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## 1. Introduction

Superstring theory is considered to be a promising candidate for quantum gravity. One of the remarkable features of this theory is that the dimensionality of the space-time in which the theory is defined is not arbitrary but is determined by the consistency of the theory. Specifically, the theory is consistently defined only in 10D space-time. Therefore, it is important to clarify the relationship between the 10D space-time and our (3+1)D space-time.

One mechanism to explain $(3+1) \mathrm{D}$ space-time, in the theory, is the compactification, in which the physics in $(3+1) D$ space-time is determined by the structure of the compactified extra dimension. At the perturbative level, one needs to fix the dimensionality of the extra dimensions to 6 by hand, and one also has huge ambiguity in the structure of the extra dimension. However, it is difficult to construct the extra dimensions explicitly by requiring that the physics in $(3+1) \mathrm{D}$ space-time is consistent with the Standard Model at the low-energy scale. Even if this is feasible, it is not clear whether one can choose one of the many possibilities at the perturbative level. Therefore, it is important to study the non-perturbative aspects of superstring theory.

The type IIB matrix model, also called IKKT matrix model, was proposed in Ref. [1], and it is one of the promising candidates for a non-perturbative formulation of superstring theory. The model is defined by the partition function

$$
\begin{align*}
Z & =\int d A d \Psi d \bar{\Psi} e^{i\left(S_{\mathrm{b}}+S_{\mathrm{f}}\right)} \\
S_{\mathrm{b}} & =-\frac{N}{4} \operatorname{Tr}\left\{-2\left[A_{0}, A_{i}\right]^{2}+\left[A_{i}, A_{j}\right]^{2}\right\},  \tag{1}\\
S_{\mathrm{f}} & =-\frac{N}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left\{\bar{\Psi}_{\alpha}\left(\Gamma^{\mu}\right)_{\alpha \beta}\left[A_{\mu}, \Psi_{\beta}\right]\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{\mu}(\mu=0,1, \ldots, 9)$ and $\Psi_{\alpha}(\alpha=1,2, \ldots, 16)$ are $N \times N$ Hermitian matrices, and $\Gamma^{\mu}$ are the 10D Gamma matrices after the Weyl projection. This model has $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry (SUSY), which is the maximal SUSY in 10D space-time. As a consequence, the model includes the gravitational interaction. From the action, one can see that there are no space-time coordinates a priori, and that they emerge from the degrees of freedom of this model. According to the SUSY algebra, a homogeneous shift of the diagonal elements of $A_{\mu}$ corresponds to the translation in the $\mu$ direction in this model. As a result, we can interpret the eigenvalues of $A_{\mu}$ as the space-time coordinates.

The Euclidean version of this model has $\mathrm{SO}(10)$ rotational symmetry, which is spontaneously broken to $\mathrm{SO}(3)$. This was shown for the first time using the Gaussian expansion method (GEM) in Refs. [2-5], and non-perturbative Monte Carlo simulations in Refs. [6, 7] produced consistent results. The relation between the $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ symmetric Euclidean space and our (3+1)D space-time is, however, not clear. Therefore, it is crucial to investigate the Lorentzian version of the model.

Since the action in the Lorentzian model is complex, the usual Monte Carlo methods are not applicable. This is the sign problem ${ }^{1}$, and it is necessary to deal with it properly to obtain correct

[^1]results. The first-principle calculations of the Lorentzian model were done in Refs. [11-15], where an approximation was used to avoid the sign problem. Then, the expanding (3+1)D space-time was observed. However, it was found in Ref. [16] that the structure of the expanding space is essentially caused by two points, which implies that the space is not continuous. The emergence of this singular structure is due to the approximation used to avoid the sign problem. It was found that this approximation amounts to replacing the Boltzmann weight $e^{i S}$ by $e^{-\beta S}$, where $\beta$ is a positive constant. See Ref. [17-21] for other recent studies on the type IIB matrix model, in which possible applications to cosmology are discussed.

