values are due to spin-orbit coupling interaction and can show \textit{anisotropic behavior} (\( g \) is represented by a \textit{tensor}) in the form of \textit{cubic, axial or rhombic symmetry}. In order to precisely measure the \( g \) value it is mandatory to precisely measure \( \nu \) and \( H_r \).

In general the measure is obtained by using a precise \( \nu \) and calibrating the magnetic field by a standard sample with known \( g \) value

\[ g_s = h \nu / \beta H_s \quad g_x = h \nu / \beta H_x \quad g_y/g_z = H_x/H_s \]

A used standard molecule used is diphenyl pycrilhydrazil (DPPH) \( g = 2.0037 \).

The shape of the spectrum corresponds to Gaussian or Lorenzian lines (Fig.11) and is taken mostly as a derivative curve, to identify the overlapping absorptions. The most common \textit{used frequencies} of the microwave source are:

\[ 9.417 \text{ GHz (X band)} \quad 35 \text{ GHz (Q band)} \]

Block diagram of a typical X-band ESR spectrometer employing 100 GHz phases-sensitive detection is as it follows.
4.3.1 Hyperfine Nuclear Interaction

The electron magnetic moment and the nuclear magnetic moment interact, modifying the microwave absorption. The number of single resonances increases, although they are centered on a unique resonance field $H_r$, which corresponds
to the absorption field in the absence of electron-nucleus interaction.

\[ H_{\text{eff}} = H + H_{\text{local}} \]

\( H_{\text{local}} \) is due to nuclear interaction.

From the quantum mechanical approach for the spin nuclear momentum \( I \), \( 2I+1 \) components are expected. In the case of hydrogen atom \( I = \frac{1}{2} \); the spectrum shows two resonances

\[ H_{\text{eff}} = H \pm \frac{a}{2} = H \pm a M_l \] (where \( a \) is a measure of the interaction)

The energy of hyperfine interaction in the isotropic form was investigated by Fermi and is defined with the following equation

\[ W_{\text{iso}} = \frac{8\pi}{3} (\psi_0^*)^2 \mu_{ez} \mu_{nz} \] where \( \psi_0 \) is the wave function evaluated on the nucleus

\( \mu_{ez}, \mu_{nz} \) are the components of electronic and nuclear magnetic moments along the \( H \) direction.

**4.3.2 Hyperfine interaction by quantum mechanical approach**

By using quantum mechanical operators:

\[ \mu_{ez} = -g_{e} \beta S_z \]

\[ \mu_{nz} = -g_{n} \beta n I_z \]

\[ H_{\text{iso}} = \frac{8\pi}{3} g_{e} g_{n} \beta_{e} \beta_{n} (\psi_0^*)^2 S_z I_z = hA_0 S_z I_z \]

where \( A_0 \) is the isotropic hyperfine coupling constant (hertz).

In the case of the hydrogen atom the complete Hamiltonian operator is

\[ H = g_{e} H S_z + hA_0 S_z I_z \]

By associating the eigenvalues of \( S_z \) and of \( I_z \) to the four spin and nuclear states

\[ M_s = \frac{1}{2} \equiv \alpha_i \quad M_s = -\frac{1}{2} \equiv \beta_i \]

\[ M_l = \frac{1}{2} \equiv \alpha_n \quad M_l = -\frac{1}{2} \equiv \beta_n \]

four different states are generated

\( (\alpha_i \alpha_n) \quad (\beta_i \alpha_n) \quad (\alpha_i \beta_n) \quad (\beta_i \beta_n) \)

Operating by the \( H \) operator on each one of the states

\[ W_{\alpha \alpha \alpha \alpha} = <\alpha_i \alpha_n | g_{e} H S_z + hA_0 S_z I_z / \alpha_i \alpha_n> = \frac{1}{2} g_{e} \beta H + 1/4 hA_0 \]
\[ W_{\alpha e \beta N} = \frac{1}{2} g \delta H - \frac{1}{4} h A_0 \]
\[ W_{\beta e \alpha N} = -\frac{1}{2} g \delta H + \frac{1}{4} h A_0 \]
\[ W_{\alpha e \alpha N} = -\frac{1}{2} g \delta H - \frac{1}{4} h A_0 \]

The microwave induced energy transition undergoes the following selection rules:

\[ \Delta M_s \pm 1 \Delta M_i = 0 \]

