

SOLUTION METHODS FOR  
MIXED VARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES

Barbara Panicucci<sup>1</sup>, Massimo Pappalardo<sup>2</sup>, Mauro Passacantando<sup>3</sup> §

<sup>1,2,3</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics  
University of Pisa

Via Buonarroti 1/c, Pisa, 56127, ITALY

<sup>1</sup>e-mail: panicucc@mail.dm.unipi.it

<sup>2</sup>e-mail: pappalardo@dma.unipi.it

<sup>3</sup>e-mail: passacantando@dma.unipi.it

**Abstract:** In this paper two descent methods with respect to a gap function for solving a class of monotone mixed variational inequalities are proposed. We show that the two algorithms, based on an exact and an Armijo-type line search procedure, respectively, are globally convergent.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 65K10, 47J20

**Key Words:** mixed variational inequality, monotone map, gap function, descent method

1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  a map, and  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a real valued function. The Mixed Variational Inequality (MVI, for short) problem is to find a point  $x^* \in X$  such that

$$\langle F(x^*), y - x^* \rangle + f(y) - f(x^*) \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in X, \quad (1)$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the usual inner product in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

This problem was originally considered by Lescarret [6] and Browder [2] for its applications in mathematical physics. Afterwards, it has been shown that the MVI problem has a large variety of applications in various fields such as mechanics, economics and operation research, see [1], [5], [7], [10] and references

---

Received: February 12, 2008

© 2008, Academic Publications Ltd.

§Correspondence author

therein. When  $f \equiv 0$ , the MVI problem reduces to the classical variational inequality problem.

One of the main approaches for solving the classical variational inequality or the MVI consists in minimizing a gap function associated to the problem. Plenty of corresponding descent type methods have been developed for VIs (see e.g. [3] and references therein). Descent type methods have been also presented for the MVI problem (see [4] and [9]). In [4] the author proposed a descent method which utilizes an inexact Armijo type line search procedure. The convergence was proved by assuming the operator  $F$  to be strongly monotone.

In this paper, we devise two global convergent descent algorithms (with an exact and an inexact line search procedure, respectively) with respect to a gap function, for solving MVIs with monotone (not necessarily strongly monotone) operator.

In the rest of the paper we consider the following assumptions.

**(A1)** The set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is nonempty, closed, and convex; the map  $F : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is continuously differentiable, where  $Y$  is an open convex set such that  $X \subset Y$ ; the function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is convex.

**(A2)** The map  $F$  is monotone on  $Y$ , i.e.  $\langle F(x) - F(y), x - y \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $x, y \in Y$ .

**(A3)** The set  $X$  is bounded.

## 2. Gap Functions

In this paper we consider the following function (see [4]):

$$\varphi_\alpha(x) = \max_{y \in X} \left[ \langle F(x), x - y \rangle - \frac{\alpha}{2} \|x - y\|_G^2 + f(x) - f(y) \right], \quad (2)$$

where  $\alpha$  is a positive parameter,  $G$  is a symmetric positive definite matrix, and  $\|\cdot\|_G$  denotes the norm in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  defined by  $\|x\|_G = \sqrt{\langle x, Gx \rangle}$ . It is easy to check that for each  $x \in X$  the optimization problem (2) has a unique solution which will be denoted by  $y_\alpha(x)$ .

Under assumption (A1), the function defined in (2) is a gap function for the problem (1), i.e. it is nonnegative on  $X$  and the set of zeros coincide with the set of solutions of (1), see [4]. Therefore, if the problem (1) has a solution, it is equivalent to the following constrained optimization problem:

$$\min_{x \in X} \varphi_\alpha(x). \quad (3)$$

We remark that, by definition, the gap function  $\varphi_\alpha$  is nondifferentiable since the function  $f$  is so. However, under the assumption (A1), the function  $\varphi_\alpha$  is locally Lipschitz continuous on  $X$  and it is directionally derivable with respect to any direction (see [10, Proposition 4.19]). Moreover, the Clarke subdifferential and the directional derivative of  $\varphi_\alpha$  at any  $x \in X$  can be determined explicitly.

