

*Page intentionally left blank*

**Proceedings of the 2<sup>nd</sup>  
International Conference  
of the Journal Scuola  
Democratica**  
REINVENTING EDUCATION

---

**VOLUME III**

**Pandemic and Post-  
Pandemic Space and  
Time**

**Via Francesco Satolli, 30 – 00165 - Rome, Italy**

Edited by

The Organizing Committee the 2nd International  
Conference of the Journal Scuola Democratica

<https://www.rivisteweb.it/issn/1129-731X>



Published by: ASSOCIAZIONE “PER SCUOLA  
DEMOCRATICA”

Via Francesco Satolli, 30 – 00165 – Rome, Italy

Published in Open Access



This book is digitally available at:

<https://www.scuolademocratica-conference.net/proceedings-2/>

© 2021 Associazione “Per Scuola  
Democratica”



Unless otherwise stated, all contents  
published are subject to license  
Creative Commons - Attribution - version  
3.0.

[https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/  
3.0/it/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/it/)

It is therefore possible to freely reproduce,  
distribute, transmit and adapt data and  
analysis of the Volume, including for  
commercial purposes, provided that the  
source is cited. Images, logos, any  
registered trademarks, and other content  
owned by third parties belong to their  
respective owners and cannot be  
reproduced without their consent.

How to cite a proceeding from this Volume. APA citation system:

**Author, N., Author, S., (2021). Title, in *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference of the Journal Scuola Democratica “Reinventing Education”, VOL. 3, Pandemic and Post-Pandemic Space and Time, pp-pp***

**ISBN 978-88-944888-9-0**

***Title* Proceedings of the Second International Conference of the Journal “Scuola Democratica” – Reinventing Education VOLUME III Pandemic and Post-Pandemic Space and Time**

This volume contains papers presented in the 2nd International Conference of the Journal “Scuola Democratica” which took place online on 2-5 June 2021. The Conference was devoted to the needs and prospects of Reinventing Education.

The challenges posed by the contemporary world have long required a rethinking of educational concepts, policies and practices. The question about education ‘for what’ as well as ‘how’ and ‘for whom’ has become unavoidable and yet it largely

remained elusive due to a tenacious attachment to the ideas and routines of the past which are now far off the radical transformations required of educational systems.

Scenarios, reflections and practices fostering the possibility of change towards the reinvention of the educational field as a driver of more general and global changes have been centerstage topics at the Conference. Multidisciplinary approach from experts from different disciplinary communities, including sociology, pedagogy, psychology, economics, architecture, political science has brought together researchers, decision makers and educators from all around the world to investigate constraints and opportunities for reinventing education.

The Conference has been an opportunity to present and discuss empirical and theoretical works from a variety of disciplines and fields covering education and thus promoting a trans- and inter-disciplinary discussion on urgent topics; to foster debates among experts and professionals; to diffuse research findings all over international scientific networks and practitioners’ mainstreams; to launch further strategies and networking alliances on local, national and international scale; to provide a new space for debate and evidences to educational policies. In this framework, more than 800 participants, including academics, educators, university students, had the opportunity to engage in a productive and fruitful dialogue based on research, analyses and critics, most of which have been published in this volume in their full version.

## **Pandemic and Post-Pandemic Space and Time**

### **A Premise**

Papers in this third volume deals with the Covid-19 pandemic which is having an enormous impact on education systems worldwide. Policy makers, teachers, school managers, parents and students have been called to the reinvent their way of 'doing school'. At the same time, the governance of the education system and schools' organizations have been exposed to unprecedented tensions.

Within a short period of time, radical changes had to be introduced, simultaneously, at various levels of the school system. At national and regional level, there has been the need to rethink the way in which teachers are recruited, engaged and managed. National assessment and evaluation systems have been suspended or redefined in their uses by school actors. The ways through which institutes were managed and organized had to be rethought, passing in a very short time through an on and off of dematerialization and hyper-normativity of time and space. Within schools, managers and teachers have been called to redefine the role of digital technologies in their didactic, as well as in their relationships with families and students. In some cases, these set of changes led to experience novel and unexpected daily proximities, in other prevailed a context characterized by distance and unsatisfactory relationships. Managers and teachers have been asked to re-invent their professionalism to rethink their organizational, didactic and relational competences. Students and families, on their side, have been called to rebuild and reimagine new way of being at school, re-inventing the spaces and time of schooling and the way in which they relate among each other and with teachers.

The pandemic emergency has been a lens revealing intersections and structural tensions among various level and actors of the education system, but also allowing opportunities of changes thanks to the exogenous shock. At the same time, it must be considered that the emergency is interacting on pre-existing inequalities and contradictions. The pandemic clearly revealed the deep disparities of educational opportunities associated to students' life and housing conditions, beyond their access and uses of technological devices. Remote teaching and the enactment of an 'emergency didactic' has exacerbated learning difficulties for underprivileged students (children facing material deprivation, students with migratory background, students with special needs or disable, etc.). The interaction between the pandemic and pre-existing inequalities created different contextual conditions for actors' agency, orienting

toward different directions the pandemic's transformational potential.

Higher education systems have been affected too: in constant evolution due to constant transformations of society and changed functions of knowledge, universities have undergone a structural change along with pandemic times. Simultaneously, the growing relevance of knowledge for the economic development of the capitalistic system has profoundly affected higher education systems, characterized by the neo-liberal approach which has subject of increasing critical analysis.

However, Higher education systems are starting to be affected by other somewhat inevitable changing processes due to the evolution of knowledge and the consequent forms of its transmission. These forms have to be necessarily new both because of the availability of new instruments and the increased need to develop interpretative models of a constant and often unpredictable change. In this juncture the university might assume a renewed central role. At Higher Education System level, the growing use of digital instruments is envisaged in order to cope with the rising of the management rates of the training offer as well as to answer to the growing differentiation of user categories. A feasible consequence could be the increasing of the already pressure for the differentiation among the universities, with the related social implications.

At individual university level, it is foreseeable the demand for university involvement in tackling the problems of society and the economy will increase. And this at global, national and local level. From an organizational point of view the most significant feature is represented by the accumulation of traditional and new tasks that do not seem to be possible to manage. Whatever form the higher education systems will come to take, it remains that a central point to be clarified concerns the management of change. It will be the market that will impose its rules and the universities will organize themselves individually within the invisible enclosures that will guide their policies (with predictable growing social and territorial differences), or instead the State will choose incentive policies to direct its training system. It remains that in a condition of uncertainty and constant change the university's roles multiply and become – at least potentially – more and more central. It can therefore be argued that the university is not only called upon to respond to the demands of society but by elaborating answers and solutions to the problems it progressively affects the functioning of society.

We are fully aware that each educational experience produces specific results and definitions of teaching-learning practices. The well-established model of the magister teacher, based on a one-to-many transmission of knowledge, is complemented by new configurations of teaching-learning practices. There are

teaching practices that cultivate the ambition to combine the technological innovation with the psychological and pedagogical issues. Educational technologies, such as the Interactive Whiteboard, incorporate a new grammar and pragmatic in which the emphasis is placed on the involvement and the participation of the student, as well as on a “reverse teaching”, compared to the traditional one. The diffusion of online educational platforms, based on algorithmic architectures and data-driven approaches, also draws attention to a personalized way of learning and a datafication of teaching. Digital technologies are therefore stimulating a series of transformations in the socio-material order of the class affecting the spatial and temporal configuration of teaching. At the same time, they are embedded in the complexity of the educational contexts that rework their practical and symbolic value.