The energy transition is consequently

\[ H_k = h \nu_0 / g \delta - a/2 \quad M_i = \frac{1}{2} \]
\[ H_m = h \nu_0 / g \delta + a/2 \quad M_i = -\frac{1}{2} \]

where \( a = hA_0 / g \delta \)

The microwave induced energy transition undergoes the following selection rules:

\[ \Delta M \pm 1 \Delta M = 0 \]

The energy transition is consequently

\[ H^k = h \nu_0 / g \delta - a/2 \quad M = \frac{1}{2} \]
\[ H^m = h \nu_0 / g \delta + a/2 \quad M = -\frac{1}{2} \]

where \( a = hA_0 / g \delta \)

**Scheme 23** - Effect of the hyperfine interaction for hydrogen atom
4.3.3 The electronic interaction with the magnetic field in oriented systems

In the most general case the interaction energy of an electron with the magnetic field is anisotropic, provided the physical status does not induce averaging of the magnetic interactions. \( g \) is represented by a tensor and the Hamiltonian operator is

\[
H = \beta \mathbf{S} g \mathbf{H} \quad \mathbf{S} \text{ and } \mathbf{H} \text{ are both vectors}
\]

\[
H = \beta \left( S_x g_{xx} H_x + S_y g_{yy} H_y + S_z g_{zz} H_z \right)
\]

Figure 13 - Resonance spectra for axially symmetry

Figure 14 - Resonance spectra for rhombic symmetry
4.3.4 The electronic interaction with the magnetic field in oriented systems

The $g_{xx}$, $g_{yy}$, and $g_{zz}$ are the $g$ components along three directions, called principal magnetic axes, observed when the magnetic field is oriented along these directions.

For different orientations, the $g$ value follows the expression:

$$g_{\text{eff}}^{2} = g_{xx} \cos^{2} \Theta_{xx} + g_{yy} \cos^{2} \Theta_{yy} + g_{zz} \cos^{2} \Theta_{zz}$$

$\Theta$ is the angle between the magnetic field component and the $g$ tensor component.

In the following

$$\cos^{2} \Theta_{zx} = \begin{bmatrix} l_{x} & l_{y} & l_{z} \end{bmatrix} g_{xx}^{2} \begin{bmatrix} l_{x} \\
0 \\
0 \\
\end{bmatrix}$$

The $g_{\text{eff}}^{2}$ matrix is diagonal only when the $H$ directions are coincident with the magnetic ones (principal directions).

In general the principal magnetic directions are unknown and $g$ is measured with respect to an arbitrary system

$$g_{\text{eff}}^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} l_{x} & l_{y} & l_{z} \end{bmatrix} g_{xx}^{2} g_{yy}^{2} g_{zz}^{2} \begin{bmatrix} l_{x} \\
l_{y} \\
l_{z} \end{bmatrix}$$

The matrix is then diagonalized to obtain $g$ principal values and their orientation with respect to the magnetic field.

4.3.5 The origin of the $g$ anisotropic behavior

The observation that the $g$ parameter has anisotropic behavior is not simply relatable to the symmetry of the field where the electron is located and interacts with the external magnetic field. It is more suitably explained as the interaction between the external magnetic field and both the spin and the orbital electron magnetic moments. The total interaction is described by the Zeeman operator:

$$H = \mathcal{B} L \mathcal{H} + g_{e} \beta HS$$

If the spin and the orbital angular moments interact between them, the spin orbit coupling operator $\zeta L S$ perturbs the spin eigenfunctions

$$\psi_{\alpha} | \alpha/\beta > \rightarrow \text{ new states } | \pm >$$

$$| \pm > = | \psi_{\alpha} (1/\gamma - E_{\alpha}) | n > - E_{n} | \zeta LS | \psi_{\alpha} (1/\gamma - E_{\alpha}) | n >$$
Let us consider a new operator $\mathcal{S}$ which operates at it follows:

$$\mathcal{S}_z |\pm> = \pm 1/2 |\pm> \quad \mathcal{S}_x |+> = 1/2 |-> \quad \mathcal{S}_y |+> = 1/2 i |->$$

where $\mathcal{S}$ works on the states $|\pm>$ as $\mathcal{S}$ on the states $|\alpha/\beta>$.

