

Psychogenic non-epileptic seizures: so-called psychiatric comorbidity and underlying defense mechanisms

Massimiliano Beghi^{1,2}
 Paola Beffa Negrini¹
 Cecilia Perin^{1,3}
 Federica Peroni^{1,3}
 Adriana Magaudo⁴
 Cesare Cerri^{1,3}
 Cesare Maria Cornaggia^{1,3}

¹Department of Surgery and Translational Medicine, University of Milano-Bicocca, ²Department of Mental Health, “Guido Salvini” Hospital, Garbagnate Milanese, Milan, Italy; ³Rehabilitation Medicine, Istituti Clinici Zucchi, Carate Brianza, Monza and Brianza, Italy; ⁴Epilepsy Center, Department of Neuroscience, University of Messina, Messina, Italy

Abstract: In *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, fifth edition, psychogenic non-epileptic seizures (PNES) do not have a unique classification as they can be found within different categories: conversion, dissociative, and somatization disorders. The ICD-10, instead, considers PNES within dissociative disorders, merging the dissociative disorders and conversion disorders, although the underlying defense mechanisms are different. The literature data show that PNES are associated with cluster B (mainly borderline) personality disorders and/or to people with depressive or anxiety disorders. Defense mechanisms in patients with PNES with a prevalence of anxious/depressive symptoms are of “neurotic” type; their goal is to lead to a “split”, either vertical (dissociation) or horizontal (repression). The majority of patients with this type of PNES have alexithymia traits, meaning that they had difficulties in feeling or perceiving emotions. In subjects where PNES are associated with a borderline personality, in which the symbolic function is lost, the defense mechanisms are of a more archaic nature (denial). PNES with different underlying defense mechanisms have different prognoses (despite similar severity of PNES) and need usually a different treatment (pharmacological or psychological). Thus, it appears superfluous to talk about psychiatric comorbidity, since PNES are a different symptomatic expression of specific psychiatric disorders.

Keywords: epilepsy, PNES, defense mechanisms, comorbidity

Historical background

Historically, phenomena of functional etiology were of relevant interest in the context of phenomenological psychiatry and were described as hysterical phenomena, recognizing for as a specific psychopathological dimension.¹ Until the advent of dynamic psychiatry, epileptic seizures (ES) and psychogenic non-epileptic seizures (PNES) were considered nearly the same, since it was not possible to distinguish between them, either on the level of instrumental evidence, or on the phenomenological level of the symptom manifestations. PNES differ from ES for some clinical signs but in most cases, a clinical distinction is not possible (Table 1).

Only with the growth of magnetism works, came the first “scientific” distinction between ES and PNES. In 1870s, at the school of Salpêtrière, Charcot² seemed able to distinguish between ES and PNES, and the possibility to achieve the disappearance of the symptoms, or at least an improvement using hypnotic practice.

In France, Charcot² and later Babinski,³ focused their research on hysteria, stating that hysteria was a psychic state which made the subject capable of autosuggestion; therefore, hysterical symptoms may (unlike nervous system disorders) be triggered in the patient by suggestion (phenomena called “pithiatic”).

Correspondence: Massimiliano Beghi
 Department of Mental Health, “Guido Salvini” Hospital, Garbagnate Milanese, via San Tommaso d’Aquino 2, 20017, Rho, Milan, Italy
 Email mbeghi@aogarbagnate.lombardia.it

Table 1 Signs used to distinguish between psychogenic non-epileptic seizures (PNES) and epileptic seizures (ES)

Variable	PNES	ES
Length	Usually >2 minutes	Usually <2 minutes
Onset	Usually gradual	Usually sudden
Trigger (light, sound)	Common	Uncommon
Location	Usually at home	Variable
Asynchronous movement of limbs	Common	Rare
Side to side head movement	Common	Rare
Side tongue bite	Rare	Common
Scream	Common	Only at the beginning
Cyanosis	Rare	Common
Postictal confusion	Rare	Common
Eyelid closure	Very common	Rare
Eyelid opening resistance	Very common	Rare
Hurt	Rare	Common

The traumatic origin was initially supported by Breuer and Freud's "seduction theory", but the same authors later considered PNES as complex issues in which biological thrusts and emotional factors were involved and connected.⁴ An instability of the ego (theory of Pierre Janet⁵), a strong predisposition of the female sex, the presence of a secondary benefit, and the so-called "belle indifference" were specific for the hysteria.

PNES are actually considered paroxysmal and involve involuntary attacks or behavioral changes characterized by sudden and temporary alteration of motor, sensory, autonomic, cognitive, and emotional control, whose critical content is similar to those observed during ES, but without electrical alterations or pathological modifications of the electroencephalography.⁶ The PNES are in fact a nonintentional expression of emotional distress.⁷ The semiology of these attacks is various: generalized movements, either tonic (stiffness), clonic or dystonic, absence of movement (akinesia), falling, focal motor movements or experiential phenomena reported by the patients (fear, anxiety, dreamy state, paresthesia, etc); nevertheless, a universally accepted classification has not been done yet.