Recently, we have been studying the Lorentzian model without the approximation by using the Complex Langevin Method (CLM) to overcome the sign problem [22-26]. In this talk, we report on the current status of our work.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we discuss the relationship between the Lorentzian and the Euclidean models. In Sec. 3, we introduce a regulator in the Lorentzian model to make it well-defined. This regulator was also used in the classical analysis in [27]. In Sec. 4, we explain the CLM and its application to the type IIB matrix model. The results obtained by the complex Langevin simulations are presented in Sec. 5. Sec. 6 is devoted to a summary and discussions.

## 2. Relationship between the Lorentzian and Euclidean models

In this section, we explain the relationship between the Lorentzian and the Euclidean models. For simplicity, here we consider the bosonic model, in which the fermionic contribution is omitted. The partition function of the model is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
Z & =\int d A e^{i S_{\mathrm{b}}}  \tag{2}\\
S_{\mathrm{b}} & =-\frac{N}{4} \operatorname{Tr}\left\{-2\left[A_{0}, A_{i}\right]^{2}+\left[A_{i}, A_{j}\right]^{2}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, we consider a Wick rotation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{S}_{\mathrm{b}}=-\frac{N}{4} e^{i \frac{\pi}{2} u} \operatorname{Tr}\left\{-2 e^{-i \pi u}\left[\tilde{A}_{0}, \tilde{A}_{i}\right]^{2}+\left[\tilde{A}_{i}, \tilde{A}_{j}\right]^{2}\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We rotate both on the world sheet and in the target space at the same time using one parameter $u$. Here, $u=0$ corresponds to the Lorentzian model, while $u=1$ corresponds to the Euclidean model. This Wick rotation is equivalent to the contour deformation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{A}_{0}=e^{i \frac{\pi}{2} u} e^{-i \frac{\pi}{8} u} A_{0}=e^{i \frac{3 \pi}{8} u} A_{0}, \\
& \tilde{A}_{i}=e^{-i \frac{\pi}{8} u} A_{i} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $e^{-i \frac{\pi}{8} u}$ and $e^{i \frac{\pi}{2} u}$ are the phases of the Wick rotations on the world sheet and in the target space, respectively.


Figure 1: The equivalence between the Euclidean and Lorentzian models. The Left and Right panels represent $\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{0}\right)^{2}$ and $\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{i}\right)^{2}$ in the complex plane. The data points with " L " and " E " represent the expectation values obtained by simulations of the Lorentzian and Euclidean models, respectively.

Cauchy's theorem says that the expectation value of any observable $\left\langle O\left(e^{-i \frac{3 \pi}{8} u} \tilde{A}_{0}, e^{i \frac{\pi}{8} u} \tilde{A}_{i}\right)\right\rangle_{u}$ is independent of $u$ under the contour deformation. Therefore, the following relations hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{0}\right)^{2}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{L}}=e^{-i \frac{3 \pi}{4}}\left\langle\frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\tilde{A}_{0}\right)^{2}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{E}} \\
& \left\langle\frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{i}\right)^{2}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{L}}=e^{i \frac{\pi}{4}}\left\langle\frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\tilde{A}_{i}\right)^{2}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{E}} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\langle\cdot\rangle_{\mathrm{E}}$ are the expectation values in the Lorentzian and Euclidean models, respectively. In other words, the Lorentzian and Euclidean models are equivalent to each other under the contour deformation. We have confirmed this relation by simulations (see. Fig 1).

## 3. Regularization of the Lorentzian model

Since the partition function of the Lorentzian model is not absolutely convergent as it is, we need to introduce a regularization. Here, we use the following mass term as an IR regulator

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\gamma}=\frac{1}{2} N \gamma\left\{\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{0}\right)^{2}-\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{i}\right)^{2}\right\}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma$ is a mass parameter. This mass term is invariant under a Lorentz transformation in the target space-time.