Then the magnetic field is along the $z$ axis, the Hamiltonian operator is

$$H = \beta H (g_{xz} S_x + g_{yz} S_y + g_{zz} S_z)$$

and it operates on the $|\pm>$ eigenfunctions as it follows

$$\begin{align*}
&<+| \frac{1}{2} \beta H g_{zz} & & \frac{1}{2} \beta H (g_{xz} - ig_{yz}) \\
&<-| \frac{1}{2} \beta H (g_{xz} + ig_{yz}) & & -\frac{1}{2} \beta H g_{zz} \end{align*}$$

(a)

The true Zeeman Hamiltonian for $H$ along $z$ is $H = \beta H (L_z + g_e S_z)$ and it is associated to the energy matrix

$$\begin{align*}
\beta H &<+| L_z + g_e S_z |+> \quad \beta H <+| L_z + g_e S_z |-> \\
\beta H &<-| L_z + g_e S_z |+> \quad \beta H <-| L_z + g_e S_z |->
\end{align*}$$

(b)

Considering the analogy between matrices (a) and (b), the $g$ component expression can be expressed as it follows

$$\begin{align*}
g_{zz} &= 2 \times | L_z + g_e S_z |+> = g_e - 2 \zeta \Sigma \psi_0 | L_z | \psi_n > <\psi_n | L_z | \psi_0 > \bullet 1/(E_n - E_0) \\
g_{xz} + ig_{yz} &= 2 \times | L_z + g_e S_z |-> = g_e - 2 \zeta \Sigma \psi_0 | L_z | \psi_n > <\psi_n | L_z | \psi_0 > \bullet 1/(E_n - E_0)
\end{align*}$$

The $g$ values contain the free electron value, $g_e$, 2.0023, and a perturbation term which is a function of the spin orbit coupling and of the energy difference between the ground electronic state and the excited ones interacting by spin orbit coupling.

4.3.6 $g$ expressions for a $d^1$ electronic configuration in tetragonal symmetry field

$$d_{x^2-y^2} < d_{xz,yz} < d(z^2)$$

If the unpaired electron lies in the $d(x^2-y^2)$ orbital:

$$\begin{align*}
g_{xz} &= 2 - 8\zeta/\Delta \quad \Delta = E(d_{x^2-y^2}) - E(d_{xy}) \\
g_{xy} &= 2 - 2\zeta/\delta \quad \delta = E(d_{xz,yz}) - E(d_{z^2})
\end{align*}$$

If the unpaired electron lies in the $d(z^2)$ orbital:

$$g_{zz} = 2$$
\[ g_{xx,yy} = 2 - 6\zeta/\Delta \]

If the unpaired electron lies in the \( (d_{xy}) \) orbital

\[ g_{zz} = 2 - 8\zeta/\Delta \]

\[ g_{xx,yy} = 2 - 2\zeta/\delta \]

4.3.7 \textit{g tensor dependence on the energy level trend of the paramagnetic centers}

In the case of valence electrons

\[ g_{y} = g_{e} - 2\zeta \sum_{n \neq n_0} \frac{\langle \psi_{0} \mid L_{j} \mid \psi_{n} \rangle \langle \psi_{n} \mid L_{j} \mid \psi_{0} \rangle}{E_{n} - E_{0}} \]

In the case of gap electrons

\[ g_{y} = g_{e} - 2\zeta \sum_{n \neq n_0} \frac{\langle \psi_{0} \mid L_{j} \mid \psi_{n} \rangle \langle \psi_{n} \mid L_{j} \mid \psi_{0} \rangle}{E_{n} - E_{0}} \]

\( E_{0} \) near \( C_{B} g < g_{e} \quad E_{0} \) near \( V_{B} g > g_{e} \)

Let you observe that the expression indicate the free electron \( g \) value, perturbed by the contribution of the angular momentum, weighted on the energy differences among the interacting states.

\textbf{Fig. 15} - Some examples of electron spin resonance spectra in different physical states

\textit{CoMe Acacen spectra in liquid crystal}

![Graphical representation of electron spin resonance spectra and molecular structure of CoMe Acacen]
CoAcacen diluted in NiAcacen as powder spectrum

Co Phthalocyanine diluted in Ni Phthalocyanine as powder spectrum

Co Phthalocyanine dissolved in 4 methyl pyridine
5. **General observation**

The reported lectures outline the fundamental role of the Group Theory in simplifying the modelling of the chemical bond. It shows the possibility of fast interpretation of the data of electronic spectroscopy and magnetism. A simple quantum mechanical treatment is used as the wave equation is solved by the aid of the symmetry operators. For a chemist it has a great value to simplify the quantum mechanical approach, as the molecules are complex systems whose electronic properties risk to be absolutely unknown. For me there was no better satisfaction as to look to a spectrum and in a short time to approach the molecular structure.