In the European framework of strengthening the relations between the labour market and education, we also witness the implementation of teaching practices associated with the idea of knowledge as an economic and social investment. Recently, a large field of critical investigation has highlighted how teaching aimed at improving the employment prospects of students is deeply affecting public values in education. At the same time, different points of view in the educational field claim to postpone the transmission of skills related to the labour market to broader educational objectives of social inclusion and civic participation.

The new proxemics imposed by the current pandemic challenge traditional spatial configuration, from the arrangement of desks to the mobile use of chairs, from the forms of communication in virtual environments to the interaction in the classroom. Therefore, this is to register the need to re-elaborate the ecology of the educational practices, starting from the socio-material space of learning.



---

## Reinventing Experiential Learning Activities in Primary School 2

REINVENTING EXPERIENTIAL LEARNING. <i>LA GAZZETTA OLIMPICA</i> , THE NEWSPAPER OF THE SCHOOL AND THE NEIGHBOURHOOD	3
<i>Elvira Celardi</i>	3
A VILLAGE IN A METROPOLIS: SOCIOLOGICAL ISSUES AROUND A CHILDREN'S EDITORIAL INITIATIVE IN A SUBURBAN AREA	13
<i>Alessandro Cisilin</i>	13
WHO GETS THE RIGHT TO GO TO SCHOOL? THE ITALIAN CRITICAL WORKERS' AFFAIR	23
<i>Chiara Gius</i>	23
SCHOOL, FAMILY AND DISTANCE LEARNING AT THE TIME OF COVID-: THE CASE OF THE <i>GAZZETTA OLIMPICA</i>	33
<i>Veronica Lo Presti and Maddalena Gattinara</i>	33
THE ROLE OF JOURNALISM IN AN EXPERIENTIAL LEARNING SESSION IN PRIMARY SCHOOL	43
<i>Christian Ruggiero and Mauro Bomba</i>	43

## "You Can Get It, If You Really Want!": Using (Formative) Assessment to Improve Student Learning Experiential Learning Activities in Primary School 58

COVID- AND THE SCHOOL ASSESSMENT REFORM? THE MISSED EFFECTS ON FORMATIVE ASSESSMENT PRACTICE	59
<i>Serafina Pastore</i>	59

## Reinventing Decision-Making in Schools: Self-Evaluation & Data Literacy 70

PARENTS AS DECISION-MAKERS. FINANCIAL-ORGANIZATIONAL INVOLVEMENT OF FAMILIES IN THE SCHOOL OF AUTONOMY	71
<i>Anna Granata and Valerio Ferrero</i>	71
THE USE OF DATA TO SUPPORT STRATEGIC PLANNING AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT AND INNOVATION	79
<i>Serena Greco and Elettra Morini</i>	79
ASSESSMENT LITERACY, DATA USE, AND TEACHER DECISION-MAKING: A FEASIBLE BALANCE?	91
<i>Serafina Pastore</i>	91
USEFUL SELF-ASSESSMENT. THE ROLE OF SELF-ASSESSMENT PROCESSES IN SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT	101
<i>Daniela Robasto</i>	101

## How Schools Address the Covid Emergency: Voices from Principals, Teachers, and Students 110

THE ECEC PROFESSIONALS WITHIN THE COVID- EMERGENCY: FROM REMOTE CONTACTS TO LEARNING COMMUNITIES	111
<i>Rita Bertozzi and Tatiana Saruis</i>	111
DISTANCE LEARNING AT EMILIA-ROMAGNA'S SCHOOLS. A FIRST IN-DEPTH QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS FROM THE TEACHERS' PERSPECTIVE	122
<i>Andrea Ciani, Chiara Dalledonne Vandini, Aurora Ricci and Lucia Scipione</i>	122
THE TEACHING EXPERIENCE DURING THE COVID- EMERGENCY: THE RESULTS OF A FIELD RESEARCH	135
<i>Maria Chiara De Angelis</i>	135
PANDEMIC AND SCHOOL MANAGEMENT: CHALLENGES FOR FUTURE EDUCATION	149
<i>Francesca Dello Preite</i>	149
EDUCATING DURING COVID-: AN OVERVIEW OF PERCEPTIONS, EXPERIENCES, FEELINGS AND STRATEGIES IN FACING DISTANCE LEARNING OF THE ACTORS INVOLVED	161
<i>Diego Izzo, Giulia Mattiacci and Damiano Periccioli</i>	161
EXTRACURRICULAR SCHOOL ACTIVITIES DURING THE FIRST COVID- LOCKDOWN IN ITALY: COMPARATIVE STUDY WITH PRIOR TO LOCKDOWN WORKSHOPS	171
<i>Giuseppe C. Pillera</i>	171

---

A PICTURE, A LIGHTHOUSE, A KITE: METAPHORS OF EMERGENCY TEACHING <i>Elettra Troina, Andrea Mangiatordi and Luisa Zecca</i>	187 187
---	------------

## **Training Educational Professionals During the Pandemic: Impact, Learning, Strategies and New Didactic Challenges Faced by the University Traineeship 196**

ONLINE TRAINEESHIP: WHICH EDUCATIONAL CHANCES? CHANGES FROM LIVE TO ONLINE MODEL IN TFO EXPERIENCE <i>Marco Alviti, Luca Bassi and Maria Elena Scotti</i>	197 197
WHICH TRAJECTORIES TO TRAIN 'SECOND LEVEL' EDUCATIONAL PROFESSIONALS? REFLECTIONS ABOUT A UNIVERSITY TRAINEESHIP FACING COVID- PANDEMIC <i>Maria Benedetta Gambacorti-Passerini and Paola Eginardo</i>	205 205
RAISING AWARENESS OF STUDENTS' PROFESSIONAL IDENTITY. AN ONLINE INTERNSHIP PATH FOR FUTURE EDUCATORS AT THE UNIVERSITY OF CATANIA <i>Roberta Piazza and Valeria Di Martino</i>	215 215
THE INTERNSHIP OF THE BACHELOR'S DEGREE COURSE IN EDUCATION OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MILANO-BICOCCA IN TIME OF PANDEMIC <i>Elisabetta Marazzi, Benedetta Pozzoli, Marialisa Rizzo and Silvia Tognetti</i>	227 227
INSPIRING AND PREPARING A COMPREHENSIVE VIEW OF THE PROFESSIONAL ROLE OF EDUCATOR: A SIMULATED TRAINEESHIP EXPERIENCE <i>Claudia Secci and Andrea Spano</i>	237 237

## **Challenges and Weaknesses. Differentiation, Digital Transformation, Professionalism, Autonomy 246**

THE REMOTE LEARNING TRANSITION AT THE UNIVERSITY OF BOLOGNA: STUDENT EXPERIENCES AND EXPECTATIONS FOR A POST-PANDEMIC FUTURE <i>Alessandro Bozzetti and Nicola De Luigi</i>	247 247
UNIVERSITY : THINKING ABOUT A STRUCTURAL CHANGE <i>Giovanni Ragone</i>	261 261
THE HARD PATH OF ACADEMIC STABILISATION INTO A NEOLIBERAL EUROPEAN ACADEMIC FRAMEWORK <i>Marialuisa Villani, Sanja Djerasimovic and Orazio Giancola</i>	271 271

