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#### Abstract

In this paper, we find a strong new restriction on the structure of CI-groups. We show that, if $R$ is a generalised dihedral group and if $R$ is a CI-group, then for every odd prime $p$ the Sylow $p$-subgroup of $R$ has order $p$, or 9 . Consequently, any CI-group with quotient a generalised dihedral group has the same restriction, that for every odd prime $p$ the Sylow $p$-subgroup of the group has order $p$, or 9 .
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## 1 Introduction

Let $R$ be a finite group and let $S$ be a subset of $R$. The Cayley digraph of $R$ with connection set $S$, denoted Cay $(R, S)$, is the digraph with vertex set $R$ and with $(x, y)$ being an arc if and only if $x y^{-1} \in S$. Now, Cay $(R, S)$ is said to be a DCI-graph (here $C I$ stands for Cayley isomorphic while the $D$ stands for directed), if whenever Cay $(R, S)$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Cay}(R, T)$, there exists an automorphism $\varphi$ of $R$ with $S^{\varphi}=T$. Clearly,

[^0]$\operatorname{Cay}(R, S) \cong \operatorname{Cay}\left(R, S^{\varphi}\right)$ for every $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}(R)$ and hence, loosely speaking, for a DCIgraph Cay $(R, S)$ deciding when a Cayley digraph over $R$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Cay}(R, S)$ is theoretically and algorithmically elementary, but computationally efficient only if $\operatorname{Aut}(R)$ is small; that is, the solving set for $\operatorname{Cay}(R, S)$ is reduced to simply $\operatorname{Aut}(R)$ (for the definition of a solving set see for example [24, 26]). The group $R$ is a DCI-group if Cay $(R, S)$ is a DCI-graph for every subset $S$ of $R$. Moreover, $R$ is a $C I$-group if Cay $(R, S)$ is a DCI-graph for every inverse-closed subset $S$ of $R$. Thus every DCI-group is a CI-group.

After roughly 50 years of intense research, the classification of DCI- and CI-groups is still open. The current state of the art in this problem is as follows. There exist two rather short lists of candidates for DCI- and CI-groups and it is known that every DCI- and every CI-group must be a member of the corresponding list, see for instance [20]. Showing that a candidate on the lists of possible DCI- or CI-groups is actually a DCI- or CI-group, though, takes a considerable amount of effort. Just to give an example, the recent paper of Feng and Kovács [15] is a tour de force that shows that elementary abelian groups of rank 5 are DCI-groups.

In this paper we find an unexpected new restriction on which generalised dihedral groups are CI-groups, and significantly shorten the list of candidates for CI-groups.

Definition 1.1. Let $A$ be an abelian group. The generalised dihedral group $\operatorname{Dih}(A)$ over $A$ is the group $\left\langle A, x \mid a^{x}=a^{-1}, \forall a \in A\right\rangle$. A group is called generalised dihedral if it is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Dih}(A)$ for some $A$. When $A$ is cyclic, $\operatorname{Dih}(A)$ is called a dihedral group.

Our main result is the following.
Theorem 1.2. Let $\operatorname{Dih}(A)$ be a generalised dihedral group over the abelian group $A$. If $\operatorname{Dih}(A)$ is a CI-group, then, for every odd prime $p$ the Sylow $p$-subgroup of $A$ has order $p$, or 9. If $\operatorname{Dih}(A)$ is a DCI-group, then, in addition, the Sylow 3-subgroup has order 3.

Generalised dihedral groups are amongst the most abundant members in the list of putative CI-groups. The importance of Theorem 1.2 is the arithmetical condition on the order of such groups, which greatly reduces even further the list of candidates for CI-groups. We believe that every generalised dihedral group satisfying this numerical condition on its order is a genuine CI-group. (This is in line with the partial result in [8].) Additionally, this result further reduces to two other groups on the list, whose definitions we now give.

Definition 1.3. Let $A$ be an abelian group such that every Sylow $p$-subgroup of $A$ is elementary abelian. Let $n \in\{2,4,8\}$ be relatively prime to $|A|$. Set $E(A, n)=A \rtimes\langle g\rangle$, where $g$ has order $n$ and $a^{g}=a^{-1}, \forall a \in A$.

Note that $E(A, 2)=\operatorname{Dih}(A)$. The groups $E(A, 4)$ and $E(A, 8)$ have centres $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ of order 2 and 4 , respectively, and $E(A, 4) / Z_{1} \cong E(A, 8) / Z_{2} \cong \operatorname{Dih}(A)$. Babai and Frankl [2, Lemma 3.5] showed that a quotient of a (D)CI-group by a characteristic subgroup is a (D)CI-group, while the first author and Joy Morris [7, Theorem 8] showed that a quotient of a (D)CI-group is a (D)CI-group. Applying either result and Theorem 1.2 we have the following.

Corollary 1.4. If $E(A, 4)$ or $E(A, 8)$ is a CI-group, then, for every odd prime $p$ the Sylow $p$-subgroup of $A$ has order $p$ or 9 . If $E(A, n), n \in\{2,4,8\}$ is a DCI-group, then, in addition, $n \neq 8$ and the Sylow 3-subgroup of $A$ has order 3 .

Not much is known about which of the groups under consideration in this paper are CI-groups. Let $p$ be a prime. Babai [1, Theorem 4.4] showed $D_{2 p}$ is a CI-group. The first author [4, Theorem 22] extended this to some special values of square-free integers. With Joy Morris, the first and third authors [8] showed that $D_{6 p}$ is a CI-group, $p \geq 5$. Also, Li, Lu , and Pálfy showed $E(p, 4)$ and $E(p, 8)$ are CI-groups.

We have one other result of interest, for which we will need an additional definition.

Definition 1.5. Let $G$ be a group, and $S \subseteq G$. A Haar graph of $G$ with connection set $S$ has vertex set $G \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and edge set $\{\{(g, 0),(s g, 1)\}: g \in G$ and $s \in S\}$.

So a Haar graph is a bipartite analogue of a Cayley graph. There is a corresponding isomorphism problem for Haar graphs, and if the group $A$ is abelian, it is equivalent to the isomorphism problem for Cayley graphs of generalised dihedral groups $\operatorname{Dih}(A)$ that are bipartite (for nonabelian groups the problems are not equivalent, as for non-abelian groups Haar graphs need not be transitive), see [17, Lemma 2.2]. If isomorphic bipartite Cayley graphs of $\operatorname{Dih}(A)$ are isomorphic by group automorphisms of $A$, we say $A$ is a BCI-group. We will also show that $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{k}$ is not a BCI-group for every $k \geq 3$, while it is known that $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{k}$ is a CI-group for every $1 \leq k \leq 5$ [32].

### 1.1 Some notation

Babai [1, Lemma 3.1] has proved a very useful criterion for determining when a finite group is a DCI-group and, more generally, when Cay $(R, S)$ is a DCI-graph.

Lemma 1.6. Let $R$ be a finite group, and let $S$ be a subset of $R$. Then, Cay $(R, S)$ is a DCI-graph if and only if $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{Cay}(R, S))$ contains a unique conjugacy class of regular subgroups isomorphic to $R$.

