Zooplankton predators and prey: body size and stable isotope to investigate the pelagic food web in a deep lake (Lake Iseo, Northern Italy)
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ABSTRACT
Seasonal changes in trophic position and food sources of deep subalpine lake (Lake Iseo, Northern Italy) zooplankton taxa were investigated during the year 2011. Furthermore, it's combined carbon and nitrogen Stable Isotope Analysis (SIA) with size-specific analyses of both, the major predatory cladoceran (Leptodora kindtii, Focke) and two potential preys (Daphnia longispina complex and Eubosmina longicornis). SIA studies have been extremely useful to track the energy flow through complex trophic network, however, if it is applied to analyze relation between two/few species may lead to misleading interpretations. In fact, integrating size-specificity allowed for understanding why L. kindtii nitrogen isotopic fingerprint fully overlapped with Daphnia, in spring. By investigating changes in L. kindtii's feeding basket, we found that in spring, L. kindtii mainly relied upon E. longicornis as prey, Daphnia being of too large body size for being captured by L. kindtii. Among preys encountered directly in front by a free-swimming Leptodora, only those able to fit into basket opening can be captured. As basket diameter increases with animal body length, size selection of prey depends on L. kindtii body length. As in other deep, subalpine lakes, E. longicornis was less $^{15}$N-enriched than Daphnia, most likely because of exploiting nitrogen fixing, cyanobacteria colonies, commonly detected in Lake Iseo with the onset of thermal stratification. Cyclopoid adults were at the top of zooplankton food chain and they could
potentially be feeding on *Daphnia*. They, however, likely fed in a different habitat (>20 m deep water), as suggested by a rather than negligible carbon fractionation.

The results overall suggest that size-specificity is crucial for addressing space and time changes in trophic links between organisms composing the two hierarchical levels within open water zooplankton community.

**INTRODUCTION**

Ecological studies are increasingly devoted to quantifying functional roles of species through direct approaches, allowing for a better definition of food webs (Fink *et al*., 2012). As for aquatic environments, temporal and spatial changes in biological and physicochemical variables are relevant (Visconti and Manca, 2011). Zooplankton is a critical link in lake pelagic food webs: energy and matter accumulated through primary production and photosynthetic activity are early incorporated into primary consumers; and conveyed to planktivorous fish through zooplankton (primary and secondary consumers). Relative trophic position in pelagic food web of primary and secondary zooplankton consumers vary seasonally, thus affecting transfer of matter and energy, eventually pollutants, through the ecosystem (Bettinetti *et al*., 2012; Bettinetti and Manca, 2013). The entire process is regulated by size-specific interactions (*e.g.*, SHE; Brooks and Dodson, 1965): size selectivity influencing grazing (Carpenter *et al*., 1985) of filter-feeding primary consumers, infra-zooplankton and fish predation (de Bernardi *et al*., 1987).

Quantifying taxa-specific trophic positions and how they vary seasonally is also an important step towards an evaluation of functional diversity, which is crucial for *e.g.* defining taxa vicariance and predicting impact of perturbations on ecosystem operational properties (*e.g.* Moss *et al*., 2009).

Among different approaches (*e.g.*, gut contents, analysis lipid biomarkers) for addressing seasonal changes in zooplankton trophic position and food sources, carbon and nitrogen stable isotope analysis (SIA) is increasingly applied due to their ability to simultaneously summarize complex trophic networks and track the energy flow through them (see Matthews and Mazumder, 2007 and references therein). The use of SIA has improved food-web descriptions due to their ability to simultaneously summarize complex trophic networks and track the energy flow through ecological communities. Organism carbon isotopic signatures are mainly related to habitat and lake typology and the ratio of δ^{13}C changes only little as corbon flows through food-web (Smyntek *et al*., 2012; Rinta *et al*., 2015). In contrast, nitrogen isotopic
signatures are strongly influenced by trophic position because the $\delta^{15}N$ of a consumer is typically enriched by 3-4‰ relative to its diet (Cattaneo et al., 2004; Perga and Gerdeaux, 2006; Visconti and Manca, 2011). Therefore, feeding histories of zooplankton taxa can be traced and quantified by comparing their carbon and nitrogen stable isotope signatures with those of potential food sources (Matthews and Mazumder, 2008; Wada, 2009).