## **University Third Mission and the Local Environment 284**

PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT AND GENDER DIFFERENCES IN ITALY: EXPLORING THE GAP BY ACTIVITY AND DISCIPLINE <i>Monia Anzivino</i>	285 285
TERRITORIAL DEVELOPMENT AND 'THIRD MISSION' <i>Donatella Capaldi and Alessio Ceccherelli</i>	298 298

## **Rethinking Higher Education at the time of COVID-19: Challenges, new perspectives, critical issues 308**

A COMPETENCY MODEL FOR OBESITY PREVENTION AND HEALTHY LIFESTYLES EDUCATION THROUGH THE INTERDISCIPLINARY AND SUSTAINABLE PARADIGM OF TELEMEDICINE <i>Stefania Massaro and Loredana Perla</i>	309 309
HYBRIDITY AND EDUCATIONAL VIRTUALITY. WHAT DID LEAVE US? <i>Sergio Ricardo Quiroga</i>	319 319
THE TRANSITION TO ONLINE TEACHING IN TIMES OF PANDEMIC: AN EXPERIENCE OF TEACHERS' TRAINING IN EUROPEAN HIGHER EDUCATION <i>Marc Romero, Teresa Romeu, Montse Guitert and Pablo Baztán</i>	329 329
ADDRESSING COMPLEX REAL-WORLD CHALLENGES IN SOFTWARE ENGINEERING EDUCATION THROUGH THE INTEGRATION OF GAMIFICATION AND CROWDSOURCING <i>Mercedes Ruiz, Manuel Trinidad and Alejandro Calderón</i>	343 343

---

## University and Active Citizenship. Didactic Practices and Methodological Trajectories for the Development of Creative and Critical Thinking **358**

BRING IT ON! DEBATE INTO UNIVERSITY. A METHODOLOGICAL PROPOSAL TO FOSTER CREATIVE AND CRITICAL THINKING	359
<i>Ivan Daldoss</i>	359
CAN COMMUNITY MAPPING STRENGTHEN THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN UNIVERSITY AND CIVIL SOCIETY? A CASE STUDY IN BARI	371
<i>Gabriele Di Palma</i>	371
DESIGN PROFESSIONAL PREFIGURATIONS IN SCHOOL AND UNIVERSITY THROUGH CREATIVITY	385
<i>Mario Giampaolo, Nicolina Bosco and Alessandra Romano</i>	385
ACTIVE CITIZENSHIP IN A TRANSFORMATIVE PERSPECTIVE	395
<i>Claudio Melacarne</i>	395
HOW SERVICE LEARNING CAN BE A WAY TO PROMOTE CIVIC RESPONSIBILITY	405
<i>Luigina Mortari, Roberta Silva and Alessia Bevilacqua</i>	405
PROMOTING CREATIVITY AND NARRATIVE IDENTITY'S EXPRESSION THROUGH DIGITAL STORYTELLING. AN EXPLORATORY STUDY	419
<i>Laura Occhini, Nicolina Bosco and Alessandra Romano</i>	419
THE TRAINING OF UNIVERSITY PROFESSORS AS AN AXIS FOR ACHIEVING PARTICIPATORY CITIZENSHIP WORK ON RELEVANT SOCIAL PROBLEMS AS A STARTING POINT	431
<i>Noelia Pérez-Rodríguez, Nicolás de-Alba-Fernández and Elisa Navarro-Medina</i>	431

## Reinventing University. Public Engagement as Participative and Collective University **440**

ETHNOGRAPHY OF A RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SOCIAL WORKERS AND HOMELESS PEOPLE: THE EDUCATIONAL FUNCTION OF THE RESEARCH AND THE MEDIATION ROLE OF THE RESEARCHER	441
<i>Maddalena Floriana Grassi</i>	441
TRANSFORMATION OF UNIVERSITY, BETWEEN RESIDUES AND DERIVATIONS	451
<i>Andrea Lombardinilo</i>	451
UNIVERSE OF KNOWLEDGE. WHEN PROFESSIONAL AND ACADEMIC KNOWLEDGE MEETS	464
<i>Mariacarmela Albano, Daniela Fazio, Antonino Sidoti and Tiziana Tarsia</i>	464
WHEN UNIVERSITY MEETS THE NEEDS OF THE TERRITORY: THE CASE OF THE EDGE PROJECT PRESENTATION	476
<i>Fiorella Vinci</i>	476

## Tertiary Education in Italy: The Prism of Differences **489**

FOREIGN STUDENTS IN ITALIAN UNIVERSITIES: A STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF THE LAST DECADE	490
<i>Fabio Aiello, Massimo Attanasio and Andrea Priulla</i>	490

## Faculty Development, Scholarship and Professionalism in Teaching: Challenges and Perspectives for Higher Education **507**

DESIGNING TECHNOLOGY TO SUPPORT ONLINE FACULTY DEVELOPMENT THROUGH TEACHING OBSERVATION, PEER FEEDBACK, AND COLLABORATIVE REFLECTION: A BRIEF LITERATURE REVIEW	508
<i>Fulvio Biddau, Alessio Surian and Anna Serbati</i>	508
FACULTY FEEDBACK ON ACTIVE LEARNING DURING THE ELENELIFE PILOT PROJECTS	521
<i>Maria Cinque</i>	521
FACULTY DEVELOPMENT FOR RESEARCH CAPACITY BUILDING IN HIGHER EDUCATION	533
<i>Antonella Lotti and Dario Torre</i>	533
QUALITI PROJECT: DIDACTIC QUALITY ASSESSMENT FOR INNOVATION OF TEACHING AND LEARNING IMPROVEMENT	545
<i>Antonella Nuzzaci, Iole Marcozzi, Liliana Ercole and Lucilla Spetia</i>	545

---

FACULTY DEVELOPMENT DESIGN: A CURRICULAR TRAINING MODEL FOR ACADEMIC PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT	557
<i>Loredana Perla, Viviana Vinci and Alessia Scarinci</i>	557

## The New Challenges of the Post-Pandemic University Formative Processes, Third Mission and Active Citizenship 571

HELICES OVERLAPPING IN THE ITALIAN MEGA-UNIVERSITIES. THE INFLUENCE OF INNOVATIVE DIDACTICS IN PROMOTING THE THIRD MISSION	572
<i>Barbara Mazza and Elena Valentini</i>	572
RETHINKING INTERNSHIP EXPERIENCES FOLLOWING THE COVID-	584
<i>Cristina Sofia</i>	584