Note also that problem (3) may have local minima which differ from the global one. Therefore in order to solve (1) with a descent method with respect to  $\varphi_\alpha$ , we need some monotonicity properties of the operator  $F$ . In [4] it has been proved that, if  $F$  is strongly monotone on  $Y$  (i.e.  $\langle F(x) - F(y), x - y \rangle \geq \tau \|x - y\|^2$ , for all  $x, y \in Y$ , for some  $\tau > 0$ ) and  $x$  is not a solution of (1), then  $y_\alpha(x) - x$  is a descent direction at any point  $x$  for the gap function  $\varphi_\alpha$ . When  $F$  is only monotone, the vector  $y_\alpha(x) - x$  is not necessarily a descent direction for  $\varphi_\alpha$ , but it satisfies a condition which will be exploited in the following and that allows constructing descent methods for the gap function  $\varphi_\alpha$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let assumptions (A1) – (A2) be fulfilled and let  $\alpha > 0$ . Then, for each  $x \in X$ , the vector  $y_\alpha(x) - x$  satisfies the following condition:*

$$\varphi'_\alpha(x; y_\alpha(x) - x) \leq -\varphi_\alpha(x) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|x - y_\alpha(x)\|_G^2 \leq 0, \tag{4}$$

where  $\varphi'_\alpha(x; y_\alpha(x) - x)$  denotes the directional derivative of  $\varphi_\alpha$  at  $x$  with respect to the direction  $y_\alpha(x) - x$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$ . From [10, Proposition 4.19] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'_\alpha(x; y_\alpha(x) - x) &= \langle F(x) - (\nabla F(x)^\top - \alpha G)(y_\alpha(x) - x), y_\alpha(x) - x \rangle \\ &\quad + f'(x; y_\alpha(x) - x) \\ &= \langle F(x), y_\alpha(x) - x \rangle + \alpha \|y_\alpha(x) - x\|_G^2 \\ &\quad - \langle y_\alpha(x) - x, \nabla F(x)(y_\alpha(x) - x) \rangle + f'(x; y_\alpha(x) - x). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

By assumption (A2), it follows that the matrix  $\nabla F(x)$  is positive semidefinite (see [3, Proposition 2.3.2]) and hence

$$\langle y_\alpha(x) - x, \nabla F(x)(y_\alpha(x) - x) \rangle \geq 0. \tag{6}$$

Moreover, since the function  $f$  is convex we have

$$f'(x; y_\alpha(x) - x) \leq f(y_\alpha(x)) - f(x). \tag{7}$$

Therefore, taking into account of (5), (6), (7), and that  $\varphi_\alpha(x) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \|x - y_\alpha(x)\|_G^2$  (see [4]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'_\alpha(x; y_\alpha(x) - x) &\leq \langle F(x), y_\alpha(x) - x \rangle + \alpha \|y_\alpha(x) - x\|_G^2 + f(y_\alpha(x)) - f(x) \\ &= -\varphi_\alpha(x) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|x - y_\alpha(x)\|_G^2 \leq 0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Algorithm 1**

0. (Initial step)  
 Let  $G$  be a symmetric positive definite matrix and  $\eta \in (0, 1)$ .  
 Let  $\{\alpha_k\}$  be a sequence strictly decreasing to 0.  
 Choose any  $x^0 \in X$  and set  $k = 0$ .
1. (Stopping criterion)  
**If**  $\varphi_{\alpha_k}(x^k) = 0$   
     **then** STOP,  
**else** set  $k = k + 1$ .
2. (Minimization of  $\varphi_{\alpha_k}$ )  
 2a. (Initialization)  
 Set  $i = 0$  and  $z^0 = x^{k-1}$ .  
 2b. **If**  $-\varphi_{\alpha_k}(z^i) + \frac{\alpha_k}{2} \|z^i - y_{\alpha_k}(z^i)\|_G^2 < -\eta \varphi_{\alpha_k}(z^i)$   
     **then** (line search)  
       set  $d^i = y_{\alpha_k}(z^i) - z^i$   
       compute  $t_i \in \arg \min_{t \in [0,1]} \varphi_{\alpha_k}(z^i + t d^i)$   
     **else** (update of  $x^k$ )  
       set  $x^k = z^i$  and return to step 1.  
 2c. (Update of  $z^i$ )  
 Set  $z^{i+1} = z^i + t_i d^i$ ,  $i = i + 1$ , and return to step 2b.