Due to their similarity to ES, those phenomena that were similar to ES but did not have the same electrophysiological etiology, were labeled simply as "non-epileptic seizures" without further investigation, and relegated to a care setting that was foreign to the neurologist and poorly understood by the psychiatrist, confined in a sort of "land of anyone".

Concerning the nosography, after the transition from the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (DSM)-II to DSM-III, the terms "neurosis" and "hysteria" disappeared. Symptoms were broken up to their individual phenotypes and deprived of their meaning into experience and history of the subject.⁸ After the publication of DSM-IV TR,⁸ the classification of PNES no longer has found a

unique and proper place as they are distributed in different categories: conversion, dissociative, and somatization disorders. In a recent small study,⁹ 63% of patients were diagnosed with conversion disorder, 19% with somatization disorder, 7% with dissociative disorder not specified, 7% with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), and 4% with undifferentiated somatoform disorder. PNES are likely to be confused with a framework which "could be epilepsy but is not", and therefore be relegated to a lower level tagged as a comorbidity of something else. In the DSM-5,¹⁰ the theoretical point of view has changed a lot; the new classification of "somatic symptoms and related disorders" defines the major diagnosis on the basis of positive symptoms (distressing somatic symptoms plus abnormal thoughts, feelings, and behaviors in response to these symptoms). However, unexplained medical symptoms remain a key feature in conversion disorder and PNES do not have the specific place where they are needed yet. Stone et al¹¹ supported the current DSM classification affirming that the arguments in favor of splitting off PNES from other neurological symptoms are not as strong as keeping them together (wherever they are placed in DSM-5).

The ICD-10¹² places the PNES in the dissociative disorders, although, unlike the DSM-5, it merges dissociative disorders and conversion disorders even if the underlying defense mechanisms are different.

The risk is to create a "cauldron" where every different manifestation, which is rarely understood in their clinical significance and symptomatic expressiveness, is likely to be found together.

The present work intends to assess, due to the current lack of literature, what are the clinical conditions that characterize the phenomena we call PNES could be, particularly in light of the so-called psychiatric comorbidity and the underlying defense mechanisms that are not taken into account in the other studies.

Psychiatric disorders and PNES: can we talk about comorbidity?

In the literature, the comorbidity between PNES and other psychiatric disorders is frequent^{13,14} as, in a study conducted by Turner et al,¹⁵ all patients with PNES had a psychiatric diagnosis. A recent review estimates that the prevalence rate of depression in adults with PNES is between 21% and 60%.¹⁶ This rate is higher than in the general population^{16,17} and in patients with ES.¹⁶ Depression is correlated with a lower level of functioning and to a worse quality of life,^{17,18} although in patients with PNES the quality of life is lower than in patients with ES even without a diagnosis of depression.¹⁷ The type of depression symptoms seems to differ between PNES patients, who show more physiological symptoms of depression, and ES patients.¹⁹

The prevalence of anxiety disorders (especially for PTSD) is higher in PNES than in the general population and in drug-resistant epilepsy.^{20,21} More specifically, many patients who have PNES have features of PTSD and 22%–100% of patients with PNES fulfill the DSM-5 criteria for PTSD.²² In the patients with comorbid PTSD, the hypomotor semiology seems to be predominant,²³ as well as in patients with PNES only than in patients with comorbid PNES and ES.²⁴ Depression and anxiety ratings are higher in the presence of trauma.²⁵ Data on psychosis are scarce, but the prevalence of psychosis in PNES seems to be the same²² or even lower²⁰ than in the drug-resistant epilepsy.

Personality disorder (especially of cluster B) seems to be correlated with PNES^{16,26} even more than depression and anxiety.²⁷ Significant differences between patients with PNES and patients with ES and healthy controls were found in two recent cohort studies in cluster B personality disorders (but not in depression and anxiety disorders).^{15,28}

Two studies comparing the Personality Assessment Inventory (PAI) patients with PNES and patients with ES and healthy controls showed more disorders of the subscales related to somatization disorders and conversion disorders in patients with PNES.^{29,30} Similarly, a significant difference was detected in somatic subscales with the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI) between patients with PNES and patients with insomnia.³¹

All these findings are summarized in Table 2.

Defense mechanisms

Defense mechanisms are unconscious psychological tools that are useful in maintaining the intrapsychic balance of the subject, especially, though not exclusively, in situations with deep anxiety or stress. According to the structural model, defense mechanisms are totally unconscious processes

activated by the ego under the pressure of anxiety to prevent the trauma recall and the emergence of instinctual wishes not satisfactory on a conscious level. In case of traumatic or hard-to-manage contents, the individual can develop a defense mechanism to avoid contact with this type of experiences that may reactivate painful sensations or memories; this is a normal psychological procedure, as it leads to the individual's self-preservation and to a beneficial adaptation. However, if there is a separation between the affective and emotional content and the consciousness, a nonadaptive behavior can lead to the rise of pathological states.