Let $\Omega$ be a finite set and let $G$ be a permutation group on $\Omega$. An orbital graph of $G$ is a digraph with vertex set $\Omega$ and with arc set a $G$-orbit $(\alpha, \beta)^{G}=\left\{\left(\alpha^{g}, \beta^{g}\right) \mid g \in G\right\}$, where $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Omega \times \Omega$. In particular, each orbital graph has for its arcs one orbit on the ordered pairs of elements of $\Omega$, under the action of $G$. Moreover, we say that the orbital graphs $(\alpha, \beta)^{G}$ and $(\beta, \alpha)^{G}$ are paired. When $(\alpha, \beta)^{G}=(\beta, \alpha)^{G}$, we say that the orbital graph is self-paired.

When $G$ is transitive and $\omega_{0} \in \Omega$, there exists a natural one-to-one correspondence between the orbits of $G$ on $\Omega \times \Omega$ (a.k.a. orbitals or 2-orbits of $G$ ) and the orbits of the stabiliser $G_{\omega_{0}}$ on $\Omega$ (a.k.a. suborbits of $G$ ). Therefore, under this correspondence, we may naturally define paired and self-paired suborbits.

Two subgroups of the symmetric group $\operatorname{Sym}(\Omega)$ are called 2-equivalent if they have the same orbitals. A subgroup of $\operatorname{Sym}(\Omega)$ generated by all subgroups 2 -equivalent to a given $G \leq \operatorname{Sym}(\Omega)$ is called the 2-closure of $G$, denoted $G^{(2)}$.

The group $G$ is said to be 2 -closed if $G=G^{(2)}$. It is easy to verify that $G^{(2)}$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Sym}(\Omega)$ containing $G$ and, in fact, $G^{(2)}$ is the largest (with respect to inclusion) subgroup of $\operatorname{Sym}(\Omega)$ preserving every orbital of $G$.

## 2 Construction and basic results

Let $q$ be a power of an odd prime and let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field of cardinality $q$. We let

$$
\begin{aligned}
G & :=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a & x & z \\
0 & b & y \\
0 & 0 & c
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, x, y, z \in \mathbb{F}, a, b, c \in\{-1,1\}, a b c=1\right\}, \\
D & :=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a & a x & a x^{2} / 2 \\
0 & 1 & x \\
0 & 0 & a
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, x \in \mathbb{F}, a \in\{-1,1\}\right\}, \\
H & :=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a & 0 & x \\
0 & a & y \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, x, y \in \mathbb{F}, a \in\{-1,1\}\right\}, \\
K & :=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & x & y \\
0 & a & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, x, y \in \mathbb{F}, a \in\{-1,1\}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is elementary to verify that $G, D, H$ and $K$ are subgroups of the special linear group $\mathrm{SL}_{3}(\mathbb{F})$. Moreover, $D, H$ and $K$ are subgroups of $G,|G|=4 q^{3},|D|=2 q$ and $|H|=$ $|K|=2 q^{2}$. We summarise in Proposition 2.1 some more facts.

Proposition 2.1. The group $D$ is generalised dihedral over the abelian group $(\mathbb{F},+)$ and, $H$ and $K$ are generalised dihedral over the abelian group $(\mathbb{F} \oplus \mathbb{F},+)$. The core of $D$ in $G$ is 1. Moreover,

$$
D K=D H=G=H D=K D \text { and } D \cap H=1=D \cap K
$$

Proof. The first two assertions follow with easy matrix computations. Let

$$
g:=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \in G
$$

and observe that

$$
g^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a & a x & a x^{2} / 2 \\
0 & 1 & x \\
0 & 0 & a
\end{array}\right) g=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a & -a x & -a x^{2} / 2 \\
0 & 1 & x \\
0 & 0 & a
\end{array}\right)
$$

As the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}$ is odd, from this it follows that

$$
D \cap D^{g}=\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right)\right\rangle
$$

It is now easy to see that $D$ is core-free in $G$.
It is readily seen from the definitions that $D \cap H=1=D \cap K$. Therefore, $|D H|=$ $|D||H|=4 q^{3}$ and $|D K|=|D||K|=4 q^{3}$. As $D H$ and $D K$ are subsets of $G$ and $|G|=4 q^{3}$, we deduce $D H=G=D K$ and hence also $H D=G=K D$.

We let $D \backslash G:=\{D g \mid g \in G\}$ be the set of right cosets of $D$ in $G$. In view of Proposition 2.1, $G$ acts faithfully by right multiplication on $D \backslash G$ and $H$ and $K$ act regularly by right multiplication on $D \backslash G$.

Proposition 2.2. The subgroups $H$ and $K$ are normal in $G$ and, therefore, are in distinct G-conjugacy classes.
Proof. The normality of $H$ and $K$ in $G$ can be checked by direct computations.

### 2.1 Schur notation

Since $G=D H$ and $D \cap H=1$, for every $g \in G$, there exists a unique $h \in H$ with $D g=D h$. In this way, we obtain a bijection $\theta: D \backslash G \rightarrow H$, where $\theta(D g)=h \in H$ satisfies $D g=D h$.

Using the method of Schur (see [33]), we may identify via $\theta$ the $G$-set $D \backslash G$ with $H$. Moreover, we may define an action of $G$ on $H$ via the following rule: for every $g \in G$ and for every $h \in H$,

$$
h^{g}=h^{\prime} \text { if and only if } D h g=D h^{\prime}
$$

A classic observation of Schur yields that the action of $G$ on $D \backslash G$ is permutation isomorphic to the action of $G$ on $H$. In the rest of the paper, we use both points of view.

In the action of $G$ on $H, D$ is a stabiliser of the identity $e \in H$, i.e. $G_{e}=D$, and $H$ acts on itself via its right regular representation. Since $H$ is normal in $G$, the action of the point stabiliser $G_{e}$ on $H$ is permutation equivalent to the action of $G_{e}$ via conjugation on $H$ (Proposition 20.2 [33]). More precisely, $h^{g}=g^{-1} h g$ for any $g \in G_{e}$ and $h \in H$.

In what follows, we represent the elements of $H$ and $D$ as pairs $[a, x]$ and $[a, \vec{w}]$, where $x \in \mathbb{F}, \vec{w} \in \mathbb{F}^{2}$ and $a \in\{ \pm 1\}$. In particular, $[a, x]$ represents the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a & a x & a x^{2} / 2 \\
0 & 1 & x \\
0 & 0 & a
\end{array}\right)
$$

of $D$ and, if $\vec{w}=(x, y)$, then $[a, \vec{w}]$ represents the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a & 0 & x \\
0 & a & y \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

of $H$. Under this identification, the product in $D$ and $H$ greatly simplifies. Indeed, for every $[a, x],[b, y] \in D$ and for every $[a, \vec{v}],[b, \vec{w}] \in H$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
{[a, x][b, y] } & =[a b, b x+y],  \tag{2.1}\\
{[a, \vec{v}][b, \vec{w}] } & =[a b, b \vec{v}+\vec{w}] .
\end{align*}
$$

Using this identification, the action of $D$ on $H$ also becomes slightly easier. Indeed, for every $[a, \vec{v}] \in H$ (with $\vec{v}=(x, y)$ ) and for every $[b, z] \in D$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
[a,(x, y)]^{[b, z]}=\left[a,\left((1-a) z^{2} / 2-b y z+x,(-1+a) z+b y\right)\right] \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equality can be verified observing that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a & 0 & x \\
0 & a & y \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
b & b z & b z^{2} / 2 \\
0 & 1 & z \\
0 & 0 & b
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
b & b z & b z^{2} / 2 \\
0 & 1 & z \\
0 & 0 & b
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a & 0 & (1-a) z^{2} / 2-b y z+x \\
0 & a & (-1+a) z+b y \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