## Understanding Society Using Digital Spaces and Resources: Teaching and Learning Paths in Higher Education 595

TRAINING FOR TRAINING: MOODLE AS A TEACHING TOOL BY AND FOR TEACHERS	596
<i>Jessica Camargo Molano, Daniele Battista and Michelle Grillo</i>	596
LEARNING SOCIAL RESEARCH TECHNIQUES THROUGH DIGITAL RESOURCES AND COLLABORATIVE APPROACHES: THE POINT OF VIEW OF SOCIOLOGY STUDENTS	604
<i>Maria Carmela Catone, Màrius Domínguez Amorós and Leon Freude</i>	604
THE IMPACT OF COVID- ON ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING IN HIGHER EDUCATION CHINESE SYSTEM. EMERGENCY REMOTE TEACHING IN NEOLIBERAL UNIVERSITIES IN CHINA	614
<i>Giovannipaolo Ferrari and Eugénie Duthoit</i>	614
HELP TEACHING AND DIGITAL RESOURCES: A COMPARISON OF CLASSROOM AND DISTANCE-LEARNING EXPERIENCES IN A COURSE OF METHODOLOGY OF SOCIAL RESEARCH	622
<i>Luciana Taddei</i>	622
DISTANCE LEARNING: GIVING VALUE TO THE COVID- EMERGENCY	632
<i>Alessandra Decataldo, Brunella Fiore and Sara Zizzari*</i>	632

## Reinventing Education in and through Artistic Languages 643

ART AS A DIDACTIC TOOL: 'THE BAUHAUS .' DIGITAL WORKSHOP	644
<i>Alfonso Amendola and Jessica Camargo Molano</i>	644
IMPROVISATION IN TEACHING PROFESSION: AN EMBODIED APPROACH FOR INQUIRY	654
<i>Laura Corbella</i>	654
PRELIMINARY DATA FROM A DANCING PRACTICE IN DISTANCED LEARNING	662
<i>Francesco V. Ferraro, Luigi Aruta, Ferdinando Ivano Ambra and Maria Luisa Iavarone</i>	662
COMMEMORATING PIAZZA FONTANA THROUGH THE ARTS: LEARNING PRACTICES, ARTISTIC PRODUCTIONS AND PUBLIC MEMORY	672
<i>Lia Luchetti</i>	672
THE AESTHETIC THINKING. RECONNECTING THE SUBJECT WITH THE REALITY, THE SOCIETY AND THE COSMOS	682
<i>Stefano Polenta</i>	682
THE PROCESS OF CROSSBREEDING IN CONTEMPORARY ARTISTIC PRODUCTION. NEW ROUTES IN AESTHETIC EDUCATION	694
<i>Raffaele Tumino</i>	694
A UNIVERSITIES PROGRAMME OF ART-BASED AND ART INFORMED RESEARCH	702
<i>Franca Zuccoli and Elisabetta Biffi</i>	702

## Scaling Up Innovation: from Educational Practices to Systemic Change 711

THE ART ECOSYSTEM. PROMOTING INNOVATION, INCLUSIVE LEARNING AND ACTIVE CITIZENSHIP	712
<i>Tiziana Faitini and Erik Gadotti</i>	712
<b>‘Boundary’ practices: Reinventing education across and beyond the <i>forme scolaire</i></b>	<b>723</b>
FOREST PEDAGOGY AND NEOLIBERAL CHALLENGES: EXPLORING THE DISCURSIVE CONSTRUCTION OF FOREST CHILDREN’S SUBJECTIVITY IN ITALY	724
<i>Stefania Donzelli</i>	724
HOMESCHOOLING AND UNSCHOOLING	736
<i>Nunzia Vezzola</i>	736
<b>Training a Democratic Teacher: Between Individualized Teaching and Formative Evaluation</b>	<b>747</b>
BETWEEN WELL-BEING AND POVERTY IN EDUCATIONAL CONTEXTS. WHAT IS THE ROLE OF TEACHERS? A NARRATIVE LITERATURE REVIEW	748
<i>Sara Baroni and Nicoletta Di Genova</i>	748
TEACHING PRACTICES AND USE OF DIGITAL IN THE DADA MODEL (DIDACTICS FOR LEARNING ENVIRONMENTS)	760
<i>Cristiana De Santis, Sara Germani and Daniela Di Donato</i>	760
THE EPISTEMOLOGICAL QUESTION OF DIGITAL CITIZENSHIP AT THE TIME OF THE PANDEMIC	774
<i>Alessio Fabiano</i>	774
THE INFLUENCE OF TRAINING ON TEACHERS’ TEACHING STRATEGIES: STUDY OF A SAMPLE OF SECONDARY SCHOOL TEACHERS	783
<i>Eleonora Mattarelli and Marta Cecalupo</i>	783
THE SCHOOLYARD AS A TEACHABLE SPACE: A RESEARCH-TRAINING PROJECT WITH TEACHERS AND PARENTS	794
<i>Andrea Pintus and Laura Landi</i>	794
<b>Reinventing Professional Learning and Development</b>	<b>805</b>
TOWARD A PHENOMENOLOGY-ORIENTED TRANSFORMATIVE EDUCATION IN ADULT LIFE	806
<i>Giuseppina D’Addelfio</i>	806
CITIZENSHIP EDUCATION IN SECONDARY SCHOOL: BETWEEN TEACHERS REPRESENTATIONS AND STUDENT VOICES	818
<i>Claudia Fredella and Luisa Zecca</i>	818
TEACHER MERIT RESTYLING THROUGH INCLUSIVE TEACHER LEADERSHIP	834
<i>Ilaria Salvadori</i>	834
<b>Reinventing School between Pedagogy, Architecture and Design: A Dynamic Laboratory?</b>	<b>843</b>
MODERN ARCHITECTURE FOR CONTEMPORARY COMMUNITIES: LEARNING AND INCLUSION IN THE OPEN WORK	844
<i>Lino Cabras</i>	844
SCHOOL BUILDINGS AS A PRETEXT FOR AN ARCHITECTURAL MANIFESTO	854
<i>Alessandro De Savi</i>	854
THE ‘FLEXIBLE SPACE’ AND THE PEDAGOGICAL ROLE OF ARCHITECTURE	864
<i>Daniela Monti</i>	864
MODERN SCHOOL HERITAGE: ARCHITECTURAL AND PEDAGOGICAL MODELS IN SARDINIA (ITALY)	876
<i>Laura Pujja</i>	876
EDUCATION RETHINKING SCHOOLS AND REDESIGNING THEM TOGETHER	886
<i>Franca Zuccoli, Maria Fianchini and Antonella Bellomo</i>	886
<b>Peer Feedback and Peer Assessment as New Perspectives for Teaching and Learning</b>	<b>901</b>
MUTUAL FEEDBACK EXCHANGE AND PEER ASSESSMENT DURING TEXT REVISION IN PRIMARY SCHOOLS	902
<i>Elisa Farina</i>	902

PEER ASSESSMENT AND PEER FEEDBACK TO FOSTER COLLABORATIVE LEARNING AND CONSOLIDATE THE WRITING SKILLS OF UNIVERSITY STUDENTS	914
<i>Giovanni Moretti, Arianna L. Morini and Bianca Briceag</i>	914

