# PERTURBATION RESULTS OF CRITICAL ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS OF CAFFARELLI-KOHN-NIRENBERG TYPE 

VERONICA FELLI AND MATTHIAS SCHNEIDER

Abstract. We find for small $\varepsilon$ positive solutions to the equation

$$
-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-2 a} \nabla u\right)-\frac{\lambda}{|x|^{2(1+a)}} u=(1+\varepsilon k(x)) \frac{u^{p-1}}{|x|^{b p}}
$$

in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, which branch off from the manifold of minimizers in the class of radial functions of the corresponding Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequality. Moreover, our analysis highlights the symmetry-breaking phenomenon in these inequalities, namely the existence of non-radial minimizers.

## 1. Introduction

We will consider the following elliptic equation in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ in dimension $N \geq 3$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-2 a} \nabla u\right)-\frac{\lambda}{|x|^{2(1+a)}} u=K(x) \frac{u^{p-1}}{|x|^{b p}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\infty<a<\frac{N-2}{2}, \quad-\infty<\lambda<\left(\frac{N-2 a-2}{2}\right)^{2}  \tag{1.2}\\
& p=p(a, b)=\frac{2 N}{N-2(1+a-b)} \quad \text { and } \quad a \leq b<a+1
\end{align*}
$$

For $\lambda=0$ equation (1.1) is related to a family of inequalities given by Caffarelli, Kohn and Nirenberg [6],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{p, b}^{2}:=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-b p}|u|^{p} d x\right)^{2 / p} \leq \mathcal{C}_{a, b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-2 a|\nabla u|^{2} d x \quad \forall u \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) . . . . . .} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For sharp constants and extremal functions we refer to Catrina and Wang [7].
The natural functional space to study (1.1) is $D_{a}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ defined as the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with respect to the norm

$$
\|\nabla u\|_{a}:=\|u\|_{*}=\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-2 a}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

We will mainly deal with the perturbative case $K(x)=1+\varepsilon k(x)$, namely with the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-2 a} \nabla u\right)-\frac{\lambda}{|x|^{2(1+a)}} u=(1+\varepsilon k(x)) \frac{u^{p-1}}{|x|^{b p}} \\
u \in D_{a}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad u>0 \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}
\end{array}\right.
$$
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Concerning the perturbation $k$ we assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our approach is based on an abstract perturbative variational method discussed by Ambrosetti and Badiale [2], which splits our procedure in three main steps. First we consider the unperturbed problem, i.e. $\varepsilon=0$, and find a one dimensional manifold of radial solutions. If this manifold is non-degenerate (see Theorem 1.1 below) a one dimensional reduction of the perturbed variational problem in $D_{a}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is possible. Finally we have to find a critical point of a functional defined on the real line.
Solutions of $\left(\mathcal{P}_{a, b, \lambda}\right)$ are critical points in $\mathcal{D}_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ of

$$
f_{\varepsilon}(u):=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-2 a}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{u^{2}}{|x|^{2(1+a)}} d x-\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}(1+\varepsilon k(x)) \frac{u_{+}^{p}}{|x|^{p p}} d x,
$$

where $u_{+}:=\max \{u, 0\}$. For $\varepsilon=0$ we show that $f_{0}$ has a one dimensional manifold of critical points

$$
Z_{a, b, \lambda}:=\left\{z_{\mu}^{a, b, \lambda}: \left.=\mu^{-\frac{N-2-2 a}{2}} z_{1}^{a, b, \lambda}\left(\frac{x}{\mu}\right) \right\rvert\, \mu>0\right\},
$$

where $z_{1}^{a, b, \lambda}$ is explicitly given in (2.5) below. These radial solutions were computed for $\lambda=0$ in [7], the case $a=b=0$ and $-\infty<\lambda<(N-2)^{2} / 4$ was done by Terracini [12]. The exact knowledge of the critical manifold enables us to clarify the question of non-degeneracy.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose $a, b, \lambda, p$ satisfy (1.2). Then the critical manifold $Z_{a, b, \lambda}$ is nondegenerate, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{z} Z_{a, b, \lambda}=\operatorname{ker} D^{2} f_{0}(z) \quad \forall z \in Z_{a, b, \lambda}, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
b \neq h_{j}(a, \lambda):=\frac{N}{2}\left[1+\frac{4 j(N+j-1)}{(N-2-2 a)^{2}-4 \lambda}\right]^{-1 / 2}-\frac{N-2-2 a}{2} \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\} . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure $1\left(\lambda=0\right.$ and $h_{j}(\cdot, 0)$ for $\left.j=1 \ldots 5\right)$
The above theorem is rather unexpected as it is explicit. It improves the non-degeneracy results and answers an open question in [1, Rem. 4.2]. Moreover, it fairly highlights
the symmetry breaking phenomenon of the unperturbed problem observed in [7], i.e. the existence of non-radial minimizers of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}_{a, b}:=\inf _{u \in D_{a}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\int|x|^{-2 a}|\nabla u|^{2}}{\left(\int|x|^{-b p}|u|^{p}\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}}=\inf _{u \in D_{a}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\|\nabla u\|_{a}^{2}}{\|u\|_{p, b}^{2}} . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact we improve [7, Thm 1.3], where it is shown that there are on open subset $H \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ containing $\{(a, a) \mid a<0\}$, a real number $a_{0} \leq 0$ and a function $\left.\left.h:\right]-\infty, a_{0}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $h\left(a_{0}\right)=a_{0}$ and $a<h(a)<a+1$ for all $a<a_{0}$, such that for every $(a, b) \in H \cup\{(a, b) \in$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{2} \mid a<a_{0}, a<b<h(a)\right\}$ the minimizer in (1.7) is non-radial (see figure 2 below). We show that one may choose $a_{0}=0$ and $h=h_{1}(\cdot, 0)$ and obtain, as a consequence of Theorem 1.1 for $\lambda=0$,

Corollary 1.2. Suppose $a, b, p$ satisfy (1.2). If $b<h_{1}(a, 0)$, then $\mathcal{C}_{a, b}$ in (1.7) is attained by a non-radially symmetric function.

Concerning step two, the one-dimensional reduction, we follow closely the abstract scheme in [2] and construct a manifold $Z_{a, b, \lambda}^{\varepsilon}=\left\{z_{\mu}^{a, b, \lambda}+w(\varepsilon, \mu) \mid \mu>0\right\}$, such that any critical point of $f_{\varepsilon}$ restricted to $Z_{a, b, \lambda}^{\varepsilon}$ is a solution to $\left(\mathcal{P}_{a, b, \lambda}\right)$. We emphasize that in contrast to the local approach in [2] we construct a manifold which is globally diffeomorphic to the unperturbed one such that we may estimate the difference $\|w(\varepsilon, \mu)\|$ when $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ or $\mu \rightarrow 0$ (see also $[4,5])$. More precisely we show under assumption (1.8) below that $\|w(\varepsilon, \mu)\|$ vanishes as $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ or $\mu \rightarrow 0$.