### 2.2 One special case

Let $A:=\left\langle e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\rangle$, where $e_{1}:=(123), e_{2}:=(456), e_{1}:=$ (789), let $x:=(12)(45)(78)$ and let $R:=\langle A, x\rangle$. Then $R$ is a generalised dihedral group over the elementary abelian 3 -group $A$ of order $3^{3}=27$. Let

$$
S:=\left\{x, e_{1} x, e_{2} x, e_{3} x, e_{1} e_{2} x, e_{1}^{2} e_{2}^{2} x, e_{2} e_{3} x, e_{2}^{2} e_{3}^{2} x, e_{1}^{2} e_{2}^{2} e_{3}^{2} x\right\}
$$

and define

$$
\Gamma:=\operatorname{Cay}(R, S)
$$

It can be verified with the computer algebra system Magma that $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ has order $46656=2^{6} \cdot 3^{6}$, acts transitively on the arcs of $\Gamma$ and (most importantly) contains two conjugacy classes of regular subgroups isomorphic to $R$ and hence, via Babai's lemma, $R$ is not a CI-group.

This example has another interesting property from the isomorphism problem point of view. Observe that each element of $S$ is an involution contained in $R \backslash A$. This implies that $\Gamma$ is a bipartite graph, in which case $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to a Haar graph, also called a bi-coset graph. In our example above, as every element of the connection set is an involution, it is a Haar graph of $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{3}$ but as it is not a CI-graph of $\operatorname{Dih}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{3}\right), \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{3}$ is not a BCI-group. This is the first example the authors are aware of where a group is an abelian DCI-group but not a BCI-group, as $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{3}$ is a DCI-group [3]. Our next result shows $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{k}$ is not a BCI-group for any $k \geq 3$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $R$ be an abelian group and let $H \leq R$. If $R$ is BCI-group, then $R / H$ is BCI-group.

Proof. For this result, it is most convenient to have the vertex sets of Haar graphs and Cayley graphs of dihedral groups be the same. So, for an abelian group $R$, we will have $\operatorname{Dih}(R)$ permuting the set $R \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ (the vertex set of a Haar graph of $R$ ), where an element $s \in R$ is identified with the map $s_{t}: R \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \rightarrow R \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ given by $s_{t}(r, i) \mapsto(r+s, i)$. Define $\iota: R \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \rightarrow R \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ by $\iota(r, i)=(-r, i+1)$. Then $\operatorname{Dih}(R)$ is canonically isomorphic to $G=\left\langle\iota, s_{t}: s \in R\right\rangle$. It is straightforward to show that $\iota \in \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{Haar}(R, S))$, and so we have $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{Haar}(R, S))$ for every $S \subseteq R$. By [28, Theorem 2], we have $\operatorname{Haar}(R, S) \cong \operatorname{Cay}(\operatorname{Dih}(R), T)$, for some $T \subseteq G$, by the map $\phi$ which identifies $(r, i)$ with the unique element of $G$ which maps $(0,0)$ to $(r, i), r \in R, i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Hence $\phi(r, i)=r_{t} \iota^{i}$, and $T=\{s \iota: s \in S\}=S \cdot \iota$.

If $R$ is a BCI-group, then $\operatorname{Haar}(R, S)$ is a BCI graph. Let $\mathcal{C}=\{R \times\{0\}, R \times\{1\}\}, \mathcal{B}$ be the set of right cosets of $H$ in $\operatorname{Dih}(R)$, and $U=\{s H: s \in S\}$. Then, as partitions of $R \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \mathcal{B}$ refines $\mathcal{C}$. As $\mathcal{C}$ is a bipartition of $\operatorname{Cay}(\operatorname{Dih}(R), S \cdot \iota), \operatorname{Cay}(\operatorname{Dih}(R / H), U \cdot \iota)$ is bipartite with bipartition $\left\{\{(r H, i): r \in R\}: i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right\}$ and so $\operatorname{Cay}(\operatorname{Dih}(R / H), U \cdot \iota)=$ Haar $(R / H, U)$.

As $\operatorname{Cay}(\operatorname{Dih}(R), S \cdot \iota)$ is a CI-graph of $\operatorname{Dih}(R)$, by the proof of [6, Theorem 8], we see $\operatorname{Cay}(\operatorname{Dih}(R / H), U \cdot \iota)$ is a CI-graph of $\operatorname{Dih}(R / H)$ and any Cayley graph of $\operatorname{Dih}(R / H)$ isomorphic to $\operatorname{Cay}(\operatorname{Dih}(R / H), U \cdot \iota)$ is isomorphic by a group automorphism of $\operatorname{Dih}(R / H)$. But this means any two Haar graphs of $R / H$ are isomorphic by a group automorphism of $\operatorname{Dih}(R / H)$, and so $R / H$ is a BCI-group.

Finally, $\Gamma$, as well as the graphs constructed in the next section, have the property that the Sylow $p$-subgroups of their automorphism groups are not isomorphic to Sylow $p$ subgroups of any 2 -closed group of degree $3^{3}$ or $p^{2}$ (in the next section). For the example
above, the Sylow $p$-subgroups of the automorphism groups of Cayley digraphs of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{3}$ can be obtained from [5, Theorem 1.1], and none have order $3^{6}$ as a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\operatorname{AGL}(3,3)$ is not 2 -closed (for $p^{2}$ in the next section, the Sylow $p$-subgroup has order $p^{3}$, but Sylow p-subgroups of the automorphism groups of Cayley digraphs of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{2}$ have order $p^{2}$ or $p^{p+1}[10$, Theorem 14]).

## 3 The permutation group $G$ is 2 -closed

In this section we prove the following.
Proposition 3.1. The group $G$ in its action on $H$ is 2-closed.
We start with some preliminary observations.
Lemma 3.2. The orbits of $G_{e}$ on $H$ have one of the following forms:
(1) $S_{t}:=\{[1,(t, 0)]\}$, for every $t \in \mathbb{F}$;
(2) $C_{t} \cup C_{-t}$, where $C_{t}:=\{[1,(z, t)] \mid z \in \mathbb{F}\}$ and $t \in \mathbb{F} \backslash\{0\}$;
(3) $P_{t}:=\left\{\left[-1,\left(t+z^{2}, 2 z\right)\right] \mid z \in \mathbb{F}\right\}$ with $t \in \mathbb{F}$.

Proof. Let $g:=[a,(x, y)] \in H$. If $a=1$ and $y=0$, then (2.2) yields

$$
g^{[b, z]}=[1,(x, 0)]=g
$$

and hence the $G_{e}$-orbit containing $g$ is simply $\{g\}$. Therefore we obtain the orbits in Case (1).

Suppose then $a=1$ and $y \neq 0$. Now, 2.2 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{[1, z]} & =[1,(-y z+x, y)], \\
g^{[-1, z]} & =[1,(y z+x,-y)] .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, $C_{y}=\left\{g^{[1, z]} \mid z \in \mathbb{F}\right\}$ and $C_{-y}=\left\{g^{[-1, z]} \mid z \in \mathbb{F}\right\}$ and we obtain the orbits in Case (2).