The model with this mass term has been studied at the classical and perturbative levels in Refs. [27-40]. In Ref. [27], it was found that the typical classical solutions of the model with this mass term have an expanding space at $\gamma>0$, although the dimensionality is not determined by the classical analysis. This result motivates us to perform a first-principle calculation of the model with this mass term.

## 4. Complex Langevin simulations

In order to study the time evolution in Sec. 5, we choose an $\operatorname{SU}(N)$ basis, where the temporal matrix $A_{0}$ is diagonalized as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{0}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{N}\right), \quad \alpha_{1} \leq \alpha_{2} \leq \ldots \leq \alpha_{N} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following change of variables, first introduced in Ref. [41], make the ordering in Eq. (7) explicit:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}=0, \quad \alpha_{2}=e^{\tau_{1}}, \quad \alpha_{3}=e^{\tau_{1}}+e^{\tau_{2}}, \quad \ldots, \quad \alpha_{N}=\sum_{a=1}^{N-1} e^{\tau_{a}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The choice $\alpha_{1}=0$ is made by using the shift symmetry $A_{0} \rightarrow A_{0}+c \mathbb{1}$.
In this work, we use the complex Langevin method (CLM) [42, 43] to overcome the sign problem. In this method, the number of degrees of freedom is doubled by "complexifying" the dynamical variables as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{a} \in \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \tau_{a} \in \mathbb{C} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$A_{i}$ : Hermitian matrices $\rightarrow A_{i}$ : general complex matrices.
We generate configurations by using the complex Langevin equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d \tau_{a}}{d t_{\mathrm{L}}} & =-\frac{\partial S}{\partial \tau_{a}}+\eta_{a}\left(t_{\mathrm{L}}\right),  \tag{10}\\
\frac{d\left(A_{i}\right)_{a b}}{d t_{\mathrm{L}}} & =-\frac{\partial S}{\partial\left(A_{i}\right)_{b a}}+\left(\eta_{i}\right)_{a b}\left(t_{\mathrm{L}}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $t_{\mathrm{L}}$ is the so-called Langevin time, and $\eta_{a}\left(t_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$ and $\left(\eta_{i}\right)_{a b}\left(t_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$ are the Gaussian noise with the probability distribution

$$
\begin{align*}
P\left(\eta_{a}\left(t_{\mathrm{L}}\right)\right) & \propto \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} \int d t \sum_{a}\left(\eta_{a}\left(t_{\mathrm{L}}\right)\right)^{2}\right),  \tag{11}\\
P\left(\left(\eta_{i}\right)_{a b}\left(t_{\mathrm{L}}\right)\right) & \propto \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} \int d t \operatorname{Tr}\left(\eta_{i}\left(t_{\mathrm{L}}\right)\right)^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the Langevin equation must be extended to the complexified dynamical variables in a holomorphic way.

It is known that the CLM sometimes converges to wrong solutions. This is called the wrong convergence problem. Fortunately, a practical criterion for the correct convergence was found recently in Ref. [44]. The criterion says that the results are correct when the probability distribution of the drift term decays exponentially or faster.

When we consider the fermionic contribution, the inverse of the Dirac operator appears in the drift force. If the Dirac operator has near zero eigenvalues, we have the singular drift problem, and the CLM suffers from the wrong convergence problem. We avoid this problem by adding a SUSY-breaking fermionic mass term

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{m_{\mathrm{f}}}=i N m_{\mathrm{f}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\bar{\Psi}_{\alpha}\left(\Gamma_{7} \Gamma_{8}^{\dagger} \Gamma_{9}\right)_{\alpha \beta} \Psi_{\beta}\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

used in the studies of the Euclidean model [6, 7]. The original model is obtained after an $m_{\mathrm{f}} \rightarrow 0$ extrapolation.