We will prove the following existence results.
Theorem 1.3. Suppose $a, b, p, \lambda$ satisfy (1.2),(1.4) and (1.6) holds. Then problem $\left(\mathcal{P}_{a, b, \lambda}\right)$ has a solution for all $|\varepsilon|$ sufficiently small if

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(\infty):=\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} k(x) \text { exists and } k(\infty)=k(0)=0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.4. Assume (1.2),(1.4), (1.6) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),|\nabla k| \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \text { and }\left|D^{2} k\right| \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\left(\mathcal{P}_{a, b, \lambda}\right)$ is solvable for all small $|\varepsilon|$ under each of the following conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} k(x) \leq k(0) \text { and } \Delta k(0)>0  \tag{1.10}\\
& \liminf _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} k(x) \geq k(0) \text { and } \Delta k(0)<0 . \tag{1.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 1.5. Our analysis of the unperturbed problem allows to consider more general perturbation, for instance it is possible to treat equations like

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-2 a} \nabla u\right)-\frac{\lambda+\varepsilon_{1} V(x)}{|x|^{2(1+a)}} u=\left(1+\varepsilon_{2} k(x)\right) \frac{u^{p-1}}{|x|^{b p}} \\
u \in D_{a}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad u>0 \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Existence results in this direction are given by Abdellaoui and Peral [1], where the case $a=0$ and $b=0$ and $\frac{(N-2)^{2}}{4 N}<\lambda<\frac{(N-2)^{2}}{4}$ is studied. We generalize some existence results obtained there to arbitrary $a, b$ and $\lambda$ satisfying (1.2) and (1.6).

Problem (1.1), the non-perturbative version of $\left(\mathcal{P}_{a, b, \lambda}\right)$, was studied by Smets [11] in the case $a=b=0$ and $0<\lambda<(N-2)^{2} / 4$. A variational minimax method combined with a careful analysis and construction of Palais-Smale sequences shows that in dimension $N=4$ equation (1.1) has a positive solution $u \in D_{a}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ if $K \in C^{2}$ is positive and satisfies an analogous condition to (1.8), namely $K(0)=\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} K(x)$. In our perturbative approach we need not to impose any condition on the space dimension $N$. Theorem 1.3 gives the perspective to relax the restriction $N=4$ on the space dimension also in the nonperturbative case.
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## Preliminaries

Catrina and Wang [7] proved that for $b=a+1$

$$
\mathcal{C}_{a, a+1}^{-1}=\mathcal{S}_{a, a+1}=\inf _{D_{a}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-2 a|\nabla u|^{2}}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-2(1+a)}|u|^{2}\right)}=\left(\frac{N-2-2 a}{2}\right)^{2}
$$

Hence we obtain for $-\infty<\lambda<\left(\frac{N-2-2 a}{2}\right)^{2}$ a norm, equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{*}$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|=\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-2 a}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{u^{2}}{|x|^{2(1+a)}} d x\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\mathcal{D}_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ the Hilbert space equipped with the scalar product induced by $\|\cdot\|$

$$
(u, v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-2 a} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v d x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{u v}{|x|^{2(1+a)}} d x
$$

We will mainly work in this space. Moreover, we define by $\mathcal{C}$ the cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times S^{N-1}$. It is is shown in [7, Prop. 2.2] that the transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=|x|^{-\frac{N-2-2 a}{2}} v\left(-\ln |x|, \frac{x}{|x|}\right) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

induces a Hilbert space isomorphism from $\mathcal{D}_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ to $H_{\lambda}^{1,2}(\mathcal{C})$, where the scalar product in $H_{\lambda}^{1,2}(\mathcal{C})$ is defined by

$$
\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)_{H_{\lambda}^{1,2}(\mathcal{C})}:=\int_{\mathcal{C}} \nabla v_{1} \cdot \nabla v_{2}+\left(\left(\frac{N-2-2 a}{2}\right)^{2}-\lambda\right) v_{1} v_{2}
$$

Using the canonical identification of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{D}_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with its dual induced by the scalar-product and denoted by $\mathcal{K}$, i.e.

$$
\mathcal{K}:\left(\mathcal{D}_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right)^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),(\mathcal{K}(\varphi), u)=\varphi(u) \quad \forall(\varphi, u) \in\left(\mathcal{D}_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right)^{\prime} \times \mathcal{D}_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

we shall consider $f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(u)$ as an element of $\mathcal{D}_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}(u)$ as one of $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{D}_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right)$.
If we test $f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(u)$ with $u_{-}=\max \{-u, 0\}$ we get

$$
\left(f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(u), u_{-}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-2 a} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_{-}-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{u u_{-}}{|x|^{2(1+a)}}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}(1+\varepsilon k(x)) \frac{u_{+}^{p-1} u_{-}}{|x|^{b p}}=-\left\|u_{-}\right\|^{2}
$$

and see that any critical point of $f_{\varepsilon}$ is nonnegative. The maximum principle applied in $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}$ shows that any nontrivial critical point is positive in that region. We cannot expect more since the radial solutions to the unperturbed problem $(\varepsilon=0)$ vanish at the origin if $\lambda<0$ (see (2.5) below). Moreover from standard elliptic regularity theory, solutions to $\left(\mathcal{P}_{a, b, \lambda}\right)$ are $C^{1, \alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}\right), \alpha>0$.
The unperturbed functional $f_{0}$ is given by

$$
f_{0}(u):=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-2 a}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{u^{2}}{|x|^{2(1+a)}} d x-\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{u_{+}^{p}}{|x|^{b p}} d x, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

and we may write $f_{\varepsilon}(u)=f_{0}(u)+\varepsilon G(u)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(u):=\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} k(x) \frac{u_{+}^{p}}{|x|^{b p}} . \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. The unperturbed problem

Critical points of the unperturbed functional $f_{0}$ solve the equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-2 a} \nabla u\right)-\frac{\lambda}{|x|^{2(1+a)}} u=\frac{1}{|x|^{b p}} u^{p-1}  \tag{2.1}\\
u \in \mathcal{D}_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad u>0 \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

To find all radially symmetric solutions $u$ of (2.1), i.e. $u(x)=u(r)$, where $r=|x|$, we follow [7] and note that if $u$ is radial, then equation (2.1) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{u^{\prime \prime}}{r^{2 a}}-\frac{N-2 a-1}{r^{2 a+1}} u^{\prime}-\frac{\lambda}{r^{2(a+1)}} u=\frac{1}{r^{b p}} u^{p-1} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making now the change of variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(r)=r^{-\frac{N-2-2 a}{2}} \varphi(\ln r) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we come to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\varphi^{\prime \prime}+\left[\left(\frac{N-2-2 a}{2}\right)^{2}-\lambda\right] \varphi-\varphi^{p-1}=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