Finally suppose $a=-1$. Now, (2.2) yields

$$
g^{[b, z]}=\left[1,\left(z^{2}-b y z+x,-2 z+b y\right)\right] .
$$

In particular, if we choose $z:=b y / 2$ and $t=-y^{2} / 4+x$, then $g$ and $[-1,(t, 0)]$ are in the same $G_{e}$-orbit. Therefore $[-1,(x, y)]^{G_{e}}=[-1,(t, 0)]^{G_{e}}$. Using again (2.2), we get

$$
[-1,(t, 0)]^{[b,-z]}=\left[-1,\left(t+z^{2}, 2 z\right)\right] .
$$

In particular, $P_{t}=\left\{g^{[b, z]} \mid[b, z] \in G_{e}\right\}$ and we obtain the orbits in Case (3).
We call the $G_{e}$-orbits in (1) singleton orbits, the $G_{e}$-orbits in (2) coset orbits and the $G_{e}$-orbits in (3) parabolic orbits. Clearly, singleton orbits have cardinality 1, coset orbits have cardinality $2 q$ and parabolic orbits have cardinality $q$. Also, it follows from Lemma 3.2 that there are $q$ singleton orbits, $\frac{q-1}{2}$ coset orbits and $q$ parabolic orbits. Indeed,

$$
q \cdot 1+\frac{q-1}{2} \cdot 2 q+q \cdot q=2 q^{2}=|H| .
$$

It is also clear from Lemma 3.2 that all non-singleton orbits are self-paired and the only self-paired singleton orbit is $S_{0}$.

Before continuing, we recall [14, Definitions 2.5.3 and 2.5.4] tailored to our needs.

Definition 3.3. We say that $h \in H$ separates the pair $\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right) \in H \times H$, if $\left(h, h_{1}\right)$ and $\left(h, h_{2}\right)$ belong to distinct $G$-orbitals, that is, $h h_{1}^{-1}$ and $h h_{2}^{-1}$ are in distinct $G_{e}$-orbits.

We also say that a subset $S \subseteq H$ separates $G$-orbitals if, for any two distinct elements $h_{1}, h_{2} \in H \backslash S$, there exists $s \in S$ separating the pair $\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)$.

Proposition 3.4. If $q \geq 5$, then $\{e\} \cup P_{0}$ separates $G$-orbitals.
Proof. Set $S:=\{e\} \cup P_{0}$. Let $h_{1}, h_{2} \in H \backslash S$ be two distinct elements. If $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ belong to distinct $G_{e}$-orbits, then $e \in S$ separates $\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)$. Therefore, we assume that $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ belong to the same $G_{e}$-orbit, say, $O$. Since $h_{1} \neq h_{2}, O$ is not a singleton orbit and hence $O$ is either a coset or a parabolic orbit.

Assume first that $O$ is a parabolic orbit, that is, $O=P_{t}$, for some $t \in \mathbb{F}$. By Lemma 3.2, for each $i \in\{1,2\}$, there exists $x_{i} \in \mathbb{F}$ with $h_{i}=\left[-1,\left(t+x_{i}^{2}, 2 x_{i}\right)\right]$. As $q=|\mathbb{F}| \geq 5$, it is easy to verify that there exists $x \in \mathbb{F}$ with $x \notin\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$ and with $x-x_{1} \neq-\left(x-x_{2}\right)$. Now, let $s:=\left[-1,\left(x^{2}, 2 x\right)\right] \in P_{0} \subseteq S$. From (2.1), we deduce

$$
s h_{i}^{-1}=\left[1,\left(t+x_{i}^{2}-x^{2}, 2 x_{i}-2 x\right)\right] .
$$

As $2 x_{i}-2 x \neq 0$, from Lemma 3.2, we obtain $s h_{i}^{-1} \in C_{2\left(x-x_{i}\right)} \cup C_{-2\left(x-x_{i}\right)}$. As $x-x_{1} \neq$ $-\left(x-x_{2}\right)$, we deduce that $s h_{1}^{-1}$ and $s h_{2}^{-1}$ are in distinct $G_{e}$-orbits and hence $s$ separates $\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)$.

Assume now that $O$ is a coset orbit, that is, $O=C_{t} \cup C_{-t}$, for some $t \in \mathbb{F} \backslash\{0\}$. In this case, for each $i \in\{1,2\}$, there exist $x_{i} \in \mathbb{F}$ and $a_{i} \in\{ \pm 1\}$ with $h_{i}=\left[1,\left(x_{i}, a_{i} t\right)\right]$. Let $x \in \mathbb{F}$ with

$$
x t\left(a_{2}-a_{1}\right) \neq x_{2}-x_{1} .
$$

(The existence of $x$ is clear when $a_{1} \neq a_{2}$ and it follows from the fact that $h_{1} \neq h_{2}$ when $a_{1}=a_{2}$.) Set $s:=\left[-1,\left(x^{2}, 2 x\right)\right] \in P_{0} \subseteq S$. From (2.1), we have

$$
s h_{i}^{-1} \in\left[-1,\left(x^{2}-x_{i}, 2 x-a_{i} t\right)\right] .
$$

In particular, from Lemma 3.2, we have $s h_{i}^{-1} \in P_{t_{i}}$, for some $t_{i} \in \mathbb{F}$. Thus, $\left(x^{2}-x_{i}, 2 x-\right.$ $\left.a_{i} t\right)=\left(t_{i}+y^{2}, 2 y\right)$, for some $y \in \mathbb{F}$. From this it follows that

$$
t_{i}=x^{2}-x_{i}-\frac{\left(2 x-a_{i} t\right)^{2}}{4}
$$

As $x t\left(a_{2}-a_{1}\right) \neq x_{2}-x_{1}$, a simple computation yields $t_{1} \neq t_{2}$ and hence $s h_{1}^{-1}$ and $s h_{2}^{-1}$ are in distinct $G_{e}$-orbits. Therefore, $s$ separates $\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)$.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. When $q=3$, the proof follows with a computation with the computer algebra system Magma. Therefore, for the rest of the proof we suppose $q \geq$ 5. Let $T$ be the 2 -closure of $G$. As $\{e\} \cup P_{0}$ separates the $G$-orbitals, it follows from [14, Theorem 2.5.7] that the action of $T_{e}$ on $P_{0}$ is faithful, and hence so is the action of $G_{e}$ on $P_{0}$. We denote by $G_{e}^{P_{0}}$ (respectively, $T_{e}^{P_{0}}$ ) the permutation group induced by $G_{e}$ (respectively, $T_{e}$ ) on $P_{0}$. In particular, $G_{e} \cong G_{e}^{P_{0}}$ and $T_{e} \cong T_{e}^{P_{0}}$.

We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{e}\right)^{P_{0}}=\left(G_{e}\right)^{P_{0}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that from (3.1) the proof of Proposition 3.1 immediately follows. Indeed, $T_{e} \cong$ $T_{e}^{P_{0}}=G_{e}^{P_{0}} \cong G_{e}$ and hence $T_{e}=G_{e}$. As $H$ is a transitive subgroup of $G$, we deduce that
$G=G_{e} H=T_{e} H=T$ and hence $G$ is 2-closed. Therefore, to complete the proof, we need only establish (3.1).

From Lemma 3.2, $\left|P_{0}\right|=q$. Hence $\left(G_{e}\right)^{P_{0}}$ is a dihedral group of order $2 q$ in its natural action on $q$ points.

