



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Jaimo Ahn,
University of Michigan, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE
Enrico Gallazzi
✉ enrico.gallazzi@gmail.com

RECEIVED 06 December 2023
ACCEPTED 11 December 2023
PUBLISHED 21 December 2023

CITATION

Gallazzi E, La Maida GA and Cabitza F (2023)
Editorial: Clinical Integration of Artificial
Intelligence in Spine Surgery: Stepping in a
new Frontier.
Front. Surg. 10:1351643.
doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2023.1351643

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Gallazzi, La Maida and Cabitza. This is
an open-access article distributed under the
terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution
License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or
reproduction in other forums is permitted,
provided the original author(s) and the
copyright owner(s) are credited and that the
original publication in this journal is cited, in
accordance with accepted academic practice.
No use, distribution or reproduction is
permitted which does not comply with these
terms.

Editorial: Clinical Integration of Artificial Intelligence in Spine Surgery: Stepping in a new Frontier

Enrico Gallazzi^{1*}, Giovanni Andrea La Maida¹
and Federico Cabitza^{2,3}

¹U.O.C. Patologia Vertebrale e Scoliosi, ASST Gatano Pini - CTO, Milano, Italy, ²Department of Informatics, Systems and Communication, University of Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy, ³IRCCS Ospedale Galeazzi - Sant'Ambrogio, Milano, Italy

KEYWORDS

spine surgery, cervical spondylotic myelopathy, artificial intelligence, machine learning, deep neural network

Editorial on the Research Topic

Clinical Integration of Artificial Intelligence in Spine Surgery: Stepping in a new Frontier

The application of artificial intelligence (AI) in healthcare has expanded rapidly in recent years. In spine surgery specifically, there is growing interest in leveraging AI technologies to improve diagnosis, surgical planning, intraoperative guidance, and postoperative care.

This was reflected by the huge increase in the number of published papers that explore potential AI application in Spinal pathologies in the last few years. Nonetheless, to ensure the highest possible quality of research, it is not enough to merely applying AI to medical data: studies should indeed be aimed at developing tools that medical staff “can use in their daily practice to improve the appropriateness, safety and effectiveness of their decisions, and ultimately the health outcomes of their Patients” (1).

Following this principle, this special issue focuses on translating AI innovations into clinical practice within the field of spine surgery. The aims of this special issue are twofold. First, it brings together high-quality studies that demonstrate the reliability, robustness, and clinical utility of AI systems for spine surgery. Second, it provides a forum to discuss both the promises and challenges of integrating AI into routine surgical workflows. Meeting these aims will accelerate the adoption of impactful AI tools that ultimately benefit patient care.

The scope of the special issue spans the continuum of spine surgery, from diagnosis to postoperative follow-up. Contributions apply AI techniques including machine learning and deep learning for tasks such as image analysis, patient risk stratification, surgical planning, intraoperative navigation, and outcome prediction. Importantly, the selected studies provide evidence of clinical viability based on the accuracy of ground truth labels, generalization to new data, and measurable improvements in surgical decision-making or patient outcomes.

Several, high quality paper met the Editorial standard for this Special Issue and provided extremely interesting and innovative application of AI in different Spinal pathologies.

Two papers investigated potential clinical application of AI in Cervical Spondylotic Myelopathy (CSM). CSM is the leading causes of paraparesis in Adult Population, with