All positive solutions of $(2.4)$ in $H^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$ are the translates of

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi_{1}(t)=\left[\frac{N(N-2-2 a) \sqrt{(N-2-2 a)^{2}-4 \lambda}}{4(N-2(1+a-b))}\right]^{\frac{N-2(1+a-b)}{4(1+a-b)}} \\
\\
\cdot\left(\cosh \frac{(1+a-b) \sqrt{(N-2-2 a)^{2}-4 \lambda}}{N-2(1+a-b)} t\right)^{-\frac{N-2(1+a-b)}{2(1+a-b)}}
\end{gathered}
$$

namely $\varphi_{\mu}(t)=\varphi_{1}(t-\ln \mu)$ for some $\mu>0$ (see [7]). Consequently all radial solutions of (2.1) are dilations of

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{1}^{a, b, \lambda}(x)=\left[\frac{N(N-2-2 a) \sqrt{(N-2-2 a)^{2}-4 \lambda}}{N-2(1+a-b)}\right]^{\frac{N-2(1+a-b)}{4(1+a-b)}} \cdot \\
& \quad \cdot\left[|x|\left(1-\frac{\sqrt{(N-2-2 a)^{2}-4 \lambda}}{N-2-2 a}\right) \frac{(N-2-2 a)(1+a-b)}{N-2(1+a-b)}\left[1+|x|^{\frac{2(1+a-b) \sqrt{(N-2-2 a)^{2}-4 \lambda}}{N-2(1+a-b)}}\right]\right]^{-\frac{N-2(1+a-b)}{2(1+a-b)}} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

and given by

$$
z_{\mu}^{a, b, \lambda}(x)=\mu^{-\frac{N-2-2 a}{2}} z_{1}^{a, b, \lambda}\left(\frac{x}{\mu}\right), \quad \mu>0 .
$$

Using the change of coordinates in (2.3), respectively (1.13), and the exponential decay of $z_{\mu}^{a, b, \lambda}$ in these coordinates it is easy to see that the map $\mu \mapsto z_{\mu}^{a, b, \lambda}$ is at least twice continuously differentiable from $(0, \infty)$ to $\mathcal{D}_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and we obtain
Lemma 2.1. Suppose $a, b, \lambda, p$ satisfy (1.2). Then the unperturbed functional $f_{0}$ has a one dimensional $C^{2}$-manifold of critical points $Z_{a, b, \lambda}$ given by $\left\{z_{\mu}^{a, b, \lambda} \mid \mu>0\right\}$. Moreover, $Z_{a, b, \lambda}$ is exactly the set of all radially symmetric, positive solutions of (2.1) in $\mathcal{D}_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

In order to apply the abstract perturbation method we need to show that the manifold $Z_{a, b, \lambda}$ satisfy a non-degeneracy condition. This is the content of Theorem 1.1.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. The inclusion $T_{z_{\mu}^{a, b, \lambda}} Z_{a, b, \lambda} \subseteq \operatorname{ker} D^{2} f_{0}\left(z_{\mu}^{a, b, \lambda}\right)$ always holds and is a consequence of the fact that $Z_{a, b, \lambda}$ is a manifold of critical points of $f_{0}$. Consequently, we have only to show that $\operatorname{ker} D^{2} f_{0}\left(z_{\mu}^{a, b, \lambda}\right)$ is one dimensional. Fix $u \in \operatorname{ker} D^{2} f_{0}\left(z_{\mu}^{a, b, \lambda}\right)$. The function $u$ is a solution of the linearized problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-2 a} \nabla u\right)-\frac{\lambda}{|x|^{2(a+1)}} u=\frac{p-1}{|x|^{b p}}\left(z_{\mu}^{a, b, \lambda}\right)^{p-2} u . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We expand $u$ in spherical harmonics

$$
u(r \vartheta)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \vec{v}_{i}(r) \vec{Y}_{i}(\vartheta), \quad r \in \mathbb{R}^{+}, \quad \vartheta \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1},
$$

where $\vec{v}_{i}(r)=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} u(r \vartheta) \vec{Y}_{i}(\vartheta) d \vartheta$ and $\vec{Y}_{i}$ denotes the orthogonal $i$-th spherical harmonic jet satisfying for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \vec{Y}_{i}=i(N+i-2) \vec{Y}_{i} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u$ solves (2.6) the functions $\vec{v}_{i}$ satisfy for all $i \geq 0$

$$
-\frac{\vec{v}_{i}^{\prime \prime}}{r^{2 a}} \vec{Y}_{i}-\frac{N-1-2 a}{r^{2 a+1}} \vec{v}_{i}^{\prime} \vec{Y}_{i}-\frac{\vec{v}_{i}}{r^{2(a+1)}} \Delta_{\vartheta} \vec{Y}_{i}-\frac{\lambda}{r^{2(a+1)}} \vec{v}_{i} \vec{Y}_{i}=\frac{p-1}{r^{b p}}\left(z_{\mu}^{a, b, \lambda}\right)^{p-2} \vec{v}_{i} \vec{Y}_{i}
$$

and hence, in view of (2.7),

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\vec{v}_{i}^{\prime \prime}}{r^{2 a}}-\frac{N-1-2 a}{r^{2 a+1}} \vec{v}_{i}^{\prime}+\frac{i(N+i-2)}{r^{2(a+1)}} \vec{v}_{i}-\frac{\lambda}{r^{2(a+1)}} \vec{v}_{i}=\frac{p-1}{r^{b p}}\left(z_{\mu}^{a, b, \lambda}\right)^{p-2} \vec{v}_{i} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making in (2.8) the transformation (2.3) we obtain the equations

$$
-\vec{\varphi}_{i}^{\prime \prime}-\beta \cosh ^{-2}(\gamma(t-\ln \mu)) \vec{\varphi}_{i}=\left(\lambda-\left(\frac{N-2-2 a}{2}\right)^{2}-i(N+i-2)\right) \vec{\varphi}_{i}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}_{0}
$$

where

$$
\beta=\frac{N(N+2(1+a-b))\left((N-2-2 a)^{2}-4 \lambda\right)}{4(N-2(1+a-b))^{2}} \text { and } \gamma=\frac{(1+a-b) \sqrt{(N-2-2 a)^{2}-4 \lambda}}{N-2(1+a-b)}
$$

which is equivalent, through the change of variable $\zeta(s)=\varphi(s+\ln \mu)$, to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\vec{\zeta}_{i}^{\prime \prime}-\beta \cosh ^{-2}(\gamma s) \vec{\zeta}_{i}=\left(\lambda-\left(\frac{N-2-2 a}{2}\right)^{2}-i(N+i-2)\right) \vec{\zeta}_{i}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known (see [8],[10, p. 74]) that the negative part of the spectrum of the problem

$$
-\zeta^{\prime \prime}-\beta \cosh ^{-2}(\gamma s) \zeta=\nu \zeta
$$

is discrete, consists of simple eigenvalues and is given by

$$
\nu_{j}=-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}\left(-(1+2 j)+\sqrt{1+4 \beta \gamma^{-2}}\right)^{2}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \quad 0 \leq j<\frac{1}{2}\left(-1+\sqrt{1+4 \beta \gamma^{-2}}\right) .
$$