In the present paper, it is investigated the link between the seasonality of size structure of *L. kindtii kindtii* population and of two potential preys, along with isotopic variation in the plankton food web. It was expected to make inferences about seasonal changes in the trophic position of a range of zooplankton species. A deep subalpine lake, Lake Iseo, well-studied for both plankton and hydrochemistry (Salmaso et al., 2010, 2014; Leoni et al., 2014a, 2014b) offered a good opportunity for applying this approach and for comparing results with those obtained on other lakes in the region (i.e., Lake Maggiore, Manca et al., 2009; Visconti and Manca, 2011; Visconti et al., 2014). I hypothesized and tested: i) the diet shift of *L. kindtii* from feeding on small to large zooplankters depending on its seasonal size structure; ii) the space and time changes of few hierarchical levels within the zooplankton community.

**METHODS**

**Study site**

Lake Iseo (also known as Sebino) is the fourth largest Italian lake, after Garda, Maggiore and Como. It lies in the foothills of the Alps, at the end of a southalpine valley (Val Camonica). The inflow and outflow is the River Oglio, which forms the border between the provinces of Bergamo and Brescia. Like all subalpine lakes, Iseo has been classified by Hutchinson (1965) as 'warm monomictic', water temperatures do not drop below 4°C and water mixing occurring during or close to winter. Being deep, however, water overturn over the last 40 years has happened only occasionally (in 2005 and 2006, Pilotti et al., 2013; Leoni et al., 2014a), so the lake can presently be regarded as olo-oligomictic with anoxic conditions at the bottom in the deepest area (Garibaldi et al., 2003, Leoni et al., 2014a).

**Sample collection and laboratory analysis**

Zooplankton samples were collected seasonally in 2011 from a sampling station at the point of maximum lake depth (45°43'11"N; 10°03'46"E), traditionally sampled for long-term limnological studies (Fig. 1). Live zooplankton for isotopic analysis and body size was collected with large (58-cm opening mouth diameter), 450-µm mesh nylon net through
vertical hauls at 0-20 m depth (the water layer used for long-term lake monitoring; Leoni et al., 2014a). It was planned sampling in March, May, August and November to capture major seasonal changes in carbon and nitrogen zooplankton isotopic signatures (Visconti and Manca, 2011; Visconti et al., 2014) and in zooplankton population structure (Leoni et al., 2014a) and to estimate body size structure of zooplankton main predators and potential preys. Use of a large net mesh-size ensured that large phytoplankton colonies were avoided while allowing for capturing zooplankton components eligible as food for zooplanktivorous fish, which preferentially capture large-bodied and well-visible zooplankters (de Bernardi et al., 1988). Water temperature vertical profiles were also measured on each sampling date with a multiprobe (WTW Multi 3410).

Organisms sorted by taxa were kept overnight in filtered (1.2 μm GF/C filters) lake water for gut clearance. Analyses included Daphnia longispina-galeata-cucullata complex (hereafter Daphnia), Eubosmina longicornis Schoedler 1866, Bythotrephes longimanus (Leydig 1860; Onychopoda) and Leptodora kindtii (Focke 1844; Haplopoda) among the Cladocera; Copidodiaptomus steueri, Brehm & Zederbauer, 1904, cyclopoids (Mesocyclops leuckarti Claus 1857 + Thermocyclops dybowskii Lande 1890+ Cyclops abyssorum Sars 1863) among copepods. Early developmental stages of copepods and plankton rotifers were not included. Depending on individual body mass, between 100 individuals/taxon (for B. longimanus) and 800 individuals/taxon (for E. longicornis and the cyclopoids) were processed, to reach optimal weight requested per sample, two replicates of which were prepared. Fourteen zooplankton samples were analyzed for their δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N isotopic signatures.

Samples were oven-dried at 60°C for 48 h, finely powdered and then transferred into 5x9 mm tin capsules (Manca et al., 1994). They were sent to the G.G. Hatch Stable Isotope Laboratory (University of Ottawa, Canada, www.isotope.uottawa.ca) where isotopic composition of organic carbon and nitrogen was determined by analysis of CO₂ and N₂ produced by combustion followed by gas chromatograph separation on a Vario EL III Elemental Analyser. Samples were sent into the DeltaPlus Advantage CF-IRMS via a ConFlo II interface. The analytical precision of the analyses (S.D.), based on the laboratory internal standards, was <0.2‰ for both δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N.