For some values of $\gamma$ the CLM can become unstable, and to stabilize the simulation, we perform the redefinition

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i} \rightarrow \frac{A_{i}+\epsilon A_{i}^{\dagger}}{1+\epsilon} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

after each Langevin step. This procedure is similar to the dynamical stabilization used in lattice QCD simulations [45], and its effect is expected to be small when the $A_{i}$ are near Hermitian.

## 5. Results

All of the results presented in this paper are obtained through simulations in which the criterion for correct convergence is satisfied. We fix the matrix size to $N=64$, and use $\epsilon=0.01$ for the dynamical stabilization (13).

In order to see whether the emergent time is real, we compute the eigenvalues $\alpha_{a}$ of $A_{0}$. In Fig. 2 (Left), we plot the eigenvalues $\alpha_{a}$ in the complex plane. When $2.6 \leq \gamma \leq 4.0$, the model is in the real-time phase, where $\alpha_{a+1}-\alpha_{a}$ is almost real at late times. At smaller $\gamma$, the $\alpha_{a}$-distribution becomes wider in the real direction.

We define the matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{p q}=\frac{1}{9} \sum_{i=1}^{9}\left|\left(A_{i}\right)_{p q}\right|^{2} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Fig. 2 (Right), we plot $\mathcal{A}_{p q}$ against $p$ and $q . \mathcal{A}_{p q}$ is large for small $|p-q|$, and drops fast to very small values with increasing $|p-q|$, showing that the matrices $A_{i}$ have a band diagonal structure. We define the band width $n$ such that $\mathcal{A}_{p q} \approx 0$ when $|p-q|>n$. In this work, we choose $n=12$.

The appearance of the band diagonal structure motivates us to define the time and the block matrices that describe the state of the universe at that time as follows: Time is defined using the average of $n$ diagonal elements

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{a}=\sum_{i=1}^{a}\left|\bar{\alpha}_{i}-\bar{\alpha}_{i-1}\right|, \quad a=1,2, \ldots, N-n \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\alpha}_{i}$ is an average of the $\alpha$ 's in the $i$-th block:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\alpha}_{i}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=1}^{n} \alpha_{i+v}, \quad i=0,1, \ldots, N-n . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the $n \times n$ block matrices within the spatial matrices as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\bar{A}_{i}\right)_{k l}\left(t_{a}\right)=\left(A_{i}\right)_{(k+a-1)(l+a-1)}, \quad k, l=1,2, \ldots, n . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We interpret these block matrices to represent the state of the universe at $t_{a}$. In the following, we omit the index of $t_{a}$ and use $t$ for simplicity.

In order to check whether the space is real or complex, we define the phase $\theta_{\mathrm{s}}(t)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\bar{A}_{i}(t)\right)^{2}=e^{2 i \theta_{\mathrm{s}}(t)}\left|\operatorname{tr}\left(\bar{A}_{i}(t)\right)^{2}\right| . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2: (Left) The $\alpha$-distribution in the complex plane. If the equivalence (5) holds, the $\alpha$ 's distribute on the solid line. We plot the results obtained by simulations for three different values of $\gamma$, while $N=64$, $m_{\mathrm{f}}=10$ and $\epsilon=0.01$ are kept fixed. $\alpha_{i}$ become almost real at $\gamma \geq 2.6$. (Right) The 3D plot of $\mathcal{A}_{p q}$ at $\gamma=4$, where the $x$ and $y$ axes represent $p$ and $q$, respectively. $\mathcal{A}_{p q}$ is large when $|p-q|$ is small, while $\mathcal{A}_{p q}$ is small when $|p-q|$ is large.


We plot $\theta_{\mathrm{s}}(t)$ against $t$ at $\gamma=2.6$ in Fig. 3. At $\gamma=2.6$, the $\alpha$ 's are almost real, and the phase $\theta_{s}(t)$ approaches 0 at late times. Therefore, at late times, we obtain real space-time.