## **School System and Daily school. Learn about Practices and Make Sense of Evaluation to Promote Innovation** 927

MEASURING THE MATHEMATICS ABILITIES OF STUDENTS WITH SPECIAL EDUCATION NEEDS THROUGH A COMPUTER-BASED MULTILEVEL ADAPTIVE TEST	928
<i>Emanuela Botta</i>	928
INNOVATING THE SCHOOL: COMPARING THE POINT OF VIEWS OF STUDENTS, TEACHERS AND HEAD TEACHERS	942
<i>Sara Mori, Francesca Rossi, Francesca Storai and Valentina Toci</i>	942
ASSESSMENT, POWER, SUBJECTIVATION PROCESSES. BIOPOLITICAL-TRANSDISCIPLINARY HYPOTHESES	958
<i>Andrea Giacomantonio</i>	958
ASSESSMENT AND INCLUSION. THE TEACHERS' EXPERIENCE OF EMERGENCY REMOTE TEACHING DURING THE LOCKDOWN PERIOD	966
<i>Arianna L. Morini and Irene Stanzione</i>	966
DIDACTIC STRATEGIES AND METHODS DURING THE COVID- EMERGENCY: A COMPARISON BETWEEN DATA OBTAINED FROM THE QUALITATIVE ANALYSES OF SIRD SURVEY	978
<i>Giulia Toti, Giulia Barbisoni, Eleonora Pera and Irene Dora Maria Scierri</i>	978

## **Quality ECEC in Italy: Teaching and Learning in the New 0-6 System** 991

EDUCATING IN THE COOPERATIVE MODEL THROUGH A STRUCTURAL DIALOGUE BETWEEN FACE-TO-FACE AND DIGITAL ENVIRONMENTS	992
<i>Isabel Alfano, Alessio Ceccherelli, Luca Fratepietro, Marco Serra and Andrea Volterrani</i>	992
LEARNING TO LEARN IN PRESCHOOLS: AN EXPLORATORY QUALITATIVE STUDY IN ITALY AND MEXICO	1004
<i>Victor Gerardo Cardenas, Cristina Stringher, Hugo Armando Brito and Ma Irene Silva</i>	1004
GAME MEDIA LITERACY AS AN APPROACH TO COMPLEXITY IN EDUCATION	1018
<i>Glauco Babini, Massimo Dell'Utri, Roberto Furfaro, Andrea Ligabue, Carlo Andrea Pensavalle and Antonella Ventura</i>	1018

## **Reinventing the Curriculum and its Practices** 1029

ACTIVE LEARNING AND CURRICULUM ACROSS DISCIPLINES: A FIELD RESEARCH STUDY IN SECONDARY SCHOOL	1030
<i>Serena Goracci, Rachele Borgi, Loredana Camizzi, Francesca De Santis, Laura Messini and Francesco Perrone</i>	1030
GRAPHIC TOOLS FOR A VISUAL REPRESENTATION OF THE CURRICULUM	1048
<i>Luciano Perondi</i>	1048

## **Pedagogy meets Architecture and Digitalisation** 1061

RETHINKING LEARNING SPACES AND TEACHING METHODOLOGIES BY CONNECTING COMMUNITIES DURING THE COVID--PERIOD: INCLUSIVE VISION AND RESEARCH-TRAINING IN ON-LINE WORKSHOP	1062
<i>Mariagrazia Francesca Marcarini</i>	1062

---

*Page intentionally left blank*

## **Reinventing School between Pedagogy, Architecture and Design: A Dynamic Laboratory?**

---

## Education Rethinking Schools and Redesigning them Together

**Franca Zuccoli, Maria Fianchini and Antonella Bellomo**

University di Milano, franca.zuccoli@unimib.it

Politecnico di Milano, maria.fianchini@polimi.it

Istituto Comprensivo A. B. Sabin, antonella.bellomo@icsabin.edu.it

**ABSTRACT:** *This paper presents a project based on collaboration between architects, education specialists, teachers, and students. It originated in 2015 from a basic research project funded by DASTU, Department of Architecture and Urban Studies at the Politecnico di Milano, on the theme of existing school buildings, livability, and the wellbeing of students and teachers. The research aim was to build up a knowledge base on the condition of school buildings, and the relative problems and expectations, to be shared with all salient actors: architects, teachers, policymakers, etc. The first steps in the research process included a comprehensive review of the literature, encounters between architects and education specialists, and interviews with the head teachers of lower secondary schools, a segment that is often overlooked in innovation projects. Field work was then conducted involving: observation of school spaces by a group of architects and education specialists, with the help of floor plans; an on-site visit during which the research team first engaged in free exploration before being given a guided tour by school staff; further in-depth observation of the use of the spaces; focus group discussions with students and teachers to elicit their opinions and suggestions for change; administration of a questionnaire adapted from a European project in keeping with the local research aims and context. The results were analyzed, compared, integrated, and ultimately disseminated, mainly via open access outlets. The study gave rise to further developments. On the one hand, it led to the setting up of the transdisciplinary work group Ambiente Scuola [School Environment], which continues to promote cooperation across a growing network of schools, local authorities, associations, etc. On the other hand, the field work fostered enhanced awareness, on the part of the participating schools, of the topic of school spaces, leading in some cases to continued reflection on the innovation goals to be pursued and/or the independent implementation of improvements. Thus, teachers and students have become direct actors in the revisiting of spaces and their uses, and the enhancing of the physical school setting with help – proactively offered in some cases – from parents. An example is the A.B. Sabin school in Segrate, where renewed interest in school spaces inspired both an educational project that qualified for national funding and engaged the students themselves in redecorating the school, and the design and implementation of maintenance and furnishing projects by the students' families.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Architecture, Education and teaching methods, Schools, Co-design, Student voice, Teacher voice.*

### Introduction

Education and architecture have not always been in dialogue. Space, despite its importance for educational trajectories and the well-being of students, teachers, and school staff, was not a priority when schooling was first institutionalized at the national level. There were many other urgent needs, including: overcoming illiteracy, introducing basic school subjects, addressing health issues, and forming citizens with due appreciation of the national unification process. With regard to space, schools legislation mainly only defined the standard parameters for the construction of school buildings. However, some educationalists were beginning to observe, and increasingly to emphasize, that children's learning and participation were positively impacted when the material setting was seen as playing a role in the teaching-learning process and in school life more generally. Examples are two educationalists born in 1870 and active in the 20th century, whose work continues to be influential today. Specifically, Maria Montessori and Giuseppina Pizzigoni both acknowledged the importance of indoor and outdoor spaces, albeit from different conceptual perspectives. Thus, the educational approaches they brought to bear were embedded in the places of their schools, defining the layout of classrooms, furnishings, yards, and gardens. While Maria Montessori (1969) worked on detailed specifications for indoor and outdoor spaces and school furniture, Giuseppina Pizzigoni (1971) focused on the school building as a whole, viewing the educational process as intimately related to the construction of the physical edifice.

Over time, the theory and practice of teachers and education specialists have increasingly come to focus on indoor and outdoor spaces, and especially on what children should do in these places. Crucially however, as students grow older, less attention is paid to space, and by high school, the school spaces they typically encounter might be classified as 'nondescript'. The classrooms are highly impersonal, and bear no trace of what goes on inside them in terms of learning and participation, as though when subject contents become abstract, there is no need to take care of space.

In lower secondary (also known as 'middle') schools, which are typically located in older buildings that have been in use for many years, renovation projects are often carried out at the level of individual rooms. Such 'patchwork or mosaic'-style interventions are based on the well-intentioned inputs of individual teachers, which however are rarely drawn together into a holistic view of the entire school building, based on in-depth analysis and documentation of its uses, needs, and potential transformation. The layout of the school building and its indoor and outdoor spaces are often observed separately to one another. Building maintenance is carried out by external agencies on an emergency basis only. Head teachers are not always fully briefed about interventions that have been made, contributing further to a lack of consistent planning over time. Some fine renovation work has been done, but rarely has it concerned the entire school building, or been based on analysis of the

overall layout of the school, or been executed as part of a coordinated strategy. The project we present here represented an attempt to reverse this pattern by conducting a preliminary analysis of school spaces and listening to the voices of their users, with a view to generating a new, and more comprehensive perspective. After the project, some of the participating schools independently continued exploring the potential for transforming their buildings with the involvement of students and parents.