Thus we have for all $i \geq 0$ that zero is the only solution to (2.9) if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i}(a, \lambda) \neq B_{j}(a, b, \lambda) \text { for all } 0 \leq j<\frac{N}{2(1+a-b)} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A_{i}(a, \lambda)=\lambda-\left(\frac{N-2-2 a}{2}\right)^{2}-i(N+i-2)
$$

and

$$
B_{j}(a, b, \lambda)=-\frac{\left((N-2-2 a)^{2}-4 \lambda\right)(1+a-b)^{2}}{4(N-2(1+a-b))^{2}}\left[-2 j+\frac{N}{1+a-b}\right]^{2}
$$

Note that $A_{0}(a, \lambda)=B_{1}(a, b, \lambda), A_{i}(a, \lambda) \geq A_{i+1}(a, \lambda)$ and $B_{j}(a, b, \lambda) \leq B_{j+1}(a, b, \lambda)$, which is shown in figure 3 below.

Hence (2.10) is satisfied for $i \geq 1$ if and only if $B_{0}(a, b, \lambda) \neq A_{i}(a, b, \lambda)$, which is equivalent to $b \neq h_{i}(a, \lambda)$. On the other hand for $i=0$ equation (2.9) has a one dimensional space of nonzero solutions. Hence, ker $D^{2} f_{0}\left(z_{\mu}^{a, b, \lambda}\right)$ is one dimensional if and only if $b \neq h_{i}(a, \lambda)$ for any $i \geq 1$, which proves the claim.
Proof of Corollary 1.2. We define $I$ on $D_{a}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}$ by the right hand side of (1.7), i.e.

$$
I(u):=\frac{\|\nabla u\|_{a}^{2}}{\|u\|_{p, b}^{2}}
$$

$I$ is twice continuously differentiable and

$$
\left(I^{\prime}(u), \varphi\right)=\frac{2}{\|u\|_{p, b}^{2}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-2 a} \nabla u \nabla \varphi-\frac{\|\nabla u\|_{a}^{2}}{\|u\|_{p, b}^{p}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-b p}|u|^{p-2} u \varphi\right)
$$

Moreover, for positive critical points $u$ of $I$ a short computation leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(I^{\prime \prime}(u) \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right)= & \frac{2}{\|u\|_{p, b}^{2}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-2 a} \nabla \varphi_{1} \nabla \varphi_{2}-\frac{\|\nabla u\|_{a}^{2}}{\|u\|_{p, b}^{p}}(p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-b p} u^{p-2} \varphi_{1} \varphi_{2}\right) \\
& +(p-2) \frac{2\|\nabla u\|_{a}^{2}}{\|u\|_{p, b}^{2 p+2}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-b p} u^{p-1} \varphi_{1}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-b p} u^{p-1} \varphi_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously $I$ is constant on $Z_{a, b, 0}$ and we obtain for $z_{1}:=z_{1}^{a, b, 0}$ and all $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2} \in \mathcal{D}_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(I^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}\right)= & \frac{2}{\left\|z_{1}\right\|_{p, b}^{2}}\left(f_{0}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}\right)=0 \\
\left(I^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{1}\right) \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right)= & \frac{2}{\|u\|_{p, b}^{2}}\left(f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{1}\right) \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right) \\
& +(p-2) \frac{2}{\left\|z_{1}\right\|_{p, b}^{p+2}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-b p} z_{1}^{p-1} \varphi_{1}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-b p} z_{1}^{p-1} \varphi_{2}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

From the proof of Theorem 1.1 we know that for $b<h_{1}(a, 0)$ there exist functions $\hat{\varphi} \in$ $D_{a}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ of the form $\hat{\varphi}(x)=\bar{\varphi}(|x|) Y_{1}(x /|x|)$, where $Y_{1}$ denotes one of the first spherical harmonics, such that $\left(f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{1}\right) \hat{\varphi}, \hat{\varphi}\right)<0$. By $(2.11)$ we get $\left(I^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{1}\right) \hat{\varphi}, \hat{\varphi}\right)<0$ because the integral $\int|x|^{-b p} z_{1}^{p-1} \hat{\varphi}=0$. Consequently $\mathcal{C}_{a, b}$ is strictly smaller than $I\left(z_{1}\right)=I\left(z_{\mu}^{a, b, 0}\right)$. Since all positive radial solutions of (2.1) are given by $z_{\mu}^{a, b, 0}$ (see Lemma 2.1) and the infimum in (1.7) is attained (see [7, Thm 1.2]) the minimizer must be non-radial.
As a particular case of Theorem 1.1 we can state
Corollary 2.2. (i) If $0<a<\frac{N-2}{2}$ and $0 \leq \lambda<\left(\frac{N-2-2 a}{2}\right)^{2}$ then $Z_{a, b, \lambda}$ is non-degenerate for any $b$ between $a$ and $a+1$.
(ii) If $a=0$ and $0 \leq \lambda<\left(\frac{N-2-2 a}{2}\right)^{2}$, then $Z_{0, b, \lambda}$ is degenerate if and only if $b=\lambda=0$.

Remark 2.3. If $a=b=\lambda=0$, equation (2.1) is invariant not only by dilations but also by translations. The manifold of critical points is in this case $N+1$-dimensional and given by the translations and dilations of $z_{1}^{0,0,0}$. Hence the one dimensional manifold $Z_{0,0,0}$ is degenerate. However, the full $N+1$-dimensional critical manifold is non-degenerate in the case $a=b=\lambda=0$ (see [3]).