This result is useful to derive a modified descent method with exact line search procedure (Section 3) and one with inexact Armijo-type line search procedure (Section 4) for solving the MVI problem (1). The basic idea is to use (4) to obtain, if possible, a descent direction. Indeed, if  $x \in X$  satisfies the condition

$$-\varphi_{\alpha}(x) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|x - y_{\alpha}(x)\|_G^2 < -\eta \varphi_{\alpha}(x), \quad (8)$$

where  $\eta \in (0, 1)$ , then from (4) and (8) we get

$$\varphi'_{\alpha}(x; y_{\alpha}(x)) < -\eta \varphi_{\alpha}(x).$$

Hence the vector  $d = y_{\alpha}(x) - x$  is a descent direction for  $\varphi_{\alpha}$  at  $x$  and we can perform a line search procedure with respect to the direction  $d$ . Otherwise, if  $x$  does not solve the problem (1) and does not satisfy (8), we reduce the parameter  $\alpha$ .

### 3. Descent Method with Exact Line Search

In this section we describe the descent method with an exact line search and we prove its global convergence to a solution of the problem (1).

**Theorem 2.** *If the assumptions (A1) – (A3) are fulfilled, then Algorithm 1 either stops at a solution of the problem (1) after a finite number of iterations, or generates a sequence  $\{x^k\}$  such that any of its cluster points solves (1), or generates a sequence  $\{z^i\}$ , for some fixed  $\alpha_k$ , such that any of its cluster points solves (1).*

*Proof.* There are three possible cases.

*Case 1.* The algorithm stops at  $x^k$  after a finite number of iterations. From the stopping criterion at step 1 it follows that  $\varphi_{\alpha_k}(x^k) = 0$ , thus  $x^k$  solves the problem (1).

*Case 2.* The algorithm generates an infinite sequence  $\{x^k\}$ . From condition at step 2b we have

$$\varphi_{\alpha_k}(x^k) \leq \frac{\alpha_k}{2(1-\eta)} \|x^k - y_{\alpha_k}(x^k)\|_G^2 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since  $x^k$  and  $y_{\alpha_k}(x^k)$  belong to  $X$  which is bounded, the norm  $\|x^k - y_{\alpha_k}(x^k)\|_G^2$  is bounded above. Moreover  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_k = 0$ , thus

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{\alpha_k}(x^k) = 0. \tag{9}$$

The sequence  $\{x^k\}$  has cluster points because it is bounded. Let  $x^*$  be any cluster point of  $\{x^k\}$  and  $x^{k_s}$  a subsequence converging to  $x^*$ . From the definition of  $\varphi_{\alpha_k}$  it follows that for each  $y \in X$  we have

$$\varphi_{\alpha_{k_s}}(x^{k_s}) \geq \langle F(x^{k_s}), x^{k_s} - y \rangle - \frac{\alpha_{k_s}}{2} \|x^{k_s} - y\|_G^2 + f(x^{k_s}) - f(y) \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Taking into account the continuity of  $F$  and  $f$ ,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_k = 0$ , and (9), then passing to the limit we obtain

$$0 \geq \langle F(x^*), x^* - y \rangle + f(x^*) - f(y).$$

Since  $y$  is arbitrary, we have proved that  $x^*$  solves the problem (1).

*Case 3.* The algorithm generates an infinite sequence  $\{z^i\}$  for a fixed  $\alpha_k = \alpha$ . Let  $z^*$  be any cluster point of  $\{z^i\}$  and  $z^{i_s}$  a subsequence converging to  $z^*$ . Assume by contradiction that  $z^*$  does not solve (1), thus  $\varphi_\alpha(z^*) > 0$ . Moreover, for all  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  we have:

$$-\varphi_\alpha(z^{i_s}) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|z^{i_s} - y_\alpha(z^{i_s})\|_G^2 < -\eta \varphi_\alpha(z^{i_s}).$$

Hence passing to the limit, since  $\varphi_\alpha$  and  $y_\alpha$  are continuous (see [4]), we obtain

$$-\varphi_\alpha(z^*) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|z^* - y_\alpha(z^*)\|_G^2 \leq -\eta \varphi_\alpha(z^*) < 0.$$