Archaic and advanced defense mechanisms

The defense mechanisms can be classified hierarchically according to the degree of maturity associated with them. Anna Freud³² was the first who tried to classify the defense mechanisms according to the hierarchical model that took into account the stages of development. She concluded that defense mechanisms have their chronological order, established relationships with other ego functions, and can be directed in the adaptive or pathological sense, if they are used before the right age or kept too long thereafter. For the classical psychoanalytic model, the mental illness is an expression of a conflict between instincts and various psychic structures, and all defense mechanisms have to protect the ego against instinctual demands of the id.³³

According to the modern classification,³⁴ defense mechanism is divided into more “mature” (altruism, sublimation, and humor), “neurotic” (repression, moving, reaction formation, affect isolation, undoing, somatization, and conversion), and more “immature”, related to more severe disease states, such as psychosis and personality disorders (splitting, projection, introjection, and denial).

Horizontal split: repression

Repression is the main neurotic type defense mechanism, whose fundamental purpose is to block the emergence and discharge of instinctual demands that are not acceptable and thus are the sources of distress. It implies a horizontal split, which means that the conscious content remains above the unconsciousness and a barrier that blocks unacceptable contents separates these two parts; in cases of pathological states, there may be a “return of the repressed” and therefore the flooding of unconscious contents that overwhelm the subject's internal barriers. Freud reported about “dynamic unconscious”, which means the transition of mental contents on an unconscious level that could be explored only through some specific tools, such as hypnosis or free associations. In the repression, ideas disappear but affections remain.³⁵

Table 2 Psychiatric disorders and psychogenic non-epileptic seizures (PNES)

Study	Number of patients	Variables studied	Results
Turner et al ¹⁵	21 patients with epileptic seizures (ES), 22 patients with PNES, and 10 patients with ES associated with PNES (video EEG) Review	Psychiatric diagnosis SCID I and SCID II	Psychiatric diagnosis in 100% of PNES and 52% of ES. Cluster B personality disorders more common and mood and anxiety disorders less common in patients with PNES.
Kanner et al ¹⁶	53 epilepsy (ES) patients and 53 PNES patients (video EEG)	Depression in PNES	Reported prevalence rate of depressive disorders in adults with PNES, determined by interviews based on DSM-III-R or DSM-IV criteria, ranges from 21% to 60%. Depression lowers the QOL in both ES and PNES; PNES lower QOL, even without depression.
Szafarski and Szafarski ¹⁷	82 consecutive PNES patients (video EEG)	Quality of life (QOLIE-89), depression (POMS)	Depression, pain syndromes, older age of onset, shorter duration of PNES, elevated anger state, trait and total anger scores lower QOL.
Myers et al ¹⁸	35 patients with PNES and 49 patients with drug-resistant epilepsy (DRE)	Quality of life (QOLIE-31), personality (MMPI-2-RF) anger (STAXI-2)	Axis I psychiatric disorder in 100% of PNES patients and in 67% of DRE patients.
Scévola et al ²⁰	19 PNES patients with trauma, six PNES patients without trauma	Psychiatric diagnosis SCID I and SCID II	Anxiety disorders, trauma history, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and personality cluster B disorders more common and psychotic disorders less common in PNES patients. Depression equally prevalent in both groups.
Hingray et al ²⁵	45 adults with PNES	Psychiatric diagnosis MINI II, alexithymia with Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS), dissociation with Dissociative Experience Scale (DES)	Patients with trauma at least one psychiatric comorbidity or antecedent (vs 0% in the no-trauma group, $P<0.001$) and a higher median score of dissociation ($P<0.001$). Patients without trauma had more frequent "frustration situations" as a factor triggering PNES ($P=0.001$). Trauma antecedents correlated with a high rate of psychiatric comorbidity and a strong dissociative mechanism.
Bowman and Markand ²¹	Review of 17 studies	Psychiatric diagnosis SCID I and SCID II, trauma history	In PNES, there are high rates for somatoform disorders, dissociative disorders, affective disorders, personality disorders, PTSD, and other anxiety. The lifetime occurrence of non-seizure conversion disorders is 82%. 84% of the subjects reported trauma (67% sexual abuse, 67% physical abuse, and 73% other traumas). PNES patients have very high rates of trauma (44%–100%) and abuse (23%–77%), which were 15%–40% higher than in control groups. PNES samples also showed a higher prevalence of PTSD than control groups.
Fizman et al ²²	25 adults with PNES and 33 adults with epilepsy	The prevalence of traumatic events and/or PTSD in PNES	Stressful negative life events (including adulthood abuse) and more current rumination, stress-related diseases, somatic symptoms, bodily awareness, and marginally more anxiety and depression are more common in PNES.
Tojek et al ²⁷	35 patients with PNES and 35 healthy controls	Psychiatric disorders with brief symptoms inventory, life events with life events checklist	No significant difference between the patient groups in the prevalence of axis I psychiatric disorders. Personality disorders were more prevalent in the PNES group than in the ES group.
Direk et al ²⁸	62 patients with PNES, 55 with ES, and 45 healthy control	Psychiatric diagnosis SCID I and SCID II	Somatic concerns and symptoms of anxiety and depression more common in PNES and ES patients.
Testa et al ²⁹	75 patients with PNES and 109 patients with epilepsy	Psychiatric diagnoses with Personality Assessment Inventory (PAI)	Unusual somatic symptoms and greater physical symptoms of anxiety and depression more common in PNES patients only.
Thompson et al ³⁰	41 patients with PNES and 43 patients with insomnia	Psychiatric diagnoses with PAI	Somatic, conversion, depressed, anxious, and suicidal symptoms more common in PNES patients.
Bodde et al ³¹	Personality with MMPI II	Personality with MMPI II	No statistically significant quantitative differences on the main clinical scales, somatic complaints and bizarre sensory experiences more common in PNES patients.