Isotope ratios were expressed as parts per thousand (‰) differences from a standard reference (PeeDee Belemnite for carbon; atmospheric N₂ for nitrogen), according to the following equation:

\[
\delta^{13}C, \delta^{15}N = [(R_{sample} / R_{standard}) - 1] \times 1000 \quad \text{(eq. 1)}
\]
where R is the isotopic ratio: $^{13}\text{C} /^{12}\text{C}$ and $^{15}\text{N} /^{14}\text{N}$.

Being conservative between consumer and food source, carbon isotopic ratios ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) were used to assess the origin of carbon sources fuelling the pelagic food web and to detect seasonal changes in taxon-specific feeding behaviour. According to de Niro and Epstein (1978), a common carbon source was attributed when fractionation ($F = \delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{cons}} - \delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{diet}}$) was $\leq 0.8\%$ (±1.1\% S.D.). Nitrogen isotopic signatures ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$), being enriched of $\approx 3.4\%\pm1.0\%$ (Post, 2002) in consumers with respect to their direct diets (Enrichment, $E = \delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{cons}} - \delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{diet}}$), were used to assess seasonal changes in taxon-specific trophic positions. When a consumer carbon signature was related solely to the pelagic baseline, its trophic position ($T$) was assessed by the simple linear equation:

$$T = (E/\lambda) + 2$$  \hspace{1cm} (eq. 2)

Where: $\lambda$ is the stepwise $E = 3.4\%$ (Matthews and Mazumder, 2003); and 2 is the value commonly assigned to the primary consumer used as isotopic baseline. *Daphnia* was used for tracing the pelagic baseline (Matthews and Mazumder, 2003; Marty and Planas, 2008), for its persistence in non-negligible abundance along the seasons and for its well-known ability of incorporating seston particles without taste selection (differently from *e.g.* copepods, Greene, 1988; Šorf and Brandl, 2012). At $T=3$, a consumer feeds directly on the primary consumer used as pelagic baseline; at $T=4$ an intermediate prey is between the two. At $T=2$ (and $F \leq 0.8\%\pm1.1$) the same trophic level as the baseline indicate that the zooplankter is an alternative prey to *Daphnia* for secondary consumers.

**Body size of zooplankton taxa**

Individual body length was estimated in each fixed sample, by using a PC image analysis software (AxioVision Rel. 4.6; Leoni and Garibaldi, 2009) connected with a microscope (Zeiss Axioskop 40, AxioCam MRc 5; 5x magnification eyepiece). Depending on population density, the number of analyzed individuals (representative of each population) varied between 100/sample (for *Daphnia*) and 40/sample (for *E. longicornis*). *L. kindtii* body length was measured from top of head to caudal portion of the body, furca excluded, on 251 individuals. Individual feeding basket diameter was estimated from Manca and Comoli (1995), to assess *L. kindtii* edible prey body length spectra along the seasons (Abrùsan, 2003).
Replicate samples, collected and fixed in buffered formaldehyde (4% by volume), were used for estimating zooplankton taxon abundance. Samples were collected by vertical tows from the 20 m depth to the surface with a Wisconsin type net of 25 cm diameter and 200 µm mesh, each sample was obtained by pooling three replicate hauls (3 hauls corresponding to 2.94 m$^3$ of total filtered water). In the laboratory, Cladocera were identified and counted mostly to the species level. For details of procedures used in the field and laboratory see in Leoni et al. (2007).

RESULTS
Seasonal changes in zooplankton population density
In late Spring, *Daphnia* and *E. longicornis* reached their annual population density peaks. *Diaphanosoma* was found only in winter and autumn, in the latter season at its annual population density peak. The two predatory cladocerans, *L. kindtii* and *B. longimanus*, were found in spring-autumn and in summer-autumn, respectively (Fig. 1).

Carbon and nitrogen isotopic signatures in the different seasons
*Daphnia* carbon signature was least $^{13}$C-depleted in summer (-28.51‰±0.2 SD) and most $^{13}$C-depleted in winter (-40.35‰ ±0.2 SD), with intermediate and similar values in spring and autumn, (-33.98‰±0.2 SD, -31.81‰±0.2 SD, respectively; Fig. 2). The seasonal pattern developed along with lake warming and progressive lake thermal vertical stratification, as observed in deep lakes (Perga and Gerdaux, 2006; Caroni et al., 2012). Seasonal changes in carbon signatures of cladoceran taxa were consistent with those of *Daphnia*, suggesting exploitation of pelagic food sources. The cyclopoids relied upon pelagic food sources in winter, while being, later on, clearly more $^{13}$C-depleted (-41.8±0.2 SD), than the other taxa, thus suggesting they might feed in deeper (>20m depth) waters, likely on preys other than those analyzed in this study (delta $^{15}$N of 9.0‰±0.2 SD; Fig. 3). Cyclopoids became progressively more $^{15}$N-enriched towards summer (10.04‰±0.08 SD, when they were at the same level as the predatory cladocerans *L. kindtii* (9.09‰±0.2 SD) and *B. longimanus* (10.14‰±0.17 SD), although by exploiting different preys.