## 3. The finite dimensional Reduction

We follow the perturbative method developed in [2] and show that a finite dimensional reduction of our problem is possible whenever the critical manifold is non-degenerated. For simplicity of notation we write $z_{\mu}$ instead of $z_{\mu}^{a, b, \lambda}$ and $Z$ instead of $Z_{a, b, \lambda}$ if there is no possibility of confusion.
Lemma 3.1. Suppose $a, b, \lambda, p$ satisfy (1.2) and $v$ is a measurable function such that the integral $\int|v|^{\frac{p}{p-2}}|x|^{-b p}$ is finite. Then the operator $J_{v}: D_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow D_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{v}(u):=\mathcal{K}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-p b} v u \cdot\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is compact.
Proof. Fix a sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converging weakly to zero in $D_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. To prove the assertion it is sufficient to show that up to a subsequence $J_{v}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Using the Hilbert space isomorphism given in (1.13) we see that the corresponding sequence $\left(v_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to zero in $H_{\lambda}^{1,2}(\mathcal{C})$. Since $\left(v_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges strongly in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ for all bounded domains $\Omega$ in $\mathcal{C}$, we may extract a subsequence that converges to zero pointwise almost everywhere. Going back to $\mathcal{D}_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ we may assume that this also holds for $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. By Hölder's inequality and (1.3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|J_{v}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\| & \leq \sup _{\|h\|_{D_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}}^{\left.1 \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}} \leq 1 \\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-p b}\left|v \left\|\left|u_{n} \| h\right|\right.\right. \\
& \leq \sup _{\|h\|_{D_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}} \leq 1}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-p b}|h|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-p b}|v|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{(p-1) / p} \\
& \leq C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-p b}|v|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{(p-1) / p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To show that the latter integral converges to zero we use Vitali's convergence theorem given for instance in $[9,13.38]$. Obviously the functions $|\cdot|^{-p b}|v|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$ converge pointwise almost everywhere to zero. For any measurable $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ we may estimate using Hölder's inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-p b}|v|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} & \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-p b}|v|^{\frac{p}{p-2}}\right)^{(p-2) /(p-1)}\left(\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-p b}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} \\
& \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-p b}|v|^{\frac{p}{p-2}}\right)^{(p-2) /(p-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some positive constant $C$. Taking $\Omega$ a set of small measure or the complement of a large ball and the use of Vitali's convergence theorem prove the assertion.

Lemma 3.1 immediately leads to
Corollary 3.2. For all $z \in Z$ the operator $f_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z): D_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow D_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ may be written as $f_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)=i d-J_{|z|^{p-2}}$ and is consequently a self-adjoint Fredholm operator of index zero.

Define for $\mu>0$ the map $U_{\mu}: D_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow D_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ by

$$
U_{\mu}(u):=\mu^{-\frac{N-2-2 a}{2}} u\left(\frac{x}{\mu}\right) .
$$

It is easy to check that $U_{\mu}$ conserves the norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_{p, b}$, thus for every $\mu>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(U_{\mu}\right)^{-1}=\left(U_{\mu}\right)^{t}=U_{\mu^{-1}} \text { and } f_{0}=f_{0} \circ U_{\mu} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(U_{\mu}\right)^{t}$ denotes the adjoint of $U_{\mu}$. Twice differentiating the identity $f_{0}=f_{0} \circ U_{\mu}$ yields for all $h_{1}, h_{2}, v \in D_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$

$$
\left(f_{0}^{\prime \prime}(v) h_{1}, h_{2}\right)=\left(f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(U_{\mu}(v)\right) U_{\mu}\left(h_{1}\right), U_{\mu}\left(h_{2}\right)\right),
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}^{\prime \prime}(v)=\left(U_{\mu}\right)^{-1} \circ f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(U_{\mu}(v)\right) \circ U_{\mu} \quad \forall v \in D_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (3.2) we see that $U(\mu, z):=U_{\mu}(z) \operatorname{maps}(0, \infty) \times Z$ into $Z$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial U}{\partial z}(\mu, z)=U_{\mu}: T_{z} Z \rightarrow T_{U_{\mu}(z)} Z \text { and } U_{\mu}:\left(T_{z} Z\right)^{\perp} \rightarrow\left(T_{U_{\mu}(z)} Z\right)^{\perp} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the manifold $Z$ is non-degenerated the self-adjoint Fredholm operator $f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{1}\right)$ maps the space $D_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ into $T_{z_{1}} Z^{\perp}$ and $f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{1}\right) \in \mathcal{L}\left(T_{z_{1}} Z^{\perp}\right)$ is invertible. Consequently, using (3.3) and (3.4), we obtain in this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{1}\right)\right)^{-1}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(T_{z_{1}} Z^{\perp}\right)}=\left\|\left(f_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)\right)^{-1}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(T_{z} Z^{\perp}\right)} \quad \forall z \in Z \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.3. Suppose $a, b, p, \lambda$ satisfy (1.2) and (1.4) holds. Then there exists a constant $C_{1}=C_{1}\left(\|k\|_{\infty}, a, b, \lambda\right)>0$ such that for any $\mu>0$ and for any $w \in \mathcal{D}_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|G\left(z_{\mu}+w\right)\right| & \leq C_{1}\left(\left\||k|^{1 / p} z_{\mu}\right\|_{p, b}^{p}+\|w\|^{p}\right)  \tag{3.6}\\
\left\|G^{\prime}\left(z_{\mu}+w\right)\right\| & \leq C_{1}\left(\left\||k|^{1 / p} z_{\mu}\right\|_{p, b}^{p-1}+\|w\|^{p-1}\right)  \tag{3.7}\\
\left\|G^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{\mu}+w\right)\right\| & \leq C_{1}\left(\left\||k|^{1 / p} z_{\mu}\right\|_{p, b}^{p-2}+\|w\|^{p-2}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, if $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} k(x)=: k(\infty)=0=k(0)$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\||k|^{1 / p} z_{\mu}\right\|_{p, b} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \mu \rightarrow \infty \text { or } \mu \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (3.6)-(3.8) are consequences of (1.3) and Hölder's inequality. We will only show (3.8) as (3.6)-(3.7) follow analogously. By Hölder's inequality and (1.3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|G^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{\mu}+w\right)\right\| & \leq(p-1) \sup _{\left\|h_{1}\right\|\left\|h_{2}\right\| \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|k(x)|}{|x|^{b p}\left|z_{\mu}+w\right|^{p-2}\left|h_{1} \| h_{2}\right|} \\
& \leq(p-1)\left\|\left|\left\|\left.\right|^{1 / p}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} \sup _{\left\|h_{1}\right\|\left\|h_{2}\right\| \leq 1}\left\||k|^{1 / p}\left(z_{\mu}+w\right)\right\|_{p, b}^{p-2}\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{p, b}\left\|h_{2}\right\|_{p, b}\right.\right. \\
& \leq c\left(\|k\|_{\infty}, a, b, \lambda\right)\left\||k|^{1 / p}\left(z_{\mu}+w\right)\right\|_{p, b}^{p-2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the triangle inequality and again (1.3) we obtain (3.8).
Under the additional assumption $k(0)=k(\infty)=0$ estimate (3.9) follows by the dominated convergence theorem and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|k(x)|}{|x|^{b p}} z_{\mu}^{p}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|k(\mu x)|}{|x|^{b p}} z_{1}^{p}
$$