For the purpose of studying the SSB of the spatial $\mathrm{SO}(9)$ symmetry, we define the "moment of inertia tensor" as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i j}(t)=\operatorname{tr}\left(X_{i}(t) X_{j}(t)\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{i}(t)$ are the Hermitian matrices

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i}(t)=\frac{\bar{A}_{i}(t)+\bar{A}_{i}^{\dagger}(t)}{2} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\theta_{\mathrm{s}}(t)$ is near zero, particularly at late times, the block matrices are near Hermitian. Therefore, the procedure (20) is justified, even though the CLM only allows the calculation of holomorphic observables.


Figure 4: The eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}(t)$ of $T_{i j}(t)$ are plotted against time at $m_{\mathrm{f}}=10$. The Left and Right panels show results for $\gamma=2.6$ and $\gamma=4.0$, respectively. The dotted lines are obtained by exponential fittings. In both cases, one out of nine eigenvalues grows exponentially with time. The extent of time becomes larger at smaller $\gamma$.


Figure 5: The eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}(t)$ of $T_{i j}(t)$ are plotted against time at $\gamma=2.6$. The Left and Right panels show results for $m_{\mathrm{f}}=10$ and $m_{\mathrm{f}}=5$, respectively. The dotted lines represent fits to the exponential behavior. The expansion of space gets more pronounced at smaller $m_{\mathrm{f}}$.

In Fig. 4, we plot the eigenvalues of $T_{i j}(t)$ as a function of time at $\gamma=2.6$ and 4. As we can see, the eigenvalues are almost degenerate around $t=0 .{ }^{2}$ At some point in time, one out of nine eigenvalues starts to grow exponentially. Thus, a 1-dimensional space expands exponentially. The extent of time is larger at smaller $\gamma$.

In order to see the $m_{\mathrm{f}}$ dependence, we compare the results at $m_{\mathrm{f}}=10$ and 5 in Fig. 5. The expansion of space becomes more pronounced as $m_{\mathrm{f}}$ decreases. The reason for this is that the SUSY effects weaken the attractive force between space-time eigenvalues.

## 6. Summary and discussions

We have conducted an investigation into the emergence of space-time in the type IIB matrix model. We primarily focused on the Lorentzian version of the model as the Euclidean version of

[^2]the model revealed the SSB of the $\mathrm{SO}(10)$ to $\mathrm{SO}(3)$, and the connection between the emerging 3 D space and our (3+1)D universe was not clear [3-10].

We have used a Lorentz invariant mass term as a regulator, which breaks the equivalence between the Lorentzian and the Euclidean models. This was motivated by the results in Ref. [27], where the typical classical solutions with positive mass term $(\gamma>0)$ represent an expanding space, whose dimensionality is not fixed at the classical level.

We employed the CLM to overcome the sign problem, and found that real space-time appears for $2.6 \leq \gamma \leq 4$. The $\mathrm{SO}(9)$ rotational symmetry of space breaks spontaneously, and one spatial dimension expands exponentially with time. This expansion becomes stronger as the fermionic mass $m_{\mathrm{f}}$ decreases.

The reason why the 1-dimensional expanding space appears may be explained as follows. If we ignore the fermionic contribution, the configurations that minimize $-\operatorname{Tr}\left[A_{i}, A_{j}\right]^{2}$ are dominant. Therefore, configurations in which the expanding space has small dimensionality are favored. Particularly, when only one out of the nine matrices is large and the remaining nine are almost zero, $-\operatorname{Tr}\left[A_{i}, A_{j}\right]^{2}$ acquires the minimum value $\left(-\operatorname{Tr}\left[A_{i}, A_{j}\right]^{2}=0\right)$. In Refs. [46, 47], the effect of the Pfaffian of the Dirac matrix was studied, and it was found that the Pfaffian becomes 0 when only 2 out of 10 matrices are nonzero. Then, the appearance of less than 2-dimensional expanding space must be highly suppressed. Therefore, we conclude that $m_{\mathrm{f}}=5$ is not small enough to make this effect dominant, and we expect that the emergence of the expanding 3-dimensional space will occur by further decreasing $m_{\mathrm{f}}$.