In spring, *E. longicornis* fully overlapped with *Daphnia* for carbon isotopic signature (respectively 34.6±0.1 SD and -33.95±0.02 SD), while being nitrogen isotopic signature lower than *Daphnia*'s (respectively 3.34 ±0.14 S.D. and 5.76 ±0.8 S.D.). At this time of year, *Leptodora*'s carbon and nitrogen signatures overlapped with *Daphnia* ($^{13}$C: -33.32±0.06 SD
and \(-33.95 \pm 0.02\) SD; \(^{15}\)N: \(5.76 \pm 0.8\) SD and \(5.76 \pm 0.8\) SD, respectively). Leptodora's enrichment was poor (0.3) and \(T\) level low (2.6), both similar to those of Copidodiaptomus (\(E=0.5\)).

In summer, \(B.\ longimanus\) shared carbon signature with \(L.\ kindtii\), with respect to which, however, was more \(^{15}\)N-enriched (\(E=6.5\) with respect to 5.5; Fig. 3). At this time of the year, however, Leptodora's carbon fractionation (\(F=1.6\)), might suggest contribution of other than solely pelagic food sources exploited by \(B.\ longimanus\). Also in autumn, \(B.\ longimanus\) increased carbon fractionation with respect to \(L.\ kindtii\) also suggests exploitation of different sources than those exploited by \(L.\ kindtii\), the latter being more tightly linked to \(Daphnia\) carbon isotopic baseline.

Seasonal changes in \(L.\ kindtii\) feeding basket diameter (Fig. 4) suggest that the largest part of \(E.\ longicornis\), and only a small fraction of \(Daphnia\) population, were eligible prey for \(L.\ kindtii\) in spring. In summer, \(L.\ kindtii\) basket range of sizes included \(E.\ longicornis\), the latter being on average larger than in spring, along with a large fraction of \(Daphnia\) population (of body size between 0.6 and 1.2 mm). In autumn, the entire range of \(E.\ longicornis\) body size and a fraction between 0.7 and 1.3 mm of \(Daphnia\) population were eligible prey, according to Leptodora's feeding basket size range. Overall, the results suggest that \(L.\ kindtii\) was able to prey on a large part of \(E.\ longicornis\) population, only marginally on \(Daphnia\), the latter being mostly of body length exceeding the upper limit of \(L.\ kindtii\) basket diameter.

**DISCUSSION**

Carbon and nitrogen stable isotope analyses are increasingly used to trace conveyance of carbon sources through lake food webs and identifying zooplankton taxa roles and relationships (Matthews and Mazumder, 2005, 2006; Persaud et al., 2012). A major concern is that I cannot address prey available for a given predator, as I do not actually know all actors in the scene of the lake theatre, nor I know all possible roles they might play (Hutchinson 1965). Yet, stable isotopes analyses revealed unexpected trophic links in food web structure, also related to predator and prey body sizes (Matthews and Mazumder, 2007; Kuwae et al., 2012).

Size-specificity is a major trait governing biotic and abiotic interactions within and between the zooplankton (Geller and Müller 1981; Peters, 1983; Porter et al., 1983, Obertegger and Manca, 2011). Size-specificity in prey selection characterizes the predatory cladoceran \(L.\ kindtii\). This carnivorous cladoceran swims continuously through the water column ('cruise
predator'; Greene, 1988), capturing prey by means of five pairs of thoracic appendages spread to form a feeding basket (Herzig and Auer, 1990; Manca and Comoli, 1995; Vogt et al., 2013). Among preys encountered directly in front by a free-swimming *L. kindtii*, only those able to fit into basket opening can be captured. As basket diameter increases with animal body length, size selection of prey depends on *Leptodora*’s body length.