For each $t \in \mathbb{F}^{*}$ let $\Phi_{t}$ be the subgraph of $\operatorname{Cay}\left(H, C_{t} \cup C_{-t}\right)$ induced by $P_{0}$. Let $\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)$ be an arc of $\Phi_{t}$. As $h_{1}, h_{2} \in P_{0}$, there exist $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathbb{F}$ with $h_{1}=\left[-1,\left(x_{1}^{2}, 2 x_{1}\right)\right]$ and $h_{2}=\left[-1,\left(x_{2}^{2}, 2 x_{2}\right)\right]$. Moreover, $h_{2} h_{1}^{-1} \in C_{t} \cup C_{-t}$ and hence, by (2.1), we obtain

$$
h_{2} h_{1}^{-1}=\left[1,\left(x_{2}^{2}-x_{1}^{2}, 2 x_{2}-2 x_{1}\right)\right] \in C_{t} \cup C_{-t},
$$

that is, $2 x_{2}-2 x_{1} \in\{-t, t\}$. This shows that the mapping

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{+} \\
& \left(x^{2}, 2 x\right) \mapsto 2 x
\end{aligned}
$$

is an isomorphism between the graphs $\Phi_{t}$ and $\operatorname{Cay}\left(\mathbb{F}^{+},\{-t, t\}\right)$. Therefore

$$
\left(G_{e}\right)^{P_{0}} \leq\left(T_{e}\right)^{P_{0}} \leq \bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{F}^{*}} \operatorname{Aut}\left(\Phi_{t}\right) \cong \bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{F}^{*}} \operatorname{Aut}\left(\operatorname{Cay}\left(\mathbb{F}^{+},\{-t, t\}\right)\right) \cong \operatorname{Dih}\left(\mathbb{F}^{+}\right)
$$

Since $\left(G_{e}\right)^{P_{0}}$ and $\operatorname{Dih}\left(\mathbb{F}^{+}\right)$are dihedral groups of order $2 q$, we conclude that $\left(G_{e}\right)^{P_{0}}=$ $\left(T_{e}\right)^{P_{0}}=\bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{F}^{*}} \operatorname{Aut}\left(\Phi_{t}\right)$, proving 3.1.

## 4 Generating graph

Combining Proposition 3.1, Proposition 2.2, and Lemma 1.6, we have proven that $\operatorname{Dih}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{2}\right)$ is not a CI-group with respect to colour Cayley digraphs for odd primes $p$. In this section we strengthen that result to Cayley graphs.

### 4.1 Schur rings

Let $R$ be a finite group with identity element $e$. We denote the group algebra of $R$ over the field $\mathbb{Q}$ by $\mathbb{Q} R$. For $Y \subseteq R$, we define

$$
\underline{Y}:=\sum_{y \in Y} y \in \mathbb{Q} R .
$$

Elements of $\mathbb{Q} R$ of this form will be called simple quantities, see [33]. A subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of the group algebra $\mathbb{Q} R$ is called a Schur ring over $R$ if the following conditions are satisfied:
(1) there exists a basis of $\mathcal{A}$ as a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space consisting of simple quantities $\underline{T}_{0}, \ldots, \underline{T}_{r} ;$
(2) $T_{0}=\{e\}, R=\bigcup_{i=0}^{r} T_{i}$ and, for every $i, j \in\{0, \ldots, r\}$ with $i \neq j, T_{i} \cap T_{j}=\emptyset$;
(3) for each $i \in\{0, \ldots, r\}$, there exists $i^{\prime}$ such that $T_{i^{\prime}}=\left\{t^{-1} \mid t \in T_{i}\right\}$.

Now, $\underline{T}_{0}, \ldots, \underline{T}_{r}$ are called the basic quantities of $\mathcal{A}$. A subset $S$ of $R$ is said to be an $\mathcal{A}$ - subset if $\underline{S} \in \mathcal{A}$, which is equivalent to $S=\bigcup_{j \in J} T_{j}$, for some $J \subseteq\{0, \ldots, r\}$.

Given two elements $a:=\sum_{x \in R} a_{x} x$ and $b:=\sum_{y \in R} b_{y} y$ in $\mathbb{Q} R$, the Schur-Hadamard product $a \circ b$ is defined by

$$
a \circ b:=\sum_{z \in R} a_{z} b_{z} z
$$

It is an elementary exercise to observe that, if $\mathcal{A}$ is a Schur ring over $R$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is closed by the Schur-Hadamard product.

The following statement is known as the Schur-Wielandt principle, see [33, Proposition 22.1].

Proposition 4.1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Schur ring over $R$, let $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ and let $x:=\sum_{r \in R} a_{r} r \in \mathcal{A}$. Then

$$
x_{q}:=\sum_{\substack{r \in R \\ a_{r}=q}} r \in \mathcal{A} .
$$

Let $X$ be a permutation group containing a regular subgroup $R$. As in Section 2.1, we may identify the domain of $X$ with $R$. Let $T_{0}, \ldots, T_{r}$ be the orbits of $X_{e}$ with $T_{0}=\{e\}$. A fundamental result of Schur [33, Theorem 24.1] shows that the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space spanned by $\underline{T}_{0}, \underline{T}_{1}, \ldots, \underline{T}_{r}$ in $\mathbb{Q} R$ is a Schur ring over $R$, which is called the transitivity module of the permutation group $X$ and is usually denoted by $V\left(R, G_{e}\right)$. In particular, the $V\left(R, G_{e}\right)$ subsets of the Schur ring $V\left(R, G_{e}\right)$ are unions of $G_{e}$-orbits.

Let $\mathcal{A}:=\left\langle\underline{T}_{0}, \ldots, \underline{T}_{r}\right\rangle$ be a Schur ring over $R$ (where $T_{0}, \ldots, T_{r}$ are the basic quantities spanning $\mathcal{A}$ ). The automorphism group of $\mathcal{A}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{A}):=\bigcap_{i=0}^{r} \operatorname{Aut}\left(\operatorname{Cay}\left(R, T_{i}\right)\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given a subset $S$ of $R$, we denote by

$$
\langle\langle\underline{S}\rangle\rangle,
$$

the smallest (with respect to inclusion) Schur ring containing $\underline{S}$. Now, $\langle\langle\underline{S}\rangle\rangle$ is called the Schur ring generated by $\underline{S}$.

We conclude this brief introduction to Schur rings recalling [25, Theorem 2.4].
Proposition 4.2. Let $S$ be a subset of $R$. Then $\operatorname{Aut}(\langle\langle\underline{S}\rangle\rangle)=\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{Cay}(R, S))$.

### 4.2 The group $G$ is the automorphism group of a single (di)graph

It was shown above that the group $G$ is 2 -closed, i.e. it is the automorphism of a coloured digraph. In this section we give a Cayley digraph Cay $(H, T)$ having automorphism group $G$. To build such a digraph it is sufficient to find a subset $T \subseteq H$ such that $\langle\langle\underline{T}\rangle\rangle=V\left(H, G_{e}\right)$ (Proposition 4.2). Such a set is constructed in Proposition 4.3. Note that $T$ is symmetric for $q \geq 7$, so the digraph $\operatorname{Cay}(H, T)$ is undirected. The cases of $q=3,5$ are exceptional, because in those cases no inverse-closed subset of $H$ has the required property.
Proposition 4.3. Let $q$ be prime, and
$T:= \begin{cases}P_{0} \cup P_{1} \cup P_{x} \cup C_{1} \cup C_{-1} & \text { where } x \in \mathbb{F} \text { with } x \notin\left\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \frac{1}{2}\right\} \text { and } x^{6} \neq 1, \\ & \text { when } q>7, \\ P_{0} \cup P_{1} \cup P_{3} \cup C_{1} \cup C_{-1} & \text { when } q=7, \\ S_{1} \cup P_{0} & \text { when } q=5, \\ S_{1} \cup P_{0} & \text { when } q=3 .\end{cases}$
Then $\langle\langle\underline{T}\rangle\rangle=V\left(H, G_{e}\right)$. In particular, $T$ is not a $(D)$ CI-subset of $H$.