## Acknowledgements

T. A., K. H. and A. T. were supported in part by Grant-in-Aid (Nos.17K05425, 19J10002, and 18K03614, 21K03532, respectively) from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. This research was supported by MEXT as "Program for Promoting Researches on the Supercomputer Fugaku" (Simulation for basic science: from fundamental laws of particles to creation of nuclei, JPMXP1020200105) and JICFuS. This work used computational resources of supercomputer Fugaku provided by the RIKEN Center for Computational Science (Project ID: hp210165, hp220174), and Oakbridge-CX provided by the University of Tokyo (Project IDs: hp200106, hp200130, hp210094, hp220074) through the HPCI System Research Project. Numerical computations were also carried out on PC clusters in KEK Computing Research Center. This work was also supported by computational time granted by the Greek Research and Technology Network (GRNET) in the National HPC facility ARIS, under the project IDs LIIB and LIIB2. K. N. A and S. P. were supported in part by a Program of Basic Research PEVE 2020 (No. 65228700) of the National Technical University of Athens.

## References

[1] N. Ishibashi, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa and A. Tsuchiya, A Large $N$ reduced model as superstring, Nucl. Phys. B 498 (1997) 467 [hep-th/9612115].
[2] J. Nishimura and F. Sugino, Dynamical generation of four-dimensional space-time in the IIB matrix model, JHEP 05 (2002) 001 [hep-th/0111102].
[3] H. Kawai, S. Kawamoto, T. Kuroki, T. Matsuo and S. Shinohara, Mean field approximation of IIB matrix model and emergence of four-dimensional space-time, Nucl. Phys. B 647 (2002) 153 [hep-th/0204240].
[4] T. Aoyama and H. Kawai, Higher order terms of improved mean field approximation for IIB matrix model and emergence of four-dimensional space-time, Prog. Theor. Phys. 116 (2006) 405 [hep-th/0603146].
[5] J. Nishimura, T. Okubo and F. Sugino, Systematic study of the $S O(10)$ symmetry breaking vacua in the matrix model for type IIB superstrings, JHEP 10 (2011) 135 [1108. 1293].
[6] K.N. Anagnostopoulos, T. Azuma, Y. Ito, J. Nishimura and S.K. Papadoudis, Complex Langevin analysis of the spontaneous symmetry breaking in dimensionally reduced super Yang-Mills models, JHEP 02 (2018) 151 [1712.07562].
[7] K.N. Anagnostopoulos, T. Azuma, Y. Ito, J. Nishimura, T. Okubo and S. Kovalkov Papadoudis, Complex Langevin analysis of the spontaneous breaking of 10D rotational symmetry in the Euclidean IKKT matrix model, JHEP 06 (2020) 069 [2002.07410].
[8] J. Ambjorn, K.N. Anagnostopoulos, W. Bietenholz, T. Hotta and J. Nishimura, Monte Carlo studies of the IIB matrix model at large N, JHEP 07 (2000) 011 [hep-th/0005147].
[9] K.N. Anagnostopoulos, T. Azuma and J. Nishimura, Monte Carlo studies of the spontaneous rotational symmetry breaking in dimensionally reduced super Yang-Mills models, JHEP 11 (2013) 009 [1306.6135].
[10] K.N. Anagnostopoulos, T. Azuma and J. Nishimura, Monte Carlo studies of dynamical compactification of extra dimensions in a model of nonperturbative string theory, PoS LATTICE2015 (2016) 307 [1509.05079].
[11] S.-W. Kim, J. Nishimura and A. Tsuchiya, Expanding (3+1)-dimensional universe from a Lorentzian matrix model for superstring theory in (9+1)-dimensions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 (2012) 011601 [1108. 1540].