In this study, *Daphnia* has been used as pelagic baseline, as a short-lived organism, it is better suited for finer scale temporal integration of pelagic d13C or d15N signatures; furthermore, due to its unselective mode to feeding it constitutes a good estimate for base of the food chain (Matthews and Mazumder, 2003). It has been found that *L. kindtii* overlapped with *Daphnia* in Spring, not only for carbon, which is indicative of common sources, but also for nitrogen isotopic signature. The lack of enrichment with respect to *Daphnia* suggested that *L. kindtii* did not prey on *Daphnia* during Spring. Analysis of *L. kindtii* feeding basket size suggested that one possible alternative prey, namely *E. longicornis*, well fitted *Leptodora*’s size-specific predation in Spring. A close predator-prey seasonal dynamics between *L. kindtii* and *E. longicornis* were found in other deep, large lakes (e.g., Lake Michigan, Branstrator and Lehman, 1991). I cannot, however, exclude contribution by other preys to *Leptodora*’s predation, such as *Conochilus* sp., Rotifera (Edmondson and Litt, 1987). The latter, however, were not among the major components of zooplankton in Lake Iseo, only occasionally being found in high densities (e.g., in June 1999, July 2003-2005; Garibaldi et al., 2003; Leoni et al. 2014a).

Rotifera were not included in this study focused on size fraction of crustacean zooplankton potentially exploited by planktivorous fish of Lake Iseo, such as *Leuciscus cephalus*, *L. souffia*, *Alosa fallax lacustris* and *Coregone lavaretus* (de Bernardi et al., 1988).

Unique interpretations cannot be achieved, particularly when I face complexity of the natural environment. On the other side, extrapolation from the laboratory to the field is complicated by the temporal and spatial heterogeneity of the natural environment (Kareiva et al., 1996), particularly because short generation times allow zooplankton to respond rapidly to changing abiotic conditions or biotic interactions (Adrian et al., 2006). Stable isotopes analyses have, with respect to *e.g.*, laboratory feeding experiments, the potential of combining field and laboratory approaches, tracing at fine, molecular level (Strayer, 2010) time-specific, step-wise functional role of organisms in the ecosystem.

In spring, when the pelagic food web was most diversified, *Daphnia* was not the less 15N-enriched open-water zooplankter. In fact, *E. longicornis* showed a lowest nitrogen isotopic
signature; this trait is a common of deep lakes in the subalpine region (Visconti et al., 2014). When Daphnia and E. longicornis carbon signatures overlap, thus suggesting habitat sharing (Visconti et al., ibidem), differences in nitrogen isotopic signatures can be reasonably explained by taking into account the feeding behaviour. Food particles are retained by Daphnia through filter combs bared by thoracic limbs, in a range of sizes constrained by the distance between the setae forming the combs (Geller and Müller, 1981). Such distance tends to increase with body size (Geller and Müller, 1981), thus allowing larger species/specimens for retaining increasingly larger food particles, and smaller ones, to exploit also small food particles, such as bacteria. Using Daphnia as tracer, seasonal changes in size of seston particles fuelling pelagic food web are accounted for E. longicornis feeding behaviour is quite different from Daphnia's (DeMott and Kerfoot, 1982). E. longicornis is able to feed on filamentous colonies, including those of Cyanobacteria. Cyanobacteria are usually lower in nitrogen isotopic signature than other phytoplankton taxa; nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria in particular, are poor in heavy isotope, being almost un-enriched with respect to standard samples (Carpenter et al., 1999). Ability to exploit also poorly $^{15}$N-enriched food sources is a reasonable explanation for Eubosmina's low nitrogen isotopic signature. A predator will be relatively less enriched when selecting E. longicornis than Daphnia as prey, enrichment being related to predator's body size. Such was the case for L. kindtii in Lake Iseo in spring, as E. longicornis falls within size range of Leptodora's potential prey (Fig. 4).