an estimated prevalence of 2%–4% (2). The most effective treatment in reducing or halting disease progression is decompressive surgery; however, huge heterogeneity in clinical presentation and surgical decision-making is reported (3), thus making research in this field extremely important. In the paper by Zhou et al., the Authors demonstrates the ability of unsupervised machine learning algorithms to identify two clinically distinct clusters of patients that underwent Anterior Decompression and Fusion for CSM, on the basis of preoperative characteristics. While the two clusters differed in comorbidities, risk factors and disease severity, the postoperative outcomes were similar between the two groups, hence suggesting that more at risk, more ill patients could benefit most from surgery. This data-driven approach to patient phenotyping could serve as a foundation for more personalized, data-driven treatment paradigms (Zhou et al.). The second paper by Park et al., focuses on modeling expert therapeutic decisions for CSM using ensemble machine learning. Their models accurately classified treatment options (conservative, anterior approach, posterior approach) based on a range of clinical and radiographic variables. Interestingly, the most important variables used by the AI to distinguish between conservative versus surgical group were the modified Japanese Orthopedic Association score and Central Motor Conduction Time, thus giving less importance to radiographic parameters; on the contrary, number of levels involved was the most important parameter to distinguish between anterior and posterior approach. The models' high predictive performance suggests a promising role for AI-assisted surgical planning in this patient population, potentially simplifying the current, "classical" treatment algorithms (Park et al.). Both those studies offer an interesting framework for the use of AI in CSM, with evident and relevant clinical implications.

The study by Mekhael et al., focuses instead on Adult Spinal Deformities (ASD). ASD is a complex disease, with a huge burden on quality of life; only a small fraction of patients with ASD require surgery, and correct identification of those patients is extremely important given the extremely high frequency of surgical complications. In their paper, they used an innovative machine learning (ML) approach to predict Health related Quality of Life (HRQoL) in ASD, combining radiological parameters with kinematics parameters derived from 3D movement analysis (3DMA) (Mekhael et al.); in their ML simulation, they found that the combination of radiological and kinematic parameters predicted HRQoL with greater accuracy than stand alone classical radiographic analysis. Moreover, they showed how 3DMA was a better predictor of HRQoL after surgery. Overall, their paper offers a new perspective on the clinical evaluation of patients with ASD: the addition of 3DMA enhanced by AI could be extremely helpful in better stratifying ASD patients, allowing for a better selection of patients that will actually benefit from surgery.

In the fourth paper of this collection, Bassani et al. tested a deep learning approach to classify endplate lesions on sagittal MRI (Bassani et al.). Correctly identifying endplate lesion could be important in screening patients with degenerative lumbar

disease. Their algorithm showed a high accuracy in classifying those lesions: thus, AI could be used to perform a repetitive task (classification), potentially reducing Doctors screen time.

Finally, the bibliometric analysis by Fan et al. summarizes hot topics and trends in research on lumbar spondylolisthesis, as determined through text mining and machine learning. The authors found that surgical management is the major topic in lumbar spondylolisthesis research, followed by radiographic assessment and epidemiology. The authors also identified minimally invasive techniques as a recent hotspot in lumbar spondylolisthesis research. Beyond characterizing the recent literature, these scientometric insights can inform future research directions to advance the field (Fan et al.).

Together, these articles demonstrate innovative applications of AI that hints at the potential to profoundly shape clinical practice in spine surgery. AI-powered systems can be used to identify heterogeneous patient populations, classify expert-level therapeutic decisions, and identify research topics and hotspot trends. As AI technology continues to develop, we can expect to see even more innovative and transformative applications of AI in spine surgery. This special issue provides a rigorous forum to evaluate AI systems for integration into real-world surgical settings. It is Editors' opinion that, through collaborative efforts across clinical, technical, and industry partners, AI technologies can be thoughtfully implemented to improve spine patient care.

Author contributions

EG: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. GL: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. FC: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Cabitza F, Campagner A. The need to separate the wheat from the chaff in medical informatics: introducing a comprehensive checklist for the (self)-assessment of medical AI studies. *Int J Med Inform.* (2021) 153:104510. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2021.104510
2. Grodzinski B, Stubbs DJ, Davies BM. Most degenerative cervical myelopathy remains undiagnosed, particularly amongst the elderly: modelling the prevalence of degenerative cervical myelopathy in the United Kingdom. *J Neurol.* (2023) 270(1):311–9. doi: 10.1007/s00415-022-11349-8
3. Zileli M, Maheshwari S, Kale SS, Garg K, Menon SK, Parthiban J. Outcome measures and variables affecting prognosis of cervical spondylotic myelopathy: WFNS spine committee recommendations. *Neurospine.* (2019) 16(3):435–47. doi: 10.14245/ns.1938196.098