Proof. When $q \leq 7$, the result follows by computations with the computer algebra system Magma. Therefore for the rest of the proof we suppose $q>7$.

According to Proposition 3.2 the basic sets of $V\left(H, G_{e}\right)$ are of three types: $S_{a}, C_{b} \cup$ $C_{-b}, P_{c}$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}$ and $b \neq 0$. Thus we have three types of basic quantities $\underline{S_{a}}$, $\underline{C_{b}}+\underline{C_{-b}}, \underline{P_{c}}$ and

$$
V\left(H, G_{e}\right)=\left\langle\underline{S_{a}}, \underline{C_{b}}+\underline{C_{-b}}, \underline{P_{c}} \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}, b \neq 0\right\rangle .
$$

Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{1} & :=\left\{[1, \vec{v}] \mid \vec{v} \in \mathbb{F}^{2}\right\}, \\
H_{2} & :=\{[1,(t, 0)] \mid t \in \mathbb{F}\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (2.1), $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are subgroups of $H$ with $\left|H_{2}\right|=q,\left|H_{1}\right|=q^{2}$ and, by Lemma 3.2, $H_{2}=\cup_{t \in \mathbb{F}} S_{t}$. In Table 4.2 we have reported the multiplication table among the basic quantities of $V\left(H, G_{e}\right)$ : this will serve us well.

|  | $\underline{S_{r}}$ | $\underline{C_{s}}$ | $\underline{P_{t}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{S_{a}}$ | $\underline{S_{a+r}}$ | $\underline{C_{s}}$ | $\underline{P_{t-a}}$ |
| $\underline{C_{b}}$ | $\underline{C_{b}}$ | $\begin{cases}q \underline{C_{b+s}} & \text { if } b+s \neq 0 \\ q \underline{H_{2}} & \text { if } b+s=0\end{cases}$ | $\underline{H \backslash H_{1}}$ |
| $\underline{P_{c}}$ | $\underline{P_{c+r}}$ | $\underline{H \backslash H_{1}}$ | $q \underline{S_{-c+t}}+\underline{H_{1} \backslash H_{2}}$ |

Table 1: Multiplication table for the basic quantities of $V\left(H, G_{e}\right)$.
Fix $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}$ with $b, c \neq 0$ and let $\mathcal{A}$ be the smallest Schur ring of the group algebra $\mathbb{Q} H$ containing $\underline{P_{a}}, \underline{C_{b}}+\underline{C_{-b}}, \underline{S_{c}}$. We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}=V\left(H, G_{e}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{A} \leq V\left(H, G_{e}\right)$. From Table 4.2, for every $k \in\{0, \ldots, q-1\}$, we have $\underline{S_{c}}{ }^{k}=\underline{S_{c k}}$ and hence $\underline{S_{c k}} \in \mathcal{A}$. As $c \neq 0, \underline{S_{i}} \in \mathcal{A}$, for each $i \in\{0, \ldots, q-1\}$. Now, as $\underline{P_{a}} \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$, from Table 4.2, we have $\underline{P_{a}} \cdot \underline{S_{i}}=\underline{P_{a+i}} \in \mathcal{A}$ for any $i \in\{0, \ldots, q-1\}$. The equality $\left(\underline{C_{b}}+\underline{C_{-b}}\right)^{2}=2 q \underline{H_{2}}+q \underline{C_{2 b}}+q \underline{C_{-2 b}}$ implies $\underline{C_{2 b}}+\underline{C_{-2 b}} \in \mathcal{A}$. Now arguing inductively


Let $x \in \mathbb{F}$ with $x \notin\left\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ and $x^{6} \neq 1$, let $T:=P_{0} \cup P_{1} \cup P_{x} \cup C_{1} \cup C_{-1}$ and let $\mathcal{T}:=\langle\langle\underline{T}\rangle\rangle$ (the existence of $x$ is guaranteed by the fact that $q>7$ ). We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{H_{2}}, \underline{H_{1}}, \underline{C_{2}}+\underline{C_{-2}}, \underline{S_{1}}+\underline{S_{-1}}+\underline{S_{x}}+\underline{S_{-x}}+\underline{S_{1-x}}+\underline{S_{x-1}} \in \mathcal{T} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Table 4.2 for squaring $\underline{T}$, we obtain (after rearranging the terms):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{T}^{2}= & 3 q \underline{S_{0}}+q \underline{S_{1}}+q \underline{S_{-1}}+q \underline{S_{x}}+q \underline{S_{-x}}+q \underline{S_{1-x}}+q \underline{S_{x-1}} \\
& +9 \underline{H_{1} \backslash H_{2}}+12 \underline{H} \backslash \underline{H_{1}}+q \underline{{C_{2}}_{2}}+q \underline{C_{-2}}+2 q \underline{H_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the assumptions on $x$, the elements $-1,1,-x, x,-(x-1), x-1$ are pairwise distinct. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{T^{2}} \circ \underline{S_{b}}= \begin{cases}5 q \underline{S_{0}}, & b=0, \\
3 q \underline{S_{b}}, & \text { if } b \in\{ \pm 1, \pm x, \pm(x-1)\}, \\
2 q \underline{S_{b}}, & \text { if } b \notin\{0, \pm 1, \pm x, \pm(x-1)\},\end{cases} \\
& \underline{T}^{2} \circ \underline{C_{b}}= \begin{cases}(q+9) \underline{C_{b}}, & \text { if } b \in\{ \pm 2\}, \\
9 \underline{C_{b}}, & \text { if } b \notin\{0, \pm 2\},\end{cases} \\
& \underline{T}^{2} \circ \underline{P_{b}}=12 \underline{P_{b}}, \quad \text { if } b \in \mathbb{F} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the numbers $6,9, q+9,2 q, 3 q, 5 q$ are also pairwise distinct (because $q \neq 3$ ), an application of the Schur-Wielandt principle yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\underline{T^{2}}\right)_{3 q} & =\underline{S_{1}}+\underline{S_{-1}}+\underline{S_{x}}+\underline{S_{-x}}+\underline{S_{1-x}}+\underline{S_{x-1}} \in \mathcal{T} \\
\left(\underline{T}^{2}\right)_{12} & =\underline{H \backslash H_{1}} \in \mathcal{T} \\
\left(\underline{T}^{2}\right)_{2 q} & =\underline{H_{2}}-\left(\underline{S_{0}}+\underline{S_{1}}+\underline{S_{-1}}+\underline{S_{x}}+\underline{S_{-x}}+\underline{S_{1-x}}+\underline{S_{x-1}}\right) \in \mathcal{T}, \\
\left(\underline{T}^{2}\right)_{q+9} & =\underline{C_{2}}+\underline{C_{-2}} \in \mathcal{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