D'Alessio et al ²⁴	43 patients with PNES (24 pure PNES and 19 PNES and ES)	Psychiatric disorders with SCID I and SCID II, demographic characteristics, use of psychotropic drugs	Female population, age, duration of PNES, psychiatric institutionalization, psychopharmacotherapy, dissociative disorders, and PTSD higher in the pure PNES patients. Suicide attempts, antiepileptic therapy, conversive, affective, and personality disorders frequent in both groups. Lack of responsiveness significantly higher in the mixed PNES group.
Hovorka et al ²⁶	49 PNES patients	Interictal EEG, brain MRI, personality disorders	Interictal EEG was abnormal in 46.4%. Brain MRI was abnormal in 30.4%. Personality disorders were the most frequent psychiatric comorbidity (in 44.6% of PNES patients), emotionally unstable (borderline) personality disorder was predominant (in 32.1% of PNES patients).
Asmussen et al ¹⁹	59 PNES patients vs 60 ES patients	Depression with self-reported PAI and BDI-II	PNES group, particularly PNES females, endorsed a significantly higher level of physiological symptoms of depression as measured by the PAI DEP-P subscale than the ES group; the BDI-II did not differ between groups.
Jones et al ¹³	61 PNES patients	Quality of life with the QOLIE-89, psychiatric diagnosis with the SCL-90-R	Poor long-term outcomes with ongoing PNES, high rates of psychopathology (63.2%, 48% depression), low rates of specialist follow-up, poor QOL, and poor overall levels of functioning.
Seneviratne et al ¹⁴	39 PNES patients	Axis I diagnosis by clinical interview	Comorbid chronic medical conditions were found in 38.5% and axis I psychiatric diagnoses in 48.7%.

Abbreviations: BDI-II, Beck Depression Inventory; DSM-III-R, *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, third edition, revised; DSM-IV, *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, fourth edition; EEG, electroencephalography; MINI II, Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview II; MMPI II, Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory II; MMPI-2-RF, Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory-2, Restructured Form; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; PAI DEP-P, Personality Assessment Inventory, Depression (Physiological) subscale; POMS, Profile of Mood States; QOL, quality of life; QOLIE, Quality of Life in Epilepsy Inventory; SCID, severe combined immunodeficiency; SCL-90-R, symptom checklist-90-revised; STAXI-2, State-Trait Anger Expression Inventory-2.

Personalities characterized by the repression mechanisms present a childlike aspect: their emotional experience tends to remain dispersed and labile, their actions are dominated by impulses, and their interpersonal relationships are characterized by unstable attachment. The way of thinking of neurotic subjects is “simplistic”, full of affection, and dominated by stereotypes. Krohn³⁶ pointed out that the hysterical personalities are prone to use the defense posture of not-knowing, not-seeing, and not-acknowledging.

In conversion disorders, the somatic symptom represents the symbolic resolution of a psychological conflict and leads to avoidance of internal conflict. There are somatic manifestations that lack medical justification, but that cause the same invalidity in relational and social aspects.

Vertical splitting: dissociation

The DSM-5¹⁰ defines dissociation as “a disruption of and/or discontinuity in the normal integration of consciousness, memory, identity, emotion, perception, body representation, motor control, and behavior”. The somatoform dissociation³⁷ is supposed to be the core element of the newly defined “somatic symptom and related disorder”. Nijenhuis³⁷ makes a distinction between “psychological dissociation” and “somatoform dissociation”. Somatoform dissociation designates dissociative symptoms are those that phenomenologically involve the body, and psychological dissociative symptoms are those that phenomenologically involve psychological variables. Results from the literature pointed out that although somatoform and psychological dissociations are manifestations of a common process, they are not completely overlapping.³⁷ Moreover, the repression described in the “Horizontal split: repression” section differs from both psychological and somatoform dissociations because in the latter, there is a vertical splitting. The traumatic contents are not relegated in the unconscious, but they can “exist in parallel in a kind of co-awareness separated by a dissociative barrier”.³⁸ In the dissociation, contents are not relegated in the unconscious, but they are localized in the preconscious and are accessible to awareness.

Unlike what happens in the repression (in which the representative is removed, while the affection persists), in the dissociation, both idea and affection remain unconscious, but at the same time, they are expressed through alteration of consciousness.

The dissociation includes phenomena such as memory loss in amnesia, loss of awareness in figure states, and division of roles in multiple personality disorder. The common

denominator is the disappearance of a unitary self and a fragmentation that brings profound anxiety. The dissociation seems to have an adaptive value as a response to severe trauma, and especially in children, it would allow an escape from a highly conflicting situation. The dissociation would work then to preserve the healthy core of the self.