Using Theorem 1 we have:

$$\varphi'_\alpha(z^*; y_\alpha(z^*) - z^*) < 0,$$

thus  $d^* = y_\alpha(z^*) - z^*$  is a descent direction for  $\varphi_\alpha$  at  $z^*$  and

$$\min_{t \in [0,1]} \varphi_\alpha(z^* + t d^*) < \varphi_\alpha(z^*). \quad (10)$$

On the other hand the sequence  $\{\varphi_\alpha(z^i)\}$  is monotone decreasing and from the step length rule it follows that for each  $t \in [0, 1]$  we have:

$$\varphi_\alpha(z^{i_s+1}) \leq \varphi_\alpha(z^{i_s} + t(y_\alpha(z^{i_s}) - z^{i_s})), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Passing to the limit we obtain:

$$\varphi_\alpha(z^*) \leq \varphi_\alpha(z^* + t(y_\alpha(z^*) - z^*)) \quad \forall t \in [0, 1],$$

that is

$$\varphi_\alpha(z^*) = \min_{t \in [0,1]} \varphi_\alpha(z^* + t d^*)$$

which is impossible because it contradicts (10). Thus  $z^*$  is a solution of the problem (1).  $\square$

#### 4. Descent Method with Armijo-Type Line Search

In this section we describe the descent method with an Armijo-type line search and we prove it is globally convergent to a solution of (1).

**Theorem 3.** *If the assumptions (A1)–(A3) are fulfilled, then Algorithm 2 either stops at a solution of the problem (1) after a finite number of iterations, or generates a bounded sequence  $\{x^k\}$  such that any of its cluster points solves (1), or generates a bounded sequence  $\{z^i\}$ , for some fixed  $\alpha_k$ , such that any of its cluster points solves (1).*

*Proof.* First, we show that the algorithm is well defined, i.e. that the line search procedure is always finite. Assume, by contradiction, that there are  $i, k \geq 0$  such that the inequality

$$\varphi_{\alpha_k}(z^i + \gamma^m d^i) - \varphi_{\alpha_k}(z^i) > -\beta \gamma^m \varphi_{\alpha_k}(z^i),$$

holds for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we have:

$$\varphi'_{\alpha_k}(z^i; d^i) = \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\varphi_{\alpha_k}(z^i + \gamma^m d^i) - \varphi_{\alpha_k}(z^i)}{\gamma^m} \geq -\beta \varphi_{\alpha_k}(z^i).$$

**Algorithm 2**

0. (Initial step)

Let  $G$  be a symmetric positive definite matrix,  $\eta, \gamma \in (0, 1)$ , and  $\beta \in (0, \eta)$ .

Let  $\{\alpha_k\}$  be a sequence strictly decreasing to 0.

Choose any  $x^0 \in X$  and set  $k = 0$ .

1. (Stopping criterion)

**If**  $\varphi_{\alpha_k}(x^k) = 0$

**then** STOP,

**else** set  $k = k + 1$ .

2. (Minimization of  $\varphi_{\alpha_k}$ )

2a. (Initialization)

Set  $i = 0$  and  $z^0 = x^{k-1}$ .

2b. **If**  $-\varphi_{\alpha_k}(z^i) + \frac{\alpha_k}{2} \|z^i - y_{\alpha_k}(z^i)\|_G^2 < -\eta \varphi_{\alpha_k}(z^i)$

**then** (line search)

set  $d^i = y_{\alpha_k}(z^i) - z^i$

compute the smallest nonnegative integer  $m$  such that:

$$\varphi_{\alpha_k}(z^i + \gamma^m d^i) - \varphi_{\alpha_k}(z^i) \leq -\beta \gamma^m \varphi_{\alpha_k}(z^i)$$

set  $t_i = \gamma^m$ ,

**else** (update of  $x^k$ )

set  $x^k = z^i$  and return to step 1.

2c. (Update of  $z^i$ )

Set  $z^{i+1} = z^i + t_i d^i$ ,  $i = i + 1$ , and return to step 2b.

Combining (4) and step 2b, we get:

$$\varphi'_{\alpha_k}(z^i; d^i) \leq -\varphi_{\alpha_k}(z^i) + \frac{\alpha_k}{2} \|d^i\|_G^2 < -\eta \varphi_{\alpha_k}(z^i),$$

therefore

$$(\eta - \beta) \varphi_{\alpha_k}(z^i) < 0,$$

which is impossible because  $\eta > \beta$  and  $\varphi_{\alpha_k}(z^i) \geq 0$ . So the line search procedure is always finite.