From this, (4.3) immediately follows.
We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{S_{1}}+\underline{S_{-1}} \in \mathcal{T} . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\mathcal{T}_{H_{2}}:=\mathcal{T} \cap \mathbb{Q} H_{2}
$$

and observe that $\mathcal{T}_{H_{2}}$ is a Schur ring over the cyclic group $H_{2} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ of prime order $q$. It is well known that every Schur ring over $\mathbb{Z}_{q}$ is determined by a subgroup $M \leq \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{q}\right) \cong$ $\mathbb{Z}_{q}^{*}$ such that, every basic set of the corresponding Schur ring is an $M$-orbit. Let $M$ be such a subgroup for $\mathcal{T}_{H_{2}}$. From (4.3), the simple quantity $S_{1}+S_{-1}+\underline{S}_{x}+S_{-x}+S_{1-x}+$ $S_{x-1}$ belongs to $\mathcal{T}_{H_{2}}$ and hence $\{ \pm 1, \pm x, \pm(1-x)\}$ is a $\overline{\mathcal{T}_{H_{2}}}$-subset of cardinality 6 . It follows that $|M|$ divides six and $M \subseteq\{ \pm 1, \pm x, \pm(1-x)\}$. If $|M| \in\{3,6\}$, then $\{ \pm 1, \pm x, \pm(1-x)\}$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{q}^{*}$, contrary to the assumption $x^{6} \neq 1$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { either } M=\{1\} \text { or }|M|=\{ \pm 1\} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In both cases, $\{-1,1\}$ is a union of $M$-orbits. Therefore, $\underline{S_{1}}+\underline{S_{-1}} \in \mathcal{T}_{H_{2}}$. From this, (4.4) follows immediately.

We are now ready to conclude the proof. Clearly, $\underline{T} \in V\left(H, G_{e}\right)$ and hence $\mathcal{T} \subseteq$ $V\left(H, G_{e}\right)$. From (4.3), $\underline{H_{1}} \in \mathcal{T}$ and, from (4.4), $\underline{S_{1}}+S_{-1} \in \mathcal{T}$. Therefore $\underline{H_{1}} \circ \underline{T}=$ $\underline{C_{1}}+\underline{C_{-1}} \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\left(\underline{T}-\underline{H_{1}}\right) \circ \underline{T}=\underline{P_{0}}+\underline{P_{1}}+\underline{P_{x}} \in \mathcal{T}$. Therefore

$$
\left(\left(\underline{P_{0}}+\underline{P_{1}}+\underline{P_{x}}\right)\left(\underline{S_{1}}+\underline{S_{-1}}\right)\right) \circ\left(\underline{P_{0}}+\underline{P_{1}}+\underline{P_{x}}\right) \in \mathcal{T} .
$$

$\operatorname{As}\left(\underline{P_{0}}+\underline{P_{1}}+\underline{P_{x}}\right)\left(\underline{S_{1}}+\underline{S_{-1}}\right)=\underline{P_{1}}+\underline{P_{2}}+\underline{P_{x+1}}+\underline{P_{-1}}+\underline{P_{0}}+\underline{P_{x-1}}$, we deduce

$$
\left(\left(\underline{P_{0}}+\underline{P_{1}}+\underline{P_{x}}\right)\left(\underline{S_{1}}+\underline{S_{-1}}\right)\right) \circ\left(\underline{P_{0}}+\underline{P_{1}}+\underline{P_{x}}\right)=\underline{P_{0}}+\underline{P_{1}}
$$

and hence $\underline{P_{0}}+\underline{P_{1}} \in \mathcal{T}$. Therefore, $\underline{P_{x}}=\left(\underline{P_{0}}+\underline{P_{1}}+\underline{P_{x}}\right)-\left(\underline{P_{0}}+\underline{P_{1}}\right) \in \mathcal{T}$. As

$$
\left(\underline{P_{0}}+\underline{P_{1}}\right) \underline{P_{x}}=q \underline{S_{x}}+q \underline{S_{x-1}}+2\left(\underline{H \backslash H_{1}}\right)
$$

from the Schur-Wielandt principle, we obtain $\underline{S_{x}}+\underline{S_{x-1}} \in \mathcal{T}$. Therefore $\underline{S_{x}}+\underline{S_{x-1} \in \mathcal{T}_{H_{2}}}$ and hence $\{x, x-1\}$ is a $\mathcal{T}_{H_{2}}$-subset. Thus $\{x, \overline{x-1}\}$ is an $M$-orbit. Recall (4.5). If $M=\{-1,1\}$, then $x-1=-1 \cdot x=-x$, contrary to the assumption $x \neq 1 / 2$. Therefore $M=\{1\}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{H_{2}}=\mathbb{Q} H_{2}$. Thus $\underline{S_{i}} \in \mathcal{T}$, for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}$. Thus $\underline{S_{1}}, \underline{P_{x}}, \underline{C_{1}}+\underline{C_{-1}} \in \mathcal{T}$ and (4.2) implies $V\left(H, G_{e}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{T}$.

## 5 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The list of candidate CI-groups is on page 323 in [20]. From here, we see that, if $R$ is in this list and if $R=\operatorname{Dih}(A)$ is generalised dihedral, then for every odd prime $p$ the Sylow $p$-subgroup of $R$ is either elementary abelian or cyclic of order 9 .

Assume that the Sylow $p$-subgroup ( $p$ is an odd prime) of $A$ is elementary abelian of rank at least 2 . Let $P \leq A$ be a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{2}$ and let $x \in R \backslash A$. Then $\langle P, x\rangle \cong$ $\operatorname{Dih}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{2}\right)$. By Proposition 4.3, $\operatorname{Dih}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{2}\right)$ contains a non-DCI subset. Therefore $\operatorname{Dih}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{2}\right)$ is a non-DCI-group. Since subgroups of a (D)CI-group are also (D)CI, we conclude that $R$ is a not a DCI-group as well. The non-DCI set $T$ constructed in Proposition 4.3 is symmetric for $p \geq 7$. Hence $\operatorname{Dih}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{2}\right)$ and, therefore, $R$ are non-CI groups when $p \geq 7$. If $p=5$, then the group $\operatorname{Dih}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{2}\right)$ contains a non-CI subset, namely: $P_{0} \cup S_{1} \cup S_{-1}$ (this was checked by Magma ${ }^{1}$ ). Combining these arguments we conclude that if $\operatorname{Dih}(A)$ is a CI-group, then its Sylow $p$-subgroup is cyclic if $p \geq 5$. If $p=3$, then the Sylow 3 -subgroup is either cyclic of order 9 or elementary abelian. The example in Section 2.2 shows that the rank of an elementary abelian group is bounded by 2 .

We now give the updated list of CI-groups. It is a combination of the list in [20], together with our results here and [12, Corollary 13] (note [12, Corollary 13] contains an error, and should list $Q_{8}$ on line (1c), not on line (1b)). We need to define one more group:

Definition 5.1. Let $M$ be a group of order relatively prime to 3 , and $\exp (M)$ be the largest order of any element of $M$. Set $E(M, 3)=M \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}_{3}$, where $\phi(g)=g^{\ell}$, and $\ell$ is an integer satisfying $\ell^{3} \equiv 1(\bmod \exp (M))$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(\ell(\ell-1), \exp (M))=1$.