Because of the characteristics of strong reality distortion that can get to the point of not recognizing external events as part of their own experience, Lerner³⁸ affirms that “dissociation corresponds to the denial of low-level rather than neurotic level denial”. It is observed usually in psychotic states in which a disconnection from reality is present. In PNES, dissociation seems to be correlated with psychiatric comorbidity^{25,39} and with somatization.⁴⁰ However, dissociation could be significantly higher in PNES patients without a comorbid psychiatric disorder too. Recent studies on functional connectivity found stronger connectivity values between emotion (insula), executive control (inferior frontal gyrus and parietal cortex), and movement areas (precentral sulcus) in patients with PNES (without psychiatric comorbidity) than in healthy controls^{41,42} and that this connectivity is correlated with high dissociation scores.^{41,42}

The defense mechanisms in relation to the PNES

Patients with PNES are often related closely to subjects with “hysterical” type disorders (somatization and conversion), and are often correlated with anxious or depressive disorders. In these cases, the symbolic function is preserved, as patients use defensive mechanisms belonging to the neurotic register as repression, reactive formation, retroactive annulment, displacement, and affect isolation.³⁴ Defense mechanisms belonging to the so-called “Style 1” are not excluded and indicate a more regressive situation linked to the inability to integrate pulses, such as autistic withdrawal, acting out, inhibition, passive aggression, and projection.⁴³

The majority of patients with PNES have alexithymia traits, meaning that they had difficulties in feeling or perceiving emotions.^{7,25,44,45} The role played by the bodily expression of the disorder, as well as the presence of a repression process that drives most disturbing elements in the unconscious (tendency to minimize the critical event and to focus attention more on the context), is undeniable.

Various studies that used conversational approach in the differential diagnosis between ES and PNES^{46–48} showed that individuals with PNES are very vague, while people with ES are very precise in the description of seizures, with an attempt to overcome the gap, or interruption of the existential

continuum. This further shows the “functionality” of this gap inside a defense mechanism, whose aim is to lead to a split, either vertical or horizontal. In the stories of individuals with PNES, the gap is maintained, even if they do not actually lose consciousness, their descriptive effort is directed fully to the context, which is addressed to their symptomatic and bodily message. By contrast, in patients with ES, even in front of a loss of consciousness, the effort is all about the story reconstruction, even imaginary, of the gap, because they experienced the seizure as intrusive and unexpected.⁴⁹

In subjects whose PNES are associated with a borderline personality structure, in which the symbolic function is lost or significantly damaged, the defense mechanisms are not only archaic in nature for mainly dissociation (which reconnects to primitive idealization), but also denial, omnipotence, devaluation, and the split.³⁴ According to Bond,⁴³ the defensive style of borderline structures could be defined as “Style 2”, which is characterized by an image distortion through splitting, primitive idealization, and almighty devaluation. As in the borderline personality disorder, the subject with PNES lives the separation and alternation, almost compartmental, between opposing states of their own experience (presence or absence of seizures) and appeals to denial when the traumatic reality (seizure) is disregarded inside the horizontal split. Even in this case, the narrative experience of not reconstructing the gap is understood.

Patients with PNES can also have different coping strategies. A high emotion-focused coping was seen in patients with underlying psychological symptoms that were not observed in other coping strategies. This information supports the relevance of assessing stress coping in patients with PNES because it allows the identification of useful behavioral targets for the psychotherapist.⁵⁰

For these reasons, PNES with different underlying defense mechanisms need usually a different treatment (pharmacological or psychological). The relationship between the type of PNES and defense mechanism involved has not been studied yet in the literature.

Discussion

In recent decades, the defense mechanisms are being increasingly considered in their clinical sense, not as mental operation, which have the function to protect from anxiety, but rather as structures specialized in the solution to psychic conflicts⁵¹ which mediate between desires, needs, feelings, and impulses of the individual, on the one hand, and internal prohibitions and external realities, on the other hand. They, therefore, constitute a creative synthesis, are relatively

involuntary and unconscious, and can distort internal and/or external reality.⁵²

Bromberg⁵³ affirms that “Dissociation, such as repression, is a healthy and adaptive function of the human mind.” It is a basic process that allows individual states of the self to work in an optimal way (and not only in a simply defensive way), when we really need or want to have a full immersion in a single reality, a single strong affection, and a suspension of the auto-reflexive ability. In other words, the dissociation is, first and foremost, a means by which a human being keeps personal continuity, consistency, and integrity of the sense of self.⁵³

Patients with PNES displayed somatoform dissociation to a greater extent than those with ES, but this difference was not retained when statistically controlling for anxiety scores or demographic and seizure variables.⁵⁴ A recent study carried out by Kaplan et al⁴⁴ compared patients with ES and patients with PNES on their defensive style, using the response evaluation measure, and did not find any difference between the two groups.³⁷ In addition, different problems of emotion processing may predominate in the same patient at different points of their disorder.⁵⁴

As suggested by Brown et al⁵⁵ and Baslet,⁵⁶ at the basis of the etiopathogenic mechanism of PNES and some ES in its expression of “dissociation”, there could be the same disconnection of brain areas involved in different cognitive and emotional functions. When either an epileptic or a psychogenic event occurs, there is a “dissociation” of behavioral and attention systems with a “gap” between the usual systems and the symptoms that emerge during the seizure. According to Roberts and Reuber,⁵⁴ neural mechanisms underlying these processes have begun to be explored in PNES, and relevant models have been developed more fully in related states or conditions such as PTSD, dissociation and depersonalization, and borderline personality disorder.

