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#### Abstract

We determine the smallest positive integer lying in the ideal generated by cyclotomic polynomials over the integers and deduce that their evaluations at a given integer are almost always coprime.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\Phi_{n}(x)$ be the minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}$ of a primitive $n$-th root of unity. Then $\Phi_{n}$, the $n$-th cyclotomic polynomial, is monic and, as proved by Gauss, irreducible. In particular

$$
\Phi_{n}(x) A+\Phi_{m}(x) A=A,
$$

where $A=\mathbb{Q}[x], n \neq m$. Set $B=\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Then

$$
\left(\Phi_{n}(x) B+\Phi_{m}(x) B\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}
$$

is an ideal in $\mathbb{Z}$, thus has shape $t \mathbb{Z}$, for some positive integer $t=t(n, m)$ depending on $n, m$. In this short note we prove that $t(n, m)$ equals 1 unless $n=r^{i} m, r$ prime, in which case $t(n, m)=r$. We deduce information on $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\Phi_{n}(a), \Phi_{m}(a)\right)$, for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, showing that this value is almost always 1 . This question was motivated by the analysis of cryptographical protocols involving finite fields lite XTR or LUC (see [FMP ${ }^{2}$ ]).

## 2. Proof

By symmetry, we may assume that $n>m \geq 1$. We first reduce to the case where $m$ divides $n$

Lemma 1. $t(n, m)=1$ except when $m \mid n$.
Proof. Let $d=\operatorname{gcd}(n, m)$. Then $x^{n-m}-1=x^{n}-1-x^{n-m}\left(x^{m}-1\right)$ proves that $x^{n-m}-1 \in\left(x^{n}-1\right) B+\left(x^{m}-1\right) B$. Inducing on $n+m$ we obtain that

$$
x^{d}-1 \in\left(x^{n}-1\right) B+\left(x^{m}-1\right) B .
$$

In particular $x^{d}-1=\left(x^{n}-1\right) u(x)+\left(x^{m}-1\right) v(x), u, v \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. If $d<m$, then $x^{\ell}-1 \in\left(x^{d}-1\right) \Phi_{\ell}(x) B, \ell=n, m$. So

$$
1 \in \Phi_{n}(x) B+\Phi_{m}(x) B .
$$

We now show that $t(n d, m d) \mid t(n, m)$.

Lemma 2. $t(n r, m r) \mid t(n, m)$ for any prime $r$.
Proof. For $s, r \in \mathbb{N}, r$ prime, let $\varepsilon(s, r)$ equal 1 if $s \not \equiv_{r} 0,0$ otherwise. Then $\Phi_{s}\left(x^{r}\right)=\Phi_{s r}(x) \Phi_{s}(x)^{\varepsilon(s, r)}$ (see [LN, Exercise 2.57 (a), (b)]). Let $\Phi_{n}(x) u(x)+$ $\Phi_{m}(x) v(x)=t(n, m)$, for $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Then substituting $x$ with $x^{r}$, we obtain

$$
\Phi_{n r}(x) \Phi_{n}(x)^{\varepsilon(s, r)} u\left(x^{r}\right)+\Phi_{m r}(x) \Phi_{m}(x)^{\varepsilon(m, r)} v\left(x^{r}\right)=t(n, m)
$$

forcing $t(n r, m r)$ to divide $t(n, m)$.
We deduce our claim $t(n d, m d) \mid t(n, m)$ by induction on the number of prime divisors of $d$ counting multiplicities. We are now ready to state the main result of this note.

Theorem 3. $t(n, m)=1$ except when $n=r^{i} m$, $r$ prime, in which case $t(n, m)=r$.
Proof. By Lemma 1, we may assume $n=m d$. If $d$ is not a prime power, we prove $t(n, m)=1$ by induction on $m$. If $m=1$, then $n=d$. Now $\Phi_{d}(x)=$ $\Phi_{1}(x) u(x)+\Phi_{d}(1)$. The assumption on $d$ forces $\Phi_{d}(1)=1$, so $t(d, 1)=1$. By Lemma $2 t(n, m)=t(m d, m) \mid t(d, 1)=1$. We are left with the case $d=r^{i}$, $r$ prime. Now $r=\Phi_{d}(1)$ and $=\Phi_{1}(1)=0$. Let $\Phi_{d}(x) u(x)+\Phi_{1}(x) v(x)=t(d, 1), u, v \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Then $r u(1)=t(d, 1)$, so $r \mid t(d, 1)$. On the other hand, $r=\Phi_{d}(x)-\Phi_{1}(x) q(x) \in$ $\Phi_{d}(x) B+\Phi_{1}(x) B$. So $t\left(r^{i}, 1\right)=r$. Again Lemma 2 forces $t\left(m r^{i}, m\right) \in\{1, r\}$.

By Proposition 1 in $[\mathrm{KO}], \Phi_{n}(\mu) \in r \mathbb{Z}[\mu]$, where $\mu$ is a primitive $m$-th root of unity. If $t(n, m)=1$, then evaluating $\Phi_{n}(x) u(x)+\Phi_{m}(x) v(x)=1$ at $\mu$ would yield $r a=1$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}[\mu]$. Thus $\frac{1}{r}$ would be an algebraic integer, a contradiction. Therefore $t\left(r^{i} m, m\right)=r$.

Corollary 4. Let $d=\operatorname{gcd}\left(\Phi_{n}(a), \Phi_{m}(a)\right)$, where $n, m \in \mathbb{N}, a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $d=1$ or $n=r^{i} m$, $r$ prime and $d=1$ or $d=r$.

Proof. Clearly $d$ must divide $t(n, m)$, so the result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.

With a more subtle analysis one can prove that $d=r$ if $n=r^{i} f, m=r^{j} f$, $i \geq j \geq 0, a$ is coprime to $r$ and $f$ is the multiplicative order of $a$ modulo $r$ (see [FMP ${ }^{2}$, Theorem 5]).
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