Lemma 3.4. Suppose $a, b, p, \lambda$ satisfy (1.2) and (1.4) and (1.5) hold. Then there exist constants $\varepsilon_{0}, C>0$ and a smooth function

$$
w=w(\mu, \varepsilon): \quad(0,+\infty) \times\left(-\varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

such that for any $\mu>0$ and $\varepsilon \in\left(-\varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
w(\mu, \varepsilon) \text { is orthogonal to } T_{z_{\mu}} Z \\
f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(z_{\mu}+w(\mu, \varepsilon)\right) \in T_{z_{\mu}} Z \\
\|w(\mu, \varepsilon)\| \leq C|\varepsilon| \tag{3.12}
\end{array}
$$

Moreover, if (1.8) holds then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|w(\mu, \varepsilon)\| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \mu \rightarrow 0 \text { or } \mu \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Define $H:(0, \infty) \times D_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow D_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times \mathbb{R}$

$$
H(\mu, w, \alpha, \varepsilon):=\left(f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(z_{\mu}+w\right)-\alpha \dot{\xi}_{\mu},\left(w, \dot{\xi}_{\mu}\right)\right)
$$

where $\dot{\xi}_{\mu}$ denotes the normalized tangent vector $\frac{d}{d \mu} z_{\mu}$. If $H(\mu, w, \alpha, \varepsilon)=(0,0)$ then $w$ satisfies (3.10)-(3.11) and $H(\mu, w, \alpha, \varepsilon)=(0,0)$ if and only if $(w, \alpha)=F_{\mu, \varepsilon}(w, \alpha)$, where

$$
F_{\mu, \varepsilon}(w, \alpha):=-\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial(w, \alpha)}(\mu, 0,0,0)\right)^{-1} H(\mu, w, \alpha, \varepsilon)+(w, \alpha)
$$

We prove that $F_{\mu, \varepsilon}(w, \alpha)$ is a contraction in some ball $B_{\rho}(0)$, where we may choose the radius $\rho=\rho(\varepsilon)>0$ independent of $z \in Z$. To this end we observe

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial(w, \alpha)}(\mu, 0,0,0)\right)(w, \beta),\left(f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{\mu}\right) w-\beta \dot{\xi}_{\mu},\left(w, \dot{\xi}_{\mu}\right)\right)\right)=\left\|f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{\mu}\right) w\right\|^{2}+\beta^{2}+\left|\left(w, \dot{\xi}_{\mu}\right)\right|^{2} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial(w, \alpha)}(\mu, 0,0,0)\right)(w, \beta)=\left(f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{\mu}\right) w-\beta \dot{\xi}_{\mu},\left(w, \dot{\xi}_{\mu}\right)\right)
$$

From Corollary 3.2 and (3.14) we infer that $\left.\frac{\partial H}{\partial(w, \alpha)}(\mu, 0,0,0)\right)$ is an injective Fredholm operator of index zero, hence invertible and by (3.5) and (3.14) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial(w, \alpha)}(\mu, 0,0,0)\right)^{-1}\right\| \leq \max \left(1,\left\|\left(f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{\mu}\right)\right)^{-1}\right\|\right)=\max \left(1,\left\|\left(f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{1}\right)\right)^{-1}\right\|\right)=: C_{*} . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $(w, \alpha) \in B_{\rho}(0)$. We use (3.3) and (3.15) to see

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|F_{\mu, \varepsilon}(w, \alpha)\right\| & \leq C_{*}\left\|\left(H(\mu, w, \alpha, \varepsilon)-\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial(w, \alpha)}(\mu, 0,0,0)\right)(w, \alpha)\right)\right\| \\
& \leq C_{*}\left\|f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(z_{\mu}+w\right)-f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{\mu}\right) w\right\| \\
& \leq C_{*} \int_{0}^{1}\left\|f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{\mu}+t w\right)-f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{\mu}\right)\right\| \mathrm{dt}\|w\|+C_{*}|\varepsilon|\left\|G^{\prime}\left(z_{\mu}+w\right)\right\| \\
& \leq C_{*} \int_{0}^{1}\left\|f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{1}+t U_{\mu^{-1}}(w)\right)-f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{1}\right)\right\| \mathrm{dt}\|w\|+C_{*}|\varepsilon|\left\|G^{\prime}\left(z_{\mu}+w\right)\right\| \\
& \leq C_{*} \rho \sup _{\|w\| \leq \rho}\left\|f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{1}+w\right)-f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{1}\right)\right\|+C_{*}|\varepsilon| \sup _{\|w\| \leq \rho}\left\|G^{\prime}\left(z_{\mu}+w\right)\right\| . \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Analogously we get for $\left(w_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right),\left(w_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right) \in B_{\rho}(0)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left\|F_{\mu, \varepsilon}\left(w_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)-F_{\mu, \varepsilon}\left(w_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right)\right\|}{C_{*}\left\|w_{1}-w_{2}\right\|} \leq & \frac{\left\|f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(z_{\mu}+w_{1}\right)-f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(z_{\mu}+w_{2}\right)-f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{\mu}\right)\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right)\right\|}{\left\|w_{1}-w_{2}\right\|} \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{1}\left\|f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{\mu}+w_{2}+t\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right)\right)-f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{\mu}\right)\right\| d t \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{1}\left\|f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{\mu}+w_{2}+t\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right)\right)-f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{\mu}\right)\right\| d t \\
& +|\varepsilon| \int_{0}^{1}\left\|G^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{\mu}+w_{2}+t\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right)\right)\right\| d t \\
\leq & \sup _{\|w\| \leq 3 \rho}\left\|f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{1}+w\right)-f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{1}\right)\right\|+|\varepsilon| \sup _{\|w\| \leq 3 \rho}\left\|G^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{\mu}+w\right)\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

We may choose $\rho_{0}>0$ such that

$$
C_{*} \sup _{\|w\| \leq 3 \rho_{0}}\left\|f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{1}+w\right)-f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{1}\right)\right\|<\frac{1}{2}
$$

and $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that

$$
2 \varepsilon_{0}<\left(\sup _{z \in Z,\|w\| \leq 3 \rho_{0}}\left\|G^{\prime \prime}(z+w)\right\|\right)^{-1} C_{*}^{-1} \text { and } 3 \varepsilon_{0}<\left(\sup _{z \in Z,\|w\| \leq \rho_{0}}\left\|G^{\prime}(z+w)\right\|\right)^{-1} C_{*}^{-1} \rho_{0}
$$