## **1. Basic research for advancing and sharing knowledge about middle school facilities**

### *1.1. Hypotheses and goals*

Recent decades have seen a renewed focus – internationally – on school infrastructures and their role in learning processes and educational outcomes (Fianchini, 2019). However, it is more difficult to introduce innovative models of using space into existing schools than to incorporate them into new ones. This poses a great challenge, if all future students (and their communities) are to be offered equal educational opportunities, at least in terms of the contribution of the ‘third educator’, as defined by Malaguzzi.

In-depth knowledge of the problems, needs, and wishes of school users – concerning the conditions and use of school buildings – is required to raise awareness of physical environment issues and inform decision-making, but such knowledge is not commonly pursued in Italy.

To fill this gap and bring to light a range of issues that are rarely visible outside of school walls, a basic research project entitled *Back to School* was designed to gather knowledge in the field and share it with all actors who are interested or wish to initiate improvement processes (Fianchini *et al.*, 2019). It was specifically focused on middle schools, given that at this level of schooling, attention to the relationship of spatial and environmental factors with learning and wellness tends to decrease (Zuccoli, 2019).

This research was funded by the Department of Architecture and Urban Studies (DAStU) of the Politecnico di Milano in 2015 and carried out by a transdisciplinary group of scholars from both DAStU and the ‘Riccardo Massa’ Department of Human Sciences for Education at the University of Milano-Bicocca, in collaboration with a representative of the regional education department and the participating school communities.

### *1.2. Research methodology and programme*

In order to home in on the quality issues with middle school buildings – within national and local, legal, operational, and management conditions and constraints – at the outset of the research project, a workshop was held with a group of school principals. Next, a field survey focused on the

physical school environment was devised, targeting the communities of five middle schools, all different in terms of context, size, crowding, age and technical characteristics of school building (Fig. 1).

**FIG. 1.** *The five case studies in the field research*



In evaluating the performance of school physical environments in relation to user needs, we mainly followed the literature on Post-Occupancy Evaluation methods or POE (Preiser *et al.*, 1988; Baird *et al.*, 1995). POEs are a methodological approach to assessing existing building systems, with a view to informing decision-making about renovation and/or adaptive reuse (Fianchini, 2017). Many government agencies and institutions have used such methods to evaluate and monitor their real estate assets, with a view to planning renovation projects, or designing new development projects and processes based on the 'lessons learned' (Blyth *et al.*, 2001).

From the educational research perspective, we adopted a mixed-method approach, which supplemented quantitative with qualitative methods to make the data more intelligible and hence provide a stronger basis for action.

The involvement of teachers, students, and parents in the research was implemented according to the method and praxis of Student Voice (Cook-Sather, 2002, 2009; Flutter *et al.*, 2005), a field of research that was pioneered in English-speaking cultures, but has now become mainstream in Italy too (Grion *et al.*, 2013).

Our research was also informed by the European project, *Voices*, in which the Milano-Bicocca Department of Human Sciences for Education played an active part in exchanges between European universities and teachers on the transformation of schooling, including in relation to educational environments and the challenges of the Twenty-First Century (Teruggi *et al.*, 2015).

The procedural model and instruments for the evaluation process were drawn from the *International Pilot Study on the Evaluation of Quality in Educational Spaces (EQES)* (OECD/CELE 2009) but adapted to our own specific research objectives, and informed by the research team's own prior experience (Fianchini, 2001, 2007, 2015; Dessì *et al.*, 2015).

The on-site implementation stage involved two days' work at each school. During the first day, the physical and functional conditions were observed and information on the use of the different spaces was collected. During the second day, focus group discussions were held with both students and teachers.

The tours of the schools were conducted from two different perspectives: a more strictly architectural one, with a focus on the physical structure of the school building, the exact layout of the spaces, the furnishings and other architectural details; and a more pedagogical view, understood as a key to accessing the voices of the schools' 'inhabitants', concerning the way that the various actors in the school community inhabited the building, moved around it, and statically or dynamically occupied it.

The students' and teachers' own voices on the topic under study were recorded during separate focus group discussion sessions. At the beginning of each discussion, the research project was presented, emphasizing the fact that the discussion would not lead to immediate concrete change, but was designed to build up an understanding of how the school environment was experienced, and to tap into any associated difficulties, ideas, thoughts, and proposals. The sample of students that participated in the focus groups comprised one student per class, while in most cases the discussion groups with the teachers were less well attended.

A wider survey of the usership was conducted via a questionnaire, with a view to involving the entire school community in reflecting on the school environment and to broadening the pool of participants from which to collect information and qualitative evaluations. Rates of participation across the five case studies varied significantly; overall, 673 students (from 36 classes) and 69 teachers (covering all ten groups of compulsory school subjects) took part. Separate data analysis was conducted for each school. Then the outcomes were compared with a view to distinguishing between commonly recurring patterns and issues specific to individual cases.

Finally, a workshop was held with the principals of the five schools to present, discuss, and validate the research findings.

### 1.3. Outcomes

The technical inspections revealed that most of the buildings had only undergone a limited number of interventions related to compliance with safety and disabled access legislation; the interiors rated poorly in terms of finishes and decoration; systems and equipment were dated, although many classrooms had interactive whiteboards (IWB). There are also multiple discomfort-related issues, especially: noise; temperature control (during hot/cold weather); glare.

From our observations of typical school mornings, some basic conclusions were drawn:

- the space most used was the classroom; laboratories were often empty;
- usage of school spaces and times followed a pattern linked to the class group and the school timetable;
- there was little mixing between different class groups, even during recreation;
- typically: empty corridors=working in the classroom, corridors full=not working, breaktime or school over;
- the need for constant authorization from the teachers for students to move around school spaces;
- students had necessarily to be supervised by teachers and/or caretakers at all times, including breaktime.

During the focus group discussions, students proved to be acute observers of all aspects of the classroom, from its more general to its more specific characteristics, and offered many details, including concerning its layout and shape, which they immediately associated with liveability and visibility.

The students' feedback fell under the following categories:

- the request for greater attention to the body, its dimensions, and need for physical movement;
- the consequent need for furniture to be appropriated designed to contain/host the body, from smaller items such as desks and chairs to the larger scale;
- a focus on environments as a whole: colours, brightness, temperature, and noise;
- the desire to have opportunities to use the school more autonomously, and participate in decision-making processes.

Notably, group work, project work, individual or pair work, readings held in a corner of the library, were never mentioned by the students. Only a few examples were recalled of working outside the classroom setting; one student pointed out that in his class, learning was more active and participatory and reported a flexible use of space, as if this were the exception to the rule.

In the teacher groups, discussing environments, their uses, their characteristics, and indoor and outdoor spaces ultimately led to broader reflection on the teachers' own professional competence, and their role

in contemporary society. In many cases, they conveyed a sort of weariness, and in some cases, demotivation concerning their work. This seemed to be exacerbated by contact with a complex society in a continuous state of flux, and the incessant social, economic, and cultural change, which in turn requires schools to adapt quickly and rise to the new challenges.