When there is no organic correspondence explaining and justifying some physical states, we enter a field where the presented symptoms convey meanings that have to be interpreted and processed; this definition seems to recall vaguely the one that Freud used to describe the pathology of hysteria.

During the ES, there is a hyperactivation of a specific part of the brain, while the remaining is excluded; in case of complex partial epilepsy, dissociative symptoms may occur because patients during ictal and postictal phases may exhibit wandering or semifinalized behaviors resulting in amnesia; amnesia for the event or feelings of *déjà vu*, like in epilepsy of the temporal lobe, are also possible phenomena of denial of sensory data.

There is an element that connects the experience of any critical event, being of epileptic or psychogenic etiology (a “split”), between different states of mind awareness.

Both ES and PNES present a dysfunction in emotional processes or to gain from dissociation (in Bromberg meaning)⁵³ to cope with a traumatic event.

Not surprisingly, many studies have highlighted that the prevalence of severe traumatic events, such as child sexual or physical abuse, is present in patients with PNES in a percentage that goes from 32.4% to 88.0%. A history of trauma affects in a negative way alexithymia traits and adherence to psychotherapy.^{7,45,57}

There is an attempt to cope with a trauma, trying to depart from it, and not to be disintegrated by it; in the case of PNES, such an attempt is made using the body at a symbolic level. The affection that cannot be verbalized is shown in different ways: more advanced in the case of a neurotic structure and more archaic for the borderline one, where the symbolic level is more lacking.

In PNES, the body is only a vehicle, a medium; the trauma does not originate from the person and his or her manifestations, but from a previous emotional “engorgement” that materializes through the body.

Patients with PNES report more negative life events and they do not indicate them as triggers of their seizures. However, patients with epilepsy correlate more of their negative life events to seizures.^{7,56} In PNES, traumatic experiences are also accompanied by dissociative phenomena, since many of these seizures represent a complete or partial loss of integration of mental functions such as identity, memory, consciousness, and environment perception. From this point of view, the split no longer belongs exclusively to the defense mechanisms, but arises as a process that distinguishes mental states that accompany the human experience, both in its healthy expressions and in its pathological ones, and thus becomes an experience of how the psychic apparatus is structured under certain circumstances.

At this point, we have to do with a framework with its own statute and dignity. PNES are the symptomatic expression of a psychiatric disorder itself, and we should talk about an underlying etiological diagnosis instead of psychiatric comorbidity. The PNES indicate a way to “convert” psychological distress on organic level by replacing the verbal with a representation of symptoms.

The most appropriate part of PNES definition is that of “psychogenic” seizures, as that term encompasses the variety and depth of the exhibited symptoms as well as the types of underlying defense mechanisms.

Following this trend, traits seem to emerge connected to a disease, apparently disappearing like hysteria, and, therefore, it is almost natural to recall Mattioli and Scalzone⁵⁸ who argued that

[...] the hysterical pathology will never disappear because it represents a specific state of mind, an operational mode, characterized by an psychodynamic organization made up of defense mechanisms, cognitive styles, memory functions, personality traits and psychological and somatic symptoms.⁵⁸