With these choices and the above estimates it is easy to see that for every $z_{\mu} \in Z$ and $|\varepsilon|<\varepsilon_{0}$ the map $F_{\mu, \varepsilon}$ maps $B_{\rho_{0}}(0)$ in itself and is a contraction there. Thus $F_{\mu, \varepsilon}$ has a unique fix-point $(w(\mu, \varepsilon), \alpha(\mu, \varepsilon))$ in $B_{\rho_{0}}(0)$ and it is a consequence of the implicit function theorem that $w$ and $\alpha$ are continuously differentiable.
From (3.16) we also infer that $F_{z, \varepsilon}$ maps $B_{\rho}(0)$ into $B_{\rho}(0)$, whenever $\rho \leq \rho_{0}$ and

$$
\rho>2|\varepsilon|\left(\sup _{\|w\| \leq \rho}\left\|G^{\prime}(z+w)\right\|\right) C_{*} .
$$

Consequently due to the uniqueness of the fix-point we have

$$
\|(w(z, \varepsilon), \alpha(z, \varepsilon))\| \leq 3|\varepsilon|\left(\sup _{\|w\| \leq \rho_{0}}\left\|G^{\prime}(z+w)\right\|\right) C_{*},
$$

which gives (3.12). Let us now prove (3.13). Set

$$
\rho_{\mu}:=\min \left\{4 \varepsilon_{0} C_{*} C_{1}\left\||k|^{1 / p} z_{\mu}\right\|_{p, b}^{p-1}, \rho_{0},\left(\frac{1}{8 \varepsilon_{0} C_{1} C_{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}}\right\}
$$

where $C_{1}$ is given in Lemma 3.3. In view of (3.7) we have that for any $|\varepsilon|<\varepsilon_{0}$ and $\mu>0$

$$
2|\varepsilon| C_{*} \sup _{\|w\| \leq \rho_{\mu}}\left\|G^{\prime}\left(z_{\mu}+w\right)\right\| \leq 2|\varepsilon| C_{*} C_{1}\left\||k|^{1 / p} z_{\mu}\right\|_{p, b}^{p-1}+2|\varepsilon| C_{*} C_{1} \rho_{\mu}^{p-2} \rho_{\mu}
$$

Since $\rho_{\mu}^{p-2} \leq \frac{1}{8 \varepsilon_{0} C_{1} C_{*}}$ we have,

$$
2|\varepsilon| C_{*} \sup _{\|w\| \leq \rho_{\mu}}\left\|G^{\prime}\left(z_{\mu}+w\right)<2|\varepsilon| C_{*} C_{1}\right\||k|^{1 / p} z_{\mu} \|_{p, b}^{p-1}+\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\mu} \leq \rho_{\mu}
$$

so that, by the above argument, we can conclude that $F_{\mu, \varepsilon} \operatorname{maps} B_{\rho_{\mu}}(0)$ into $B_{\rho_{\mu}}(0)$. Consequently due to the uniqueness of the fix-point we have

$$
\|w(\mu, \varepsilon)\| \leq \rho_{\mu}
$$

Since by (3.9) we have that $\rho_{\mu} \rightarrow 0$ for $\mu \rightarrow 0$ and for $\mu \rightarrow+\infty$, we get (3.13).
Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.4 we may define for $|\varepsilon|<\varepsilon_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{a, b, \lambda}^{\varepsilon}:=\left\{u \in \mathcal{D}_{a, \lambda}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \mid u=z_{\mu}^{a, b, \lambda}+w(\mu, \varepsilon), \mu \in(0, \infty)\right\} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $Z^{\varepsilon}$ is a one dimensional manifold.
Lemma 3.5. Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.4 we may choose $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that for every $|\varepsilon|<\varepsilon_{0}$ the manifold $Z^{\varepsilon}$ is a natural constraint for $f_{\varepsilon}$, i.e. every critical point of $\left.f_{\varepsilon}\right|_{Z^{\varepsilon}}$ is a critical point of $f_{\varepsilon}$.

Proof. Fix $u \in Z^{\varepsilon}$ such that $\left.f_{\varepsilon}\right|_{Z^{\varepsilon}} ^{\prime}(u)=0$. In the following we use a dot for the derivation with respect to $\mu$. Since $\left(\dot{z}_{\mu}, w(\mu, \varepsilon)\right)=0$ for all $\mu>0$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\ddot{z}_{\mu}, w(\mu, \varepsilon)\right)+\left(\dot{z}_{\mu}, \dot{w}(\mu, \varepsilon)\right)=0 . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover differentiating the identity $z_{\mu}=U_{\sigma} z_{\mu / \sigma}$ with respect to $\mu$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{z}_{\sigma}=\frac{1}{\sigma} U_{\sigma} \dot{z}_{1} \text { and } \ddot{z}_{\sigma}=\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} U_{\sigma} \ddot{z}_{1} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.11) we get that $f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(u)=c_{1} \dot{z}_{\mu}$ for some $\mu>0$. By (3.18) and (3.19)

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left(f_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(u), \dot{z}_{\mu}+\dot{w}(\mu, \varepsilon)\right)=c_{1}\left(\dot{z}_{\mu}, \dot{z}_{\mu}+\dot{w}(\mu, \varepsilon)\right) \\
& \left.=c_{1} \mu^{-2}\left(\left\|\dot{z}_{1}\right\|^{2}-\left(\ddot{z}_{1}, U_{\mu^{-1}} w(\mu, \varepsilon)\right)\right)=c_{1} \mu^{-2}\left(\left\|\dot{z}_{1}\right\|^{2}-\left\|\ddot{z}_{1}\right\| O(1) \varepsilon\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally we see that for small $\varepsilon>0$ the number $c_{1}$ must be zero and the assertion follows.
In view of the above result we end up facing a finite dimensional problem as it is enough to find critical points of the functional $\Phi_{\varepsilon}:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $\left.f_{\varepsilon}\right|_{Z^{\varepsilon}}$.

## 4. Study of $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$

In this section we will assume that the critical manifold is non-degenerate, i.e. (1.5), such that the functional $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$ is defined. To find critical points of $\Phi_{\varepsilon}=\left.f_{\varepsilon}\right|_{Z^{\varepsilon}}$ it is convenient to introduce the functional $\Gamma$ given below.