As commonly observed in deep subalpine lakes, pelagic baseline signatures were, respectively, least $^{13}$C-depleted and $^{15}$N-enriched in summer. Carbon isotopic signature is affected by water depth (Zohary et al., 1994; Gu et al., 1996; Lehmann et al., 2004; Manca et al., 2009; Visconti and Manca, 2011). In the epilimnion of deep lakes, $\delta^{13}$C signature increases with warming and onset of thermal stratification (Perga and Gerdeaux, 2006; Manca et al., 2009; Visconti and Manca, 2011; Caroni et al., 2012). Temperature controls the solubility of CO$_2$ and in turn influences the fractionation of carbon isotopes during phytoplankton uptake (Rau et al., 1989, 1992, Grey et al., 2001). Particularly in late spring and summer, carbon baseline signature reflects contribution by phytoplankton (Pel et al., 2003; Matthews and Mazumder, 2005; Perga and Gerdeaux, 2006). Changes in phytoplankton community structure and functional groups are also related to carbon isotopic signature (Caroni et al., 2012). A decrease in nitrogen baseline isotopic signature in spring and summer is common for deep lakes: it is attributed to recycling by the zooplankton in the epilimnion. In spring, the rapid
increase in primary consumers led to excretion of N/NH₄, depleted in heavy isotope (Peterson and Fry, 1987) and quickly assimilated by primary producers. Moreover, different algal groups along the seasons contributing to phytoplankton biomass also differ in nitrogen fractionation (Adams and Sterner, 2000; Lehman et al., 2004). Lake Iseo seasonally exhibits concentrations of cyanobacteria (mostly *Planktothrix rubescens*), green algae (mainly *Sphaerocystis Schroeteri*), Conjugatophyceae (mostly *Mougeotia* spp.) and diatoms (mainly *Fragilaria* sp. and *Diatoma elongatum*) (Leoni et al., 2014a, 2014b; Marti et al., 2016).

As in Lake Maggiore, the most ¹⁵N enriched crustacean zooplankter in Lake Iseo was *B. longimanus longimanus*, in summer sharing the same *E* as the cyclopoids. Thus, cyclopoid adults were at the top of zooplankton food chain and they could potentially be feeding on *Daphnia*. They, however, likely fed in a different habitat (>20 m deep water), as suggested by a rather than negligible carbon fractionation. In Lake Iseo, *B. longimanus'* presence in the open-water was restricted to summer and autumn. The enrichment was close but slightly higher than *Leptodora*'s, suggesting exploitation of intermediate preys in addition to *Daphnia*.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The novel aspect of this work is the combination of zooplankton body size structure and isotopic variation of carbon and nitrogen. It was analyzed the stable isotopic composition (C and N) of several cladocerans and copepods taxa of Lake Iseo, in four seasons. At the same time, it was measured the feeding basket size of predator and body size of potential prey to better investigate predator-prey interactions in zooplankton community. Combining seasonal changes in the size structure with carbon and nitrogen stable isotopes analyses of zooplankton taxa from a deep subalpine lake, I attempted to take into account size-specificity, an important trait governing seasonal changes in zooplankton population structure.

It was found that the apparent anomaly of being an important invertebrate predator, *Leptodora*, almost un-enriched with respect to *Daphnia*, was reasonably consequent to ability to exploit alternative, other-than-*Daphnia* prey, *e.g. Eubosmina*, whose nitrogen signature was less ¹⁵N-enriched than *Daphnia*'s. The results overall suggest that size-specificity is crucial for addressing space and time changes in trophic links between organisms composing the two hierarchical levels within open water zooplankton community. This study, however, cautions against universal uncritical application of general rules of isotopic fractionation to all aquatic food webs. This research also underlines the need to extend stable isotope studies to
components, which might have been overlooked, in view of a better understanding of roles zooplankton taxa may play in pelagic food web.
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Fig. 1. Seasonal dynamics of zooplankton densities in Lake Iseo. a) Adults of Copepoda. b) Cladocera primary consumers. c) Cladocera secondary consumers.
Fig. 2. Seasonal changes in carbon isotopic signatures of zooplankton taxa from Lake Iseo, 2011. Dotted line refers to water temperature (average) in the water layer from which zooplankton was sampled (0-20 m).
Fig. 3. Trophochemical graphs showing seasonal carbon and nitrogen isotopic signatures (average ±SD) of zooplankton taxa from Lake Iseo, 2011. Byth, B. longimanus; Cyc, Ciclopoids; Diapt, Copidodiaptomus steweri; Dap, Daphnia longispina group; Eubo, E. longicornis; Lept, L. kindtii. In spring, L. kindtii and Copidodiaptomus steweri show similar signatures.
Fig. 4. Box-Whisker plots (boxes: median, upper and lower quartile; whiskers: minimum and maximum value) of seasonal changes in *L. kindtii* feeding basket (diameter) and body size (length) of potential cladoceran prey.