There are three possible cases.

*Case 1.* The algorithm stops at  $x^k$  after a finite number of iterations. From the stopping criterion it follows that  $x^k$  solves (1).

Case 2. The algorithm generates an infinite sequence  $\{x^k\}$ . As in the Case 2 of Theorem 2, it can be proved that any cluster point of  $\{x^k\}$  solves (1).

Case 3. The algorithm generates an infinite sequence  $\{z^i\}$  for a fixed  $\alpha_k = \alpha$ . Let us consider two possible subcases: either  $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} t_i > 0$ , or  $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} t_i = 0$ .

Subcase 3a. If  $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} t_i > 0$ , then there exists  $t^* > 0$  and a subsequence  $\{t_{i_s}\}$  such that  $t_{i_s} \geq t^* > 0$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since the sequence  $\{z^i\}$  is infinite, we have:

$$\varphi_\alpha(z^{i_s}) - \varphi_\alpha(z^{i_s+1}) \geq \beta t_{i_s} \varphi_\alpha(z^{i_s}) \geq \beta t^* \varphi_\alpha(z^{i_s}) \geq 0. \tag{11}$$

The sequence  $\{\varphi_\alpha(z^i)\}$  is monotone decreasing and bounded below, hence

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} [\varphi_\alpha(z^i) - \varphi_\alpha(z^{i+1})] = 0,$$

and in particular

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} [\varphi_\alpha(z^{i_s}) - \varphi_\alpha(z^{i_s+1})] = 0. \tag{12}$$

Using (11) and (12), we obtain  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_\alpha(z^{i_s}) = 0$  and thus  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_\alpha(z^i) = 0$ . If  $z^*$  is any cluster point of  $\{z^i\}$ , then from the continuity of  $\varphi_\alpha$  it follows that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_\alpha(z^i) = \varphi_\alpha(z^*)$ , hence  $\varphi_\alpha(z^*) = 0$ , i.e.  $z^*$  is a solution of the problem (1).

Subcase 3b. If  $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} t_i = 0$ , then  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} t_i = 0$ . From the step length rule it follows that for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\varphi_\alpha(z^i + \gamma^{-1} t_i d^i) - \varphi_\alpha(z^i) > -\beta \gamma^{-1} t_i \varphi_\alpha(z^i).$$

By the mean value theorem we have

$$\varphi_\alpha(z^i + \gamma^{-1} t_i d^i) - \varphi_\alpha(z^i) = \langle \xi^i, \gamma^{-1} t_i d^i \rangle,$$

where  $\xi^i \in \partial \varphi_\alpha(z^i + \theta_i \gamma^{-1} t_i d^i)$  for some  $\theta_i \in (0, 1)$ . We set  $w^i = z^i + \theta_i \gamma^{-1} t_i d^i$ . From [10, Proposition 4.19] it follows that

$$\xi^i = F(w^i) - (\nabla F(w^i)^\top - \alpha G)(y_\alpha(w^i) - w^i) + g^i,$$

for some  $g^i \in \partial f(w^i)$ . Therefore, for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have:

$$\langle F(w^i) - (\nabla F(w^i)^\top - \alpha G)(y_\alpha(w^i) - w^i), d^i \rangle + \langle g^i, d^i \rangle > -\beta \varphi_\alpha(z^i).$$

The sequences  $\{z^i\}$  and  $\{d^i\}$  are bounded, thus also  $\{g^i\}$  is bounded. Let  $z^*$  be any cluster point of  $\{z^i\}$ . Since  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} t_i = 0$  and the set-valued map  $\partial f$  is closed, passing to the limit and taking a subsequence if necessary, we get:

$$\langle F(z^*) - (\nabla F(z^*)^\top - \alpha G)(y_\alpha(z^*) - z^*), d^* \rangle + \langle g^*, d^* \rangle \geq -\beta \varphi_\alpha(z^*), \tag{13}$$

