## PM<sub>2.5</sub>, PM<sub>1</sub> and PM<sub>0.4</sub> acidity during spring and summer at one Po Valley site.

G. Sangiorgi<sup>1</sup>, L. Ferrero<sup>1</sup>, M.G. Perrone<sup>1</sup> and E. Bolzacchini<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>POLARIS Research Centre, Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Milan - Bicocca, Milan, I-

20126, Italy

Keywords: water soluble compounds, acidity, water content, PM2.5/PM1/PM0.4.

Presenting author email: giorgia.sangiorgi1@unimib.it

Nitrate, sulphate and ammonium are the three main important water-soluble inorganic ions of PM. Typically, they account for 30-60% of the total PM mass (Seinfled and Pandis, 2006; Lonati et al, 2005). In the Po Valley, they show a typical seasonal trend: during the summer sulphate is the dominant inorganic ion (13% of PM<sub>1</sub> and  $PM_{25}$ ; Perrone *et al*, 2010) because of the strong atmospheric photochemistry, while during the winter nitrate becomes the most abundant inorganic ion (23-29% of PM<sub>1</sub> and PM<sub>25</sub>; Perrone *et al*, 2010). This seasonal pattern affects the characteristics of aerosol acidity, because sulphuric and nitric acids are two of the most important aerosol precursors. Typically, ammonia is the main neutralizing species. The goal of this study is to expand the knowledge about aerosol acidity characteristics.

 $PM_x$  samples (Table 1) were collected at Sannazaro de' Burgondi (Pavia, Italy), a rural site located in the middle of the Po Valley (North of Italy). Three dimensional sizes of PM were sampled:  $PM_{2.5}$  (4-h filters),  $PM_1$  (8-h filters) and  $PM_{0.4}$  (24-h filters). The sampling period was 24 March – 19 April (spring) and 10 June – 2 July 2010 (summer). All the collected filters were extracted in ultra-pure water and analyzed by IC to determine the concentration of water soluble inorganic ions (Na<sup>+</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>; F<sup>-</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup>,  $SO_4^{2-}$ ) and mono-/dicarboxylic acids (acetic, propionic, formic, glutarric, succinic, malonic, maleic, oxalic acids).

Table 1. Mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of PM<sub>x</sub> concentration ( $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>).

	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	PM <sub>1</sub>	PM <sub>0.4</sub>	PM <sub>1</sub> /PM <sub>2.5</sub>	PM <sub>0.4</sub> /PM <sub>1</sub>
SPRING	21±14	12±8	3±1	0.61±0.12	0.23±0.05
SUMMER	13±8	12±7	5±1	0.64±0.13	0.35±0.08

While the ammonium concentration was at the same level during spring (e.g.,  $PM_1=1.2\pm1.1 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ ) and summer (e.g.,  $PM_1=1.4\pm1.0 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ ), nitrate and sulphate showed the typical seasonal pattern described above. For example in  $PM_1$ ,  $NO_3^-$  was three times higher during spring (spring:  $2.9\pm3.2 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ ; summer:  $0.8\pm1.0 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ ); on the contrary,  $SO_4^{2-}$  was three times higher during summer (spring:  $1.0\pm0.8 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ ; summer:  $2.9\pm2.3 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ ).

All the samples (regardless of season, time of the day, and PM size) were ammonium-rich, i.d.  $[NH_4^+]/[SO_4^{2^-}]$  molar ratio was always >1.5. Moreover, it was clearly visible that the ammonium concentration increased at the increasing of the nitrate concentration,

suggesting that nitrate formation was a consequence of the gas-phase reaction between ammonia and nitric acid.

However, the spring strong correlation between excess of  $NH_4^+$  (excess  $NH_4^+=[NH_4^+]-[SO_4^{2^-}]$ ) and  $NO_3^-$  ( $R^2 \ge 0.98$ ) was lost during the summer ( $R^2 \ge 0.46$ ) (Figure 1), suggesting that the presence of ammonium in PM samples could not be attributed to this inorganic ion.



Figure 1. Relationship between excess of  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  concentration.

The summer higher level of ammonium in  $PM_x$  could be explained by the increased concentration of mono- and dicarboxylic acids, due to stronger photochemical processes than in spring. In fact, the concentration of these acids was double in summer (e.g.,  $PM_1=0.20\pm0.19$  µg m<sup>-3</sup>) than in spring (e.g.,  $PM_1=.011\pm0.10$  µg m<sup>-3</sup>).

In addition to strong acidity (i.e.,  $H^+$  derived from strong acids in an aqueous extract of PM samples; Pathak *et al*, 2004), also free acidity (i.e., the actual concentration of free  $H^+$ ; Pathak *et al*, 2004) is an important parameter to describe the aerosol acidity characteristics. Then, a thermodynamic model (*E-AIM*) will be used to determine free acidity for the collected samples.

Lonati, G., Giugliano, M., Butelli, P., Romele, L. and Tardivo, R. (2005) *Atmos. Environ.* **39**, 1925-1934.

- Pathak, R.K., Louie, P.K.K., Chan, C.K. (2004) *Atmos. Environ.* **38**, 2965-2974.
- Perrone, M.G., Gualtieri, M., Ferrero, L., Lo Porto, C., Udisti, R., Bolzacchini, E., Camatini, M. (2010) *Chemosphere* 78, 1368-1377.
- Seinfeld, J.H. and Pandis, S.N. (2006) Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics: From Air Pollution to Climate Change, John Wiley & Sons: New York, USA.