Concerning the use of spaces, although the classroom was undoubtedly the most intensely experienced space, many teachers stressed the importance of having dedicated classrooms for individual subjects or at least laboratories for subjects such as art, music, etc. When specifically discussing alternative teaching-learning methods to conventional lecture-style classes, such as group work, the obstacle explicitly raised was large class size.

In many school buildings, laboratories, especially modern ones, were not included in the original building design and so have been installed in spaces originally designed for other purposes. Compelling needs such as a greater number of students with disabilities also create space pressures. Due to this lack of space, many teachers of laboratory subjects (technology, etc.) are forced to teach in ordinary classrooms, which necessarily means, in their opinion, cutting down on both the learning contents and the practical activities offered. A further obstacle to making flexible use of halls and corridors is the issue of teaching students to respect safety regulations, and a lack of emergency exits and easy access. The inherent constraints of the buildings, originally designed for a radically different teaching-learning style, were thus viewed as insurmountable. Similarly, green spaces, and outdoor spaces, were only seen as suitable for exceptional teaching-learning activities.

A frequently-mentioned theme was dissatisfaction with current staffroom arrangements. Another recurring theme was the use of innovative methodologies, including frequent use of laboratories, where present, but both the inspections conducted in the schools and the students' comments suggest that such approaches are rarely implemented in practice.

A final key theme was the school's ties with the local community. Some of the participating schools had been practising forms of exchange with the surrounding area for years, for example by making the school building available to host community activities, although some of the teachers did not agree with this policy, stating that they would prefer the school to be kept closed to outsiders for security reasons. In other cases, the school has concentrated primarily on cultivating relationships with the students' parents rather than the local community per se, involving parents in projects designed to enrich the educational offering (manning the library and actively contributing to the maintenance of the school building).

The most important points that emerged from the focus group discussions with the teachers may be summarized as follows:

- lesser participation, in numerical terms, of teachers compared to students;
- reflection on spaces acting as a cue to discuss teaching-learning methods and educational strategies;
- preoccupation with safety issues, interpreted as institutional constraints preventing change;
- the need for a shared educational approach, which seems to be lacking in the lower secondary school cycle given its compartmentalization into subject areas.
- almost no mention of involving students in the potential rethinking of school spaces, their layout and uses.

The questionnaire data provided a large amount of information about the accessibility, features, and conditions of the school, the functionality and comfort of the classrooms, the mode and frequency of use of the different spaces, the users' perceptions of safety and sustainability practices, the changes needed, and related proposals.

The main outcomes from the student questionnaire data were:

- concerning internal and external access, in all case studies, no critical issues were flagged;
- apart from the classroom, the most used learning environments in the schools assessed were the gym and IT room; the least used were the art and science labs;
- furniture and especially the comfort of chairs received the most negative evaluations in the domain of functionality;
- in relation to the school's appearance and maintenance, the most negatively rated features were the conditions of the toilets and the colouring of the walls;
- the security of their belongings was problematic for 55% of students;
- over 75% of students wished for changes to be made to their school and many made proposals in answer to the open-ended questionnaire item. Most of their suggestions focused on aspects elsewhere flagged as critical. There were also many suggestions for enhancing the liveability of the school building. Organisational aspects were also assessed, with a focus on increased and more targeted use of school spaces. Roughly 50% of students expressed the desire to return to school outside of class hours, thus identifying the school building as a space with a role in bringing people together, including outside of regular educational activity, and with more autonomy.

The main outcomes from the teacher questionnaire data were:

- with regard to learning environments and equipment, the greatest dissatisfaction concerned 'variability in classroom configuration', a lack of space and facilities for storing work materials belonging to the teachers and students, classroom size, insufficient student access to ICT, insufficient equipment for

- students with special needs, a lack of alternative spaces and equipment for teachers (individual workspace and computer access, places for meeting parents, staffroom facilities);
- evaluation of the school's appearance and maintenance differed significantly across the five case studies;
  - in relation to comfort: most teachers deemed summer conditions to be worse (too hot) than winter conditions; scope to regulate natural light and/or artificial light in the classroom was poor; most teachers reported uncomfortable levels of noise from outside the classroom
  - high level of dissatisfaction with the lack of availability and related lack of security of personal spaces for keeping their materials;
  - a variety of realistic proposals were made, especially concerning possible changes to the staff room, and tailoring spaces and equipment to foster learning and meet students' needs.

#### *1.4. Findings*

The initial concept of involving a group of school communities in a research project, aimed at bringing out the most common issues that significantly affect the physical educational environment turned out to be undoubtedly positive and effective, with regard to both the reflections that matured over time, from the standpoint of teachers and researchers alike, and the long-term results which brought about the first tangible signs of change. Indeed, the time spent on-site by the team of researchers (theoretical experts) and the on-site tours and focus groups conducted with school users (actual local experts) facilitated a collective increase in knowledge and skills concerning the relationships between physical environments and learning environments in school buildings. The project was an intense self-learning opportunity, based on an in-depth exchange of ideas and impressions which derived as much from different disciplinary and cultural backgrounds as from different levels and conditions of experience.

However, feedback obtained subsequently from the teachers suggested that the project left its mark on the schools that participated. Teaching staff at some of the school continued the discussion about how to change and improve the physical school environment conditions, even questioning their own way of occupying and using their allotted space.

Where the schools involved were more committed to enhancing their buildings and were operating under more favourable conditions, the reflective process initiated during the research project led to the subsequent launching of improvement schemes and actions. These were autonomously managed by the schools themselves, with the different sectors of the school communities participating in a variety of ways.

A particularly significant example is described in the next section.

## **2. Interventions for enhancing school spaces via the involvement of the school community: the experience of a lower secondary ('middle') school at the A.B. Sabin Comprehensive Institute (Segrate)**

The A.B. Sabin Comprehensive Institute, in the town of Segrate in the province of Milan, consists of seven schools of three different levels. In the context of the above-described 'Back to school' research project on lower secondary school spaces conducted by DASTU at the Politecnico and the University of Milano-Bicocca, the comprehensive institute's two middle schools were assessed with a view to identifying the weak points and potential strengths of their existing spaces by listening to the voices of their users: the students and teachers. The principal of the Comprehensive Institute, Elisabetta Trisolini, also participated in one phase of the research. Feedback from the ongoing research activity and recent international projects stimulated the launch of a series of interventions by the comprehensive institute itself, implemented with the collaboration of a very active and enterprising parents' association.

The actions undertaken by Istituto Comprensivo Sabin to enhance the school are still ongoing and involve the entire school community formed by students, teachers, and parents. Reflection on the role of the indoor and outdoor school environment in learning and well-being –via both the functional layout of educational spaces and the multisensorial perceptions of those who use them daily – encouraged the school principal to channel human and economic resources available within the school community into educational activities designed to enhance selected school spaces and make them more distinctive. Thus, the lower secondary school students who were the beneficiaries of these activities have played a leading part in launching a process of personalization and characterization of their own school spaces.