where  $d^* = y_\alpha(z^*) - z^*$  and  $g^* \in \partial f(z^*)$ . Since

$$f'(z^*; d^*) = \max_{g \in \partial f(z^*)} \langle g, d^* \rangle, \tag{14}$$



from [10, Proposition 4.19], (13) and (14) it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'_\alpha(z^*; d^*) &= \langle F(z^*) - (\nabla F(z^*)^\top - \alpha G)(y_\alpha(z^*) - z^*), d^* \rangle + f'(z^*; d^*) \\ &\geq \langle F(z^*) - (\nabla F(z^*)^\top - \alpha G)(y_\alpha(z^*) - z^*), d^* \rangle + \langle g^*, d^* \rangle \geq -\beta \varphi_\alpha(z^*). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Moreover, for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have:

$$-\varphi_\alpha(z^i) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|z^i - y_\alpha(z^i)\|_G^2 < -\eta \varphi_\alpha(z^i),$$

hence passing to the limit and taking a subsequence if necessary, and using Theorem 1 we obtain:

$$\varphi'_\alpha(z^*; d^*) \leq -\varphi_\alpha(z^*) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|d^*\|_G^2 \leq -\eta \varphi_\alpha(z^*). \tag{16}$$

From (15) and (16) we get

$$(\eta - \beta) \varphi_\alpha(z^*) \leq 0.$$

Since  $\eta > \beta$  and  $\varphi_\alpha(z^*) \geq 0$ , it follows that  $\varphi_\alpha(z^*) = 0$ . i.e.  $z^*$  solves the problem (1).  $\square$

**Remark 4.** In Algorithms 1 and 2 the sequence  $\{\alpha_k\}$  can be chosen adaptively, for example (see also [11]) such as:

$$\alpha_k = \begin{cases} \alpha_{k-1} & \text{if } \varphi_{\alpha_{k-1}}(x^{k-1}) \leq \nu_{k-1}, \\ \mu \alpha_{k-1} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

where  $0 < \mu < 1$  and  $\{\nu_k\}$  is a sequence decreasing to 0. Indeed, if the algorithm generates an infinite sequence  $\{x^k\}$  with  $\{\alpha_k\}$  chosen by (17), then either  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_k = 0$ , which can be treated as in the Case 2 of Theorem 2 or Theorem 3, or one has

$$\alpha_k = \bar{\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{\bar{\alpha}}(x^k) \leq \nu_k \quad \forall k > \bar{k},$$

hence  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{\bar{\alpha}}(x^k) = 0$ . Then for each cluster point  $x^*$  of  $\{x^k\}$  we have  $\varphi_{\bar{\alpha}}(x^*) = 0$ , that is  $x^*$  solves the problem (1).

### Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the National Research Program PRIN/20050 17083 “Innovative Problems and Methods in Nonlinear Optimization”.

### References

[1] C. Baiocchi, A. Capelo, *Variational and Quasivariational Inequalities*, John Wiley and Sons, New York (1984).

- [2] F.E. Browder, On the unification of the calculus of variations and the theory of monotone nonlinear operators in Banach spaces, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **56** (1966), 419-425.
- [3] F. Facchinei, J.-S. Pang, *Finite-Dimensional Variational Inequalities and Complementarity Problems*, Springer, Berlin (2003).
- [4] I.V. Konnov, Descent method with inexact linesearch for mixed variational inequalities, *Russian Mathematics (Iz. VUZ)*, To Appear.
- [5] I.V. Konnov, E.O. Volotskaya, Mixed variational inequalities and economic equilibrium problems, *J. Appl. Math.*, **2** (2002), 289-314.
- [6] C. Lescarret, Cas d'addition des applications monotones maximales dans un espace de Hilbert, *Compt. Rend. Acad. Sci. (Paris)*, **261** (1965), 1160-1163.
- [7] P.D. Panagiotopoulos, *Inequality Problems in Mechanics and Applications*, Birkhäuser, Boston (1985).
- [8] B. Panucucci, M. Pappalardo, M. Passacantando, A globally convergent descent method for nonsmooth variational inequalities, *Comput. Optim. Appl.*, To Appear.
- [9] M. Patriksson, Merit functions and descent algorithms for a class of variational inequalities, *Optimization*, **41** (1997), 37-55.
- [10] M. Patriksson, *Nonlinear Programming and Variational Inequality Problems: A Unified Approach*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (1999).
- [11] M.V. Solodov, P. Tseng, Some methods based on the D-gap function for solving monotone variational inequalities, *Comput. Optim. Appl.*, **17** (2000), 255-277.