Lemma 4.1. Suppose $a, b, p, \lambda$ satisfy (1.2) and (1.4) holds. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\varepsilon}(\mu)=f_{0}\left(z_{1}\right)-\varepsilon \Gamma(\mu)+o(\varepsilon) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma(\mu)=G\left(z_{\mu}\right)$. In particular, there is $C>0$, independent of $\mu$ and $\varepsilon$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Phi_{\varepsilon}(\mu)-\left(f_{0}\left(z_{1}\right)-\varepsilon \Gamma(\mu)\right)\right| \leq C\left(\|w(\varepsilon, \mu)\|^{2}+(1+|\varepsilon|)\|w(\varepsilon, \mu)\|^{p}+|\varepsilon|\|w(\varepsilon, \mu)\|\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, if there exist $0<\mu_{1}<\mu_{2}<\mu_{3}<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(\mu_{2}\right)>\max \left(\Gamma\left(\mu_{1}\right), \Gamma\left(\mu_{3}\right)\right) \text { or } \Gamma\left(\mu_{2}\right)<\min \left(\Gamma\left(\mu_{1}\right), \Gamma\left(\mu_{3}\right)\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$ will have a critical point, if $\varepsilon>0$ is sufficiently small.
Proof. Note that for all $\mu>0$ we have $f_{0}\left(z_{\mu}\right)=f_{0}\left(z_{1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{\mu}\right\|^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{z_{\mu}^{p}}{|x|^{b p}} \text { and }\left(z_{\mu}, w(\varepsilon, \mu)\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{z_{\mu}^{p-1} w(\varepsilon, \mu)}{|x|^{b p}} . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.4) we infer

$$
\Phi_{\varepsilon}(\mu)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{z_{\mu}^{p}}{|x|^{b p}}+\frac{1}{2}\|w(\varepsilon, \mu)\|^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{z_{\mu}^{p-1} w(\varepsilon, \mu)}{|x|^{b p}}-\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{(1+\varepsilon k)\left(z_{\mu}+w(\varepsilon, \mu)\right)^{p}}{|x|^{b p}}
$$

and

$$
f_{0}\left(z_{1}\right)=f_{0}\left(z_{\mu}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|z_{\mu}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{z_{\mu}^{p}}{|x|^{b p}}=\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{z_{\mu}^{p}}{|x|^{b p}}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\varepsilon}(\mu)=f_{0}\left(z_{1}\right)-\varepsilon \Gamma(\mu)+\frac{1}{2}\|w(\varepsilon, \mu)\|^{2}-\frac{1}{p} H_{\varepsilon}(\mu) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
H_{\varepsilon}(\mu)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left(z_{\mu}+w(\varepsilon, \mu)\right)^{p}-z_{\mu}^{p}-p z_{\mu}^{p-1} w(\varepsilon, \mu)+\varepsilon k\left(\left(z_{\mu}+w(\varepsilon, \mu)\right)^{p}-z_{\mu}^{p}\right)}{|x|^{b p}} .
$$

Using the inequality

$$
(z+w)^{s-1}-z^{s-1}-(p-1) z^{s-2} w \leq \begin{cases}C\left(z^{s-3} w^{2}+w^{s-1}\right) & \text { if } s \geq 3 \\ C w^{s-1} & \text { if } 2<s<3\end{cases}
$$

where $C=C(s)>0$, with $s=p+1$ and Hölder's inequality we have for some $c_{2}, c_{3}>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|H_{\varepsilon}(\mu)\right| & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|\left(z_{\mu}+w(\varepsilon, \mu)\right)^{p}-z_{\mu}^{p}-p z_{\mu}^{p-1} w(\varepsilon, \mu)\right|}{|x|^{b p}}+|\varepsilon| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|k|\left(\left(z_{\mu}+w(\varepsilon, \mu)\right)^{p}-z_{\mu}^{p}\right)}{|x|^{b p}} \\
& \leq c_{2}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{z_{\mu}^{p-2} w^{2}(\varepsilon, \mu)}{|x|^{b p}}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|w(\varepsilon, \mu)|^{p}}{|x|^{b p}}+|\varepsilon| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{z_{\mu}^{p-1}|w(\varepsilon, \mu)|}{|x|^{b p}}+|\varepsilon| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|w(\varepsilon, \mu)|^{p}}{|x|^{b p}}\right] \\
& \leq c_{3}\left[\|w(\varepsilon, \mu)\|^{2}+(1+|\varepsilon|)\|w(\varepsilon, \mu)\|^{p}+|\varepsilon|\|w(\varepsilon, \mu)\|\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and the claim follows.

Although it is convenient to study only the reduced functional $\Gamma$ instead of $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$, it may lead in some cases to a loss of information, i.e. $\Gamma$ may be constant even if $k$ is a non-constant function. This is due to the fact that the critical manifold consists of radially symmetric functions. Thus $\Gamma$ is constant for every $k$ that has constant mean-value over spheres, i.e.

$$
\frac{1}{r^{N-1}} \int_{\partial B_{r}(0)} k(x) d S(x) \equiv \text { const } \quad \forall r>0
$$

In this case we have to study the functional $\Phi_{\varepsilon}(\mu)$ directly.
Proof of Theorem 1.3. By (1.8), (3.9), (3.13) and (4.2)

$$
\lim _{\mu \rightarrow 0^{+}} \Phi_{\varepsilon}(\mu)=\lim _{\mu \rightarrow+\infty} \Phi_{\varepsilon}(\mu)=f_{0}\left(z_{1}\right)
$$

Hence, either the functional $\Phi_{\varepsilon} \equiv f_{0}\left(z_{1}\right)$, and we obtain infinitely many critical points, or $\Phi_{\varepsilon} \not \equiv f_{0}\left(z_{1}\right)$ and $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$ has at least a global maximum or minimum. In any case $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$ has a critical point that provides a solution of $\left(\mathcal{P}_{a, b, \lambda}\right)$.

The next lemma shows that it is possible (and convenient) to extend the $C^{2}-$ functional $\Gamma$ by continuity to $\mu=0$. The proof of this fact is analogous to the one in [3, Lem. 3.4] and we omit it here.

Lemma 4.2. Under the assumptions of Lemma 4.1

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma(0):=\lim _{\mu \rightarrow 0} \Gamma(\mu)=k(0) \frac{1}{p}\left\|z_{1}\right\|_{p, b}^{p} \quad \text { and }  \tag{4.6}\\
& \frac{1}{p} \liminf _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} k(x)\left\|z_{1}\right\|_{p, b}^{p} \leq \liminf _{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma(\mu) \leq \limsup _{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma(\mu) \leq \frac{1}{p} \limsup _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} k(x)\left\|z_{1}\right\|_{p, b}^{p} \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

If, moreover, (1.9) holds we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{\prime}(0)=0 \text { and } \Gamma^{\prime \prime}(0)=\frac{\Delta k(0)}{N p} \int|x|^{2} \frac{z_{1}(x)^{p}}{|x|^{b p}} . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. To see that assumptions (1.10) and (1.11) give rise to a critical point we use the functional $\Gamma$. Condition (1.10) and Lemma 4.2 imply that $\Gamma$ has a global maximum strictly bigger than $\Gamma(0)$ and $\lim \sup _{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma(\mu)$. Consequently $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$ has a critical point in view of Lemma 4.1. The same reasoning yields a critical point under condition (1.11).
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