Conclusion

PNES are the result of differences in brain processes and that of defense mechanisms. Consequently, prognosis and different pharmacological or psychological treatment should be need. Thus, it appears superfluous to talk about psychiatric comorbidity, since PNES are a different symptomatic expression of specific psychiatric disorders.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Jaspers K. *Allgemeine Psychopathologie. Ein Leitfadens für Studierende, Ärzte und Psychologen* [General Psychopathology: A Textbook for Students, Physicians and Psychologists]. Berlin: J. Springer; 1913. German.
- Charcot JM. *Leçons du mardi à la Salpêtrière. Policliniques 1887–1889* [Tuesday Lessons at the Salpêtrière. Policlinics 1887–1889]. Paris: Bureaux du Progrès Medical; 1889. French.
- Babinski J. *Hystérie-pithiatisme et troubles nerveux d'ordre réflexe en neurologie de guerre* [Hysteria or pithiatism: and reflex nervous disorders in the neurology of war]. Paris: Masson; 1918.
- Breuer J, Freud S. *Studies in Hysteria*. New York: Nervous and Mental Disease Publishing; 1937.
- Janet P. *Les Médications Psychologiques* [The Psychology Medications]. Paris: Alcan; 1919–1921. French.
- Lesser RP. Psychogenic seizure. *Neurology*. 1996;46:1499–1507.
- Reuber M. The etiology of psychogenic non-epileptic seizures: toward a biopsychosocial model. *Neurol Clin*. 2009;27:909–924.
- American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. Text Revision (DSM-IV-TR)*. 4th ed. Washington (DC): American Psychiatric Press; 2000.
- Marchetti RL, Kurcugan D, Neto JG, von Bismark MA, Marchetti LB, Fiore LA. Psychiatric diagnoses of patients with psychogenic non-epileptic seizures. *Seizure*. 2008;17(3):247–253.
- American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5)*. 5th ed. Washington (DC): American Psychiatric Press; 2013.
- Stone J, LaFrance WC Jr, Brown R, Spiegeld D, Levenson JL, Sharpe M. Conversion disorder: current problems and potential solutions for DSM-5. *J Psychosom Res*. 2011;71(6):369–376.
- World Health Organization. *The ICD-10. Classification of Mental and Behavioural Disorders, Clinical Descriptions and Diagnostic Guidelines*. Geneva: WHO Press; 1992.
- Jones SG, O'Brien TJ, Adams SJ, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcome in patients with psychogenic nonepileptic seizures. *Psychosom Med*. 2010;72(5):487–497.
- Seneviratne U, Briggs B, Lowenstern D, D'Souza W. The spectrum of psychogenic non-epileptic seizures and comorbidities seen in an epilepsy monitoring unit. *J Clin Neurosci*. 2011;18(3):361–363.
- Turner K, Piazzini A, Chiesa V, et al. Patients with epilepsy and patients with psychogenic non-epileptic seizures: video-EEG, clinical and neuropsychological evaluation. *Seizure*. 2011;20(9):706–710.
- Kanner AM, Schachter SC, Barry JJ, et al. Depression and epilepsy, pain and psychogenic non-epileptic seizures: clinical and therapeutic perspectives. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2012;24:169–181.
- Szaflarski JP, Szaflarski M. Seizure disorders, depression, and health-related quality of life. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2004;5:50–57.
- Myers L, Lancman M, Laban-Grant O, Matzner B, Lancman M. Psychogenic non-epileptic seizures: predisposing factors to diminished quality of life. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2012;25:358–362.
- Asmussen SB, Kirlin KA, Gale SD, Chung SS. Differences in self-reported depressive symptoms between patients with epileptic and psychogenic nonepileptic seizures. *Seizure*. 2009;18(8):564–566.
- Scévola L, Teitelbaum J, Oddo S, et al. Psychiatric disorders in patients with psychogenic nonepileptic seizures and drug-resistant epilepsy: a study of an Argentine population. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2013;29(1):155–160.
- Bowman ES, Markand ON. Psychodynamics and psychiatric diagnoses of pseudoseizure subjects. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1996;153(1):57–63.
- Fizman A, Alves-Leon SV, Nunes RG, D'Andrea I, Figueira I. Traumatic events and posttraumatic stress disorder in patients with psychogenic nonepileptic seizures: a critical review. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2004;5:818–825.
- Chen DK, Izadyar S. Characteristics of psychogenic nonepileptic events among veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder: an association of semiology with the nature of trauma. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2010;17(2):188–192.
- D'Alessio L, Giagante B, Oddo S, et al. Psychiatric disorders in patients with psychogenic non-epileptic seizures, with and without comorbid epilepsy. *Seizure*. 2006;15(5):333–339.
- Hingray C, Maillard L, Hubsch C, et al. Psychogenic nonepileptic seizures: characterization of two distinct patient profiles on the basis of trauma history. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2011;22:532–536.
- Hovorka J, Nežádál T, Herman E, Nemcová I, Bajacek M. Psychogenic non-epileptic seizures, prospective clinical experience: diagnosis, clinical features, risk factors, psychiatric comorbidity, treatment outcome. *Epileptic Disord*. 2007;9(Suppl 1):S52–S58.
- Tojek TM, Lumley M, Barkley G, Mahr G, Thomas A. Stress and other psychosocial characteristics of patients with psychogenic nonepileptic seizures. *Psychosomatics*. 2000;41(3):221–226.
- Direk N, Kulaksizoglu IB, Alpay K, Gurses C. Using personality disorders to distinguish between patients with psychogenic nonepileptic seizures and those with epileptic seizures. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2012;23:138–141.
- Testa SM, Lesser RP, Krauss GL, Brandt J. Personality Assessment Inventory among patients with psychogenic seizures and those with epilepsy. *Epilepsia*. 2011;52:s84–s88.
- Thompson AW, Hantke N, Phatak V, Chaytor N. The Personality Assessment Inventory as a tool for diagnosing psychogenic nonepileptic seizures. *Epilepsia*. 2010;51:161–164.
- Bodde NM, Bartelet DC, Ploegmakers M, Lazeron RH, Aldenkamp AP, Boon PA. MMPI-II personality profiles of patients with psychogenic nonepileptic seizures. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2011;20:674–680.
- Freud A. *The Ego and the Mechanisms of Defense*. New York: Indiana University of Pennsylvania; 1966.
- Freud S. *Inhibition, Symptoms and Anxiety*. Stamford (CT): Psychoanalytic Institute; 1927.
- Vaillant GE. Ego mechanisms of defense and personality psychopathology. *J Abnorm Psychol*. 1994;103:44–50.
- Freud S. *La rimozione* [The Repression]. Torino: Bollati Boringhieri; 1915. Italian.
- Krohn A. *Hysteria: The Elusive Neurosis*. New York: International Universities Press, Inc.; 1978.