The areas chosen to undergo partial and gradual transformation were the connecting spaces of the Milan Due lower secondary school: the entrance hall/atrium, which provides direct access to a series of key educational/functional spaces (library, staffroom, coordination office, music room) and leads to the school's two separate classroom wings; the corridors internal to each of the wings.

The intervention was implemented over two distinct, but coordinated, phases. Taking advantage of the parents' association's offer to do painting work, priority was given to the entrance hall, the heart of the school's relational activities. The choice of colours took into account the two existing and original colours of the building, which was designed and built in the 1970s. Following a series of trials, the surfaces to be painted were chosen with a view to emphasizing the architectural volumes and planes defining the space of the atrium. The painting intervention, after being designed with the input of the students, was entirely financed and carried out by the parents outside of school hours (Fig. 2).

**FIG. 2.** Transformation of the atrium following the parents' intervention and educational activities with students.



Subsequently, educational expressive art workshops inspired by street-art were implemented under the *Programma Operativo Nazionale per la scuola, competenze and ambienti per l'apprendimento* [National Operational Program for Schools, Competences and Learning Environments]. The aim of these activities was to aesthetically enhance the school's connecting spaces (main atrium and corridors to the classrooms). The workshops ran for 30 hours outside of the normal school timetable and involved the decoration of grey metal cabinets already present along the corridors of the school, as well as the design and application of wall graphics with a view to marking out and lending character to key spaces. The students played an active role at each stage in the process of creating a product to be enjoyed by the entire school community. They were allowed a significant degree of autonomy, both in relation to organizing the work of their teams and in seeking new and original technical solutions, albeit under the supervision of their teachers (Fig. 3).

The activities were mainly practical, but nevertheless required the integrated deployment of operational and cognitive skills. The methodology adopted throughout was 'learning by doing', or learning to do something as opposed to learning contents, as is usually the case in laboratory/workshop activities. The laboratory work gave the students the opportunity to learn different techniques to those used during their regular curricular activities, but also to play a lead role in enhancing their everyday spaces, with their work remaining as a legacy to the entire

school community, present and future. Finally, the laboratory proved to be a valid setting for learning social and civic skills related to developing a sense of care for and belonging to places.

**FIG. 3.** *Storage cabinet and wall decoration during the extracurricular educational activities.*



## Conclusions

In this paper, we have presented the case study of the A.B. Sabin Comprehensive Institute, which drew on a study of school buildings conducted in five lower secondary schools in Milan to design and implement a series of modifications to one of its schools. The key points emerging from this research project overall include: the need, before initiating renovation work, to analyse the current use of spaces by referring to floor plans, collecting the voices of all the actors involved, focusing on identifying the needs that any changes should serve, and involving the entire school community in the transformation process. It is also crucial to define an overarching strategy, rather than focusing on small, uncoordinated interventions. Even if renovations are implemented gradually, a holistic vision of the school should never be lost: the school should always be viewed as a single organic entity, within which the various actors can jointly implement innovative ways of experiencing it and enhancing participation, with positive implications for educational processes and learning outcomes.

## References

- Baird, G., Gray, J., Isaacs, N., Kernohan, D., Mc Indoe, G. (1995). *Building Evaluation Techniques*, New York, McGraw-Hill.
- Blyth, A., Worthington, J. (2001). *Managing the Brief for Better Design*, London, Routledge.
- Cook-Sather, A. (2013). «Legittimare i punti di vista degli studenti. Nella direzione della fiducia, del dialogo e del cambiamento in educazione», in V. Grion, A. Cook-Sather (eds) *Student Voice. Prospettive internazionali e pratiche emergenti in Italia*, Milan, Guerini Scientifica, pp. 27-61.
- Dessì, V., Fianchini, M. (2015). «Lights and shadows in university classrooms», paper presented at the *International Conference Architectonics Network: Mind, Land Society*, 3-4-5 June, Barcelona, Coac (Colegio Oficial de Arquitectos de Cataluña) and Etsab (Escuela Técnica Superior de Arquitectura de Barcelona). Available at <https://pa.upc.edu/ca/Varis/altres/arqs/congresos/international-conference->
- Fianchini, M. (2001). «Un esempio di valutazione post-occupativa a Milano: il caso del Civico Centro Professionale di via Amoretti 30», *Ambiente Costruito*, 2, 22-7.
- Fianchini, M. (2007). «Fitness for purpose: a performance evaluation methodology for the management of university buildings», *Facilities*, 25, 3/4, 137-46.
- Fianchini, M. (2015). «Valutare gli edifici in uso. Un'applicazione sperimentale di Post Occupancy Evaluation a Milano», in Fattinanzi, E., Mondì, G. (eds) *L'analisi multicriteri tra valutazione and decisione*, DEI, Rome, pp. 369-77.
- Fianchini, M. (2017). «The dimension of knowledge on built environment interventions. The evolution of performance analysis models between theories and practice», *Techne*, 13, 159-64.
- Fianchini, M. (2019). «Scenarios Under Change in School Facility Interventions», in M. Fianchini (ed) (2019). *Renewing middle school facilities*, Springer Nature Switzerland AG, pp. 3-15
- Fianchini, M., Zuccoli, F. (2019). «Updating Users' Needs Framework in Middle Schools. A Field Research Activity», in M. Fianchini (ed) (2019). *Renewing middle school facilities*, Springer Nature Switzerland AG, pp. 65-127
- Flutter, J., Rudduck, J. (2005). *Student Voice and the architecture of change: Mapping the territory. A Report to Research Committee 07/06*, Cambridge, Faculty of Education, University of Cambridge.
- Grion, V., Cook-Sather, A. (2013). *Student Voice. Prospettive internazionali and pratiche emergenti in Italia*, Milan, Guerini.
- Montessori, M. (1969). *La scoperta del bambino*, Milan, Garzanti.
- OECD, (2009). *International Pilot Study on the evaluation of quality in educational spaces (EQES). User Manual Final Version*, <http://www.oecd.org/education/innovation-education/evaluatingqualityineducationalfacilities.htm>
- Pizzigoni, G. (1971). *Le mie lezioni ai Maestri delle Scuole Elementari d'Italia*, Brescia, La Scuola.

- 
- Preiser, W.F.E., Rabinowitz, H.Z., White, E.T. (1988). *Post Occupancy Evaluation*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, London.
- Teruggi, L., and Zuccoli, F. (2015). «The status of twenty-first century skills within the University of Milan-Bicocca's Degree Programme in Primary Education», *E-PEDAGOGIUM*, 2, 75-87.
- Zuccoli, F. (2019). «Education and architecture: seeking grounds for dialogue», in M. Fianchini (ed) *Renewing middle school facilities*, Switzerland AG, Springer Nature, pp. 17-32.



**ISBN 978-88-944888-9-0**

Proceedings of the 2<sup>nd</sup> International Conference of the Journal Scuola Democratica  
REINVENTING EDUCATION  
VOLUME III  
Pandemic and Post-Pandemic Space and Time

---

Edited by: The Organizing Committee the 1st International Conference of the Journal Scuola Democratica. <https://www.rivisteweb.it/issn/1129-731X>  
Published by: ASSOCIAZIONE "PER SCUOLA DEMOCRATICA" - Via F. Satolli, 30 – 00165 – Rome (IT)  
FILE IN OPEN ACCESS

This book is digitally available at: <https://www.scuolademocratica-conference.net/proceedings-2/>