[12] Y. Ito, S.-W. Kim, J. Nishimura and A. Tsuchiya, Monte Carlo studies on the expanding behavior of the early universe in the Lorentzian type IIB matrix model, PoS LATTICE2013 (2014) 341 [1311. 5579].
[13] Y. Ito, S.-W. Kim, Y. Koizuka, J. Nishimura and A. Tsuchiya, A renormalization group method for studying the early universe in the Lorentzian IIB matrix model, PTEP 2014 (2014) 083B01 [1312. 5415].
[14] Y. Ito, J. Nishimura and A. Tsuchiya, Power-law expansion of the Universe from the bosonic Lorentzian type IIB matrix model, JHEP 11 (2015) 070 [1506. 04795].
[15] Y. Ito, J. Nishimura and A. Tsuchiya, Large-scale computation of the exponentially expanding universe in a simplified Lorentzian type IIB matrix model, PoS LATTICE2015 (2016) 243 [1512.01923].
[16] T. Aoki, M. Hirasawa, Y. Ito, J. Nishimura and A. Tsuchiya, On the structure of the emergent 3d expanding space in the Lorentzian type IIB matrix model, PTEP 2019 (2019) 093B03 [1904.05914].
[17] S. Brahma, R. Brandenberger and S. Laliberte, Emergent cosmology from matrix theory, JHEP 03 (2022) 067 [2107.11512].
[18] S. Brahma, R. Brandenberger and S. Laliberte, Emergent metric space-time from matrix theory, JHEP 09 (2022) 031 [2206. 12468].
[19] H.C. Steinacker, One-loop effective action and emergent gravity on quantum spaces in the IKKT matrix model, JHEP 05 (2023) 129 [2303.08012].
[20] S. Laliberte and S. Brahma, IKKT thermodynamics and early universe cosmology, 2304.10509.
[21] R. Brandenberger, Superstring Cosmology - A Complementary Review, 2306. 12458.
[22] M. Hirasawa, K. Anagnostopoulos, T. Azuma, K. Hatakeyama, Y. Ito, J. Nishimura et al., A new phase in the Lorentzian type IIB matrix model and the emergence of continuous space-time, PoS LATTICE2021 (2022) 428 [2112.15390].
[23] K. Hatakeyama, K. Anagnostopoulos, T. Azuma, M. Hirasawa, Y. Ito, J. Nishimura et al., Relationship between the Euclidean and Lorentzian versions of the type IIB matrix model, PoS LATTICE2021 (2022) 341 [2112. 15368].
[24] K. Hatakeyama, K. Anagnostopoulos, T. Azuma, M. Hirasawa, Y. Ito, J. Nishimura et al., Complex Langevin studies of the emergent space-time in the type IIB matrix model, Proceedings of the East Asia Joint Symposium on Fields and Strings 2021 (2022) 9 [2201.13200].
[25] K.N. Anagnostopoulos, T. Azuma, K. Hatakeyama, M. Hirasawa, Y. Ito, J. Nishimura et al., Progress in the numerical studies of the type IIB matrix model, Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top. (2023) [2210.17537].
[26] M. Hirasawa, K.N. Anagnostopoulos, T. Azuma, K. Hatakeyama, J. Nishimura, S.K. Papadoudis et al., The emergence of expanding space-time in a novel large- $N$ limit of the Lorentzian type IIB matrix model, PoS LATTICE2022 (2023) 371 [2212.10127].
[27] K. Hatakeyama, A. Matsumoto, J. Nishimura, A. Tsuchiya and A. Yosprakob, The emergence of expanding space-time and intersecting D-branes from classical solutions in the Lorentzian type IIB matrix model, PTEP 2020 (2020) 043B10 [1911.08132].
[28] H.C. Steinacker, Cosmological space-times with resolved Big Bang in Yang-Mills matrix models, JHEP 02 (2018) 033 [1709. 10480].
[29] H.C. Steinacker, Quantized open FRW cosmology from Yang-Mills matrix models, Phys. Lett. B 782 (2018) 176 [1710.11495].