37. Nijenhuis ERS. Somatoform dissociation: major symptoms of dissociative disorders. *J Trauma Dissociation*. 2000;1(4):7–32.
38. Lerner P. *Psychoanalytic Perspective of the Rorschach*. Hillsdale (NJ): Analytic Press; 1998.
39. Baslet G, Roiko A, Prenskey E. Heterogeneity in psychogenic nonepileptic seizures: understanding the role of psychiatric and neurological factors. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2010;17(2):236–241.
40. Bodde NM, van der Kruijs SJ, Ijff DM, et al. Subgroup classification in patients with psychogenic non-epileptic seizures. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2013;26(3):279–289.
41. van der Kruijs SJ, Bodde NM, Vaessen MJ, et al. Functional connectivity of dissociation in patients with psychogenic non-epileptic seizures. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2012;83(3):239–247.
42. van der Kruijs SJ, Jagannathan SR, Bodde NM, et al. Resting-state networks and dissociation in psychogenic non-epileptic seizures. *J Psychiatr Res*. 2014;54:126–133.
43. Bond M. Are “borderline defenses” specific for borderline personality disorders? *J Personality Disord*. 1990;4:251–256.
44. Kaplan MJ, Dwivedi AK, Privitera MD, Isaacs K, Hughes C, Bowman M. Comparisons of childhood trauma, alexithymia, and defensive styles in patients with psychogenic non-epileptic seizures vs epilepsy: implications for the etiology of conversion disorder. *J Psychosom Res*. 2013;75(2):142–146.
45. Myers L, Matzner B, Lancman M, Perrine K, Lancman M. Prevalence of alexithymia in patients with psychogenic non-epileptic seizures and epileptic seizures and predictors in psychogenic non-epileptic seizures. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2013;26(2):153–157.
46. Cornaggia CM, Gugliotta SC, Magaudda A, Alfa R, Beghi M, Polita M. Conversation analysis in the differential diagnosis of Italian patients with epileptic or psychogenic non-epileptic seizures: a blind prospective study. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2012;25:598–604.
47. Reuber M, Monzoni C, Sharrack B, Plug L. Using interactional and linguistic analysis to distinguish between epileptic and psychogenic nonepileptic seizures: a prospective, blinded multirater study. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2009;16:139–144.
48. Schwabe M, Howell SJ, Reuber M. Differential diagnosis of seizure disorders: a conversation analytic approach. *Soc Sci Med*. 2007;65(4):712–724.
49. Holman N, Kirkby A, Duncan S, Brown RJ. Adult attachment style and childhood interpersonal trauma in non-epileptic attack disorder. *Epilepsy Res*. 2008;79(1):84–89.
50. Myers L, Fleming M, Lancman M, Perrine K, Lancman M. Stress coping strategies in patients with psychogenic non-epileptic seizures and how they relate to trauma symptoms, alexithymia, anger and mood. *Seizure*. 2013;22(8):634–639.
51. Dazzi N, De Coro A. *Psicologia Dinamica. Le teorie cliniche* [Psychodynamic Psychotherapy. The Clinical Theories]. Roma-Bari: Laterza; 2001. Italian.
52. Lingiardi V, Madeddu F. *I meccanismi di difesa: teoria clinica e ricerca empirica* [Defense Mechanisms: Theory, Assessment, Practice]. Milano: Raffaello Cortina; 2002. Italian.
53. Bromberg P. *Standing in the Spaces*. Hillsdale (NJ): Analytic Press; 1998.
54. Roberts NA, Reuber M. Alterations of consciousness in psychogenic nonepileptic seizures: emotion, emotion regulation and dissociation. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2014;30:43–49.
55. Brown RJ, Syed TU, Benbadis S, La France WC, Reuber M. Psychogenic nonepileptic seizures. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2011;22:85–93.
56. Baslet G. Psychogenic non-epileptic seizures: a model of their pathogenic mechanism. *Seizure*. 2011;20:1–13.
57. Reuber M. Psychogenic nonepileptic seizures: answers and questions. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2007;12:622–635.
58. Mattioli G, Scalzone F, editors. *Attualità dell'isteria (malattia desueta o posizione originaria)* [Actuality of Hysteria (Uncommon Illness or Original Position)]. Milano: FrancoAngeli; 2002.

Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment

Publish your work in this journal

Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment is an international, peer-reviewed journal of clinical therapeutics and pharmacology focusing on concise rapid reporting of clinical or pre-clinical studies on a range of neuropsychiatric and neurological disorders. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, the ‘PsycINFO’ database and CAS,

Submit your manuscript here: <http://www.dovepress.com/neuropsychiatric-disease-and-treatment-journal>

Dovepress

and is the official journal of The International Neuropsychiatric Association (INA). The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.