[30] M. Sperling and H.C. Steinacker, The fuzzy 4-hyperboloid $H_{n}^{4}$ and higher-spin in Yang-Mills matrix models, Nucl. Phys. B 941 (2019) 680 [1806.05907].
[31] M. Sperling and H.C. Steinacker, Covariant cosmological quantum space-time, higher-spin and gravity in the IKKT matrix model, JHEP 07 (2019) 010 [1901.03522].
[32] H.C. Steinacker, Scalar modes and the linearized Schwarzschild solution on a quantized FLRW space-time in Yang-Mills matrix models, Class. Quant. Grav. 36 (2019) 205005 [1905.07255].
[33] H.C. Steinacker, Higher-spin kinematics \& no ghosts on quantum space-time in Yang-Mills matrix models, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 25 (2021) 1025 [1910.00839].
[34] H.C. Steinacker, On the quantum structure of space-time, gravity, and higher spin in matrix models, Class. Quant. Grav. 37 (2020) 113001 [1911.03162].
[35] H.C. Steinacker, Higher-spin gravity and torsion on quantized space-time in matrix models, JHEP 04 (2020) 111 [2002. 02742].
[36] S. Fredenhagen and H.C. Steinacker, Exploring the gravity sector of emergent higher-spin gravity: effective action and a solution, JHEP 05 (2021) 183 [2101.07297].
[37] H.C. Steinacker, Gravity as a quantum effect on quantum space-time, Phys. Lett. B $\mathbf{8 2 7}$ (2022) 136946 [2110.03936].
[38] Y. Asano and H.C. Steinacker, Spherically symmetric solutions of higher-spin gravity in the IKKT matrix model, Nucl. Phys. B 980 (2022) 115843 [2112.08204].
[39] J.L. Karczmarek and H.C. Steinacker, Cosmic time evolution and propagator from a Yang-Mills matrix model, J. Phys. A 56 (2023) 175401 [2207.00399].
[40] E. Battista and H.C. Steinacker, On the propagation across the big bounce in an open quantum FLRW cosmology, Eur. Phys. J. C 82 (2022) 909 [2207. 01295].
[41] J. Nishimura and A. Tsuchiya, Complex Langevin analysis of the space-time structure in the Lorentzian type IIB matrix model, JHEP 06 (2019) 077 [1904.05919].
[42] G. Parisi, ON COMPLEX PROBABILITIES, Phys. Lett. B 131 (1983) 393.
[43] J.R. Klauder, Coherent State Langevin Equations for Canonical Quantum Systems With Applications to the Quantized Hall Effect, Phys. Rev. A 29 (1984) 2036.
[44] K. Nagata, J. Nishimura and S. Shimasaki, Argument for justification of the complex Langevin method and the condition for correct convergence, Phys. Rev. D 94 (2016) 114515 [1606.07627].
[45] F. Attanasio and B. Jäger, Dynamical stabilisation of complex Langevin simulations of QCD, Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (2019) 16 [1808. 04400].
[46] W. Krauth, H. Nicolai and M. Staudacher, Monte Carlo approach to M theory, Phys. Lett. B 431 (1998) 31 [hep-th/9803117].
[47] J. Nishimura and G. Vernizzi, Spontaneous breakdown of Lorentz invariance in IIB matrix model, JHEP 04 (2000) 015 [hep-th/0003223].


[^0]:    *Speaker

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Euclidean model also has the same problem, but in that case it is due to the fermionic action. When the phase of the Pfaffian that arises from the integration of the fermionic degrees of freedom is quenched, there is no SSB [8-10]. In order to consider the effect of the dynamics of the fermionic degrees of freedom, the authors in [6, 7] used the complex Langevin method. We employ the same method in this work.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Due to the finite- $N$ effects, the 9 eigenvalues are not exactly degenerate.