Theorem 5.2. Let $G, M$, and $K$ be CI-groups with respect to graphs such that $M$ and $K$ are abelian, all Sylow subgroups of $M$ are elementary abelian, and all Sylow subgroups of $K$ are elementary abelian of order 9 or cyclic of prime order.
(1) If $G$ does not contain elements of order 8 or 9 , then $G=H_{1} \times H_{2} \times H_{3}$, where the orders of $H_{1}, H_{2}$, and $H_{3}$ are pairwise relatively prime, and
(a) $H_{1}$ is an abelian group, and each Sylow p-subgroup of $H_{1}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{k}$ for $k<2 p+3$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$;
(b) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is isomorphic to one of the groups $E(K, 2), E(M, 3), E(K, 4), A_{4}$, or 1 ;
(c) $H_{3}$ is isomorphic to one of the groups $D_{10}, Q_{8}$, or 1 .

[^1](2) If $G$ contains elements of order 8 , then $G \cong E(K, 8)$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{8}$.
(3) If $G$ contains elements of order 9 , then $G$ is one of the groups $\mathbb{Z}_{9} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{9} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{4}$, $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{9}$, or $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{9}$, with $n \leq 5$.

Remark 5.3. The rank bound of an elementary abelian group used in part (1)(a) is due to [29].

Other than positive results already mentioned, the abelian groups known to be CIgroups are $\mathbb{Z}_{2 n}$ [22], $\mathbb{Z}_{4 n}$ [23] with $n$ an odd square-free integer, $\mathbb{Z}_{q} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{2}$ [18], $\mathbb{Z}_{q} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{3}$ [31], and $\mathbb{Z}_{q} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{4}$ [19] with $q$ and $p$ and distinct primes, and $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ [9]. Additional results are given in [4, Theorem 16] and [11] with technical restrictions on the orders of the groups. A similar result with technical restrictions on $M$ is given in [4, Theorem 22] for some $E(M, 3)$. Also, $E\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}, 4\right)$ and $E\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}, 8\right)$ were shown to be CI-groups in [21], and $Q_{8} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ in [30]. Finally, Holt and Royle have determined all CI-groups of order at most 47 [16]. Applying Theorem 5.2 to determine possible CI-groups, and then checking the positive results above to see that all possible CI-groups are known to be CI-groups, we extend the census of CI-groups up to groups of order at most 59. The isomorphism problem for circulant digraphs was independently solved in [13] and [26] (in both cases a polynomial time algorithm for solving the isomorphism problem was given). A polynomial time algorithm for finding the automorphism group of circulant digraph was provided in [27]. Finally, we remark that the groups $E(M, 3)$ and $E(M, 8)$ are not DCI-groups.

## Appendix A An alternative approach

In this section we give an alternative approach to the proof of Theorem 1.2. We do not give all of the details - just the basic idea. In principle, this section is independent from the previous sections, but for convenience we deduce the main result from our previous work.

For each $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{3}(\mathbb{F})$, let $g^{\top}$ denote the transpose of the matrix $g$ and let $g^{\iota}:=\left(g^{-1}\right)^{\top}$. It is easy to verify that $\iota: \mathrm{GL}_{3}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{3}(\mathbb{F})$ is an automorphism. Let

$$
s=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and let $\alpha$ be the automorphism of $\mathrm{GL}_{3}(\mathbb{F})$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\alpha}:=s^{-1} g^{\iota} s=s^{-1}\left(g^{-1}\right)^{\top} s \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{3}(\mathbb{F})$.
We now define $\hat{\alpha} \in \operatorname{Sym}(H)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[a,(x, y)]^{\hat{\alpha}}=\left[a,\left(y^{2} / 2-x, a y\right)\right] \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $[a,(x, y)] \in H$.
Lemma A.1. Let $\alpha$ and $\hat{\alpha}$ be as in (A.1) and (A.2). We have
(1) $G^{\alpha}=G$ and $D^{\alpha}=D$;
(2) $K=H^{\alpha}$ and $H=K^{\alpha}$;
(3) for every $h \in H,(D h)^{\alpha}=D h^{\hat{\alpha}}$;
(4) for every $x \in \mathbb{F}$ and for every $t \in \mathbb{F}^{*}, S_{x}^{\hat{\alpha}}=S_{-x}, C_{t}^{\hat{\alpha}}=C_{t}, P_{x}^{\hat{\alpha}}=P_{-x}$.

Proof. The proof follows from straightforward computations. For every $a \in\{-1,1\}$ and $x \in \mathbb{F}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a & a x & a x^{2} / 2 \\
0 & 1 & x \\
0 & 0 & a
\end{array}\right)^{\alpha} & =\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a & a x & a x^{2} / 2 \\
0 & 1 & x \\
0 & 0 & a
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\right)^{\top}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a & -x & a(-x)^{2} / 2 \\
0 & 1 & a(-x) \\
0 & 0 & a
\end{array}\right)^{\top}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a & 0 & 0 \\
-x & 1 & 0 \\
a(-x)^{2} / 2 & a(-x) & a
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a & a(-x) & a(-x)^{2} / 2 \\
0 & 1 & -x \\
0 & 0 & a
\end{array}\right) \in D .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows $D^{\alpha}=D$. The computations for proving $G=G^{\alpha}, K=H^{\alpha}$ and $H=K^{\alpha}$ are similar.

Let $h:=[a,(x, y)] \in H$. A direct computation shows that

$$
h^{\alpha}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a & 0 & x \\
0 & a & y \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)^{\alpha}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -a y & -a x \\
0 & a & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a
\end{array}\right)
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^{\alpha}\left(h^{\hat{\alpha}}\right)^{-1} & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -a y & -a x \\
0 & a & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a
\end{array}\right)\left(\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a & 0 & y^{2} / 2-x \\
0 & a & a y \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right)^{-1} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -a y & -a x \\
0 & a & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a & 0 & -a y^{2} / 2+a x \\
0 & a & -y \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a & -y & a y^{2} / 2 \\
0 & 1 & -a y \\
0 & 0 & a
\end{array}\right) \in D .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
(D h)^{\alpha}=D^{\alpha} h^{\alpha}=D h^{\alpha}=D h^{\hat{\alpha}}
$$

and part (3) follows. Now, part (4) follows immediately from Lemma 3.2 and part (3).
Lemma A.2. Let $x \in \mathbb{F}$ with $x \notin\left\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ and $x^{6} \neq 1$, and let

$$
\begin{aligned}
T & :=P_{0} \cup P_{1} \cup P_{x} \cup C_{1} \cup C_{-1}, \\
T^{\prime} & :=P_{0} \cup P_{-1} \cup P_{-x} \cup C_{1} \cup C_{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\operatorname{Cay}(H, T)$ and $\mathrm{Cay}\left(H, T^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic but not Cayley isomorphic. In particular, $H$ is not a CI-group.

Proof. We view $G$ as a permutation group on $D \backslash G$, which we may identify with $H$ via the Schur notation.

It follows from Lemma A.1(1) and (3) that $\hat{\alpha}$ normalizes $G$. Therefore, $\hat{\alpha}$ permutes the orbitals of $G$. Since $\hat{\alpha}$ fixes $e=[1,(0,0)], \hat{\alpha}$ permutes the suborbits of $G$ and, from $\operatorname{Lemma}$ A.1(4), we have $\operatorname{Cay}\left(H, T^{\hat{\alpha}}\right)=\operatorname{Cay}\left(H, T^{\prime}\right)$. Hence Cay $(H, T)^{\hat{\alpha}}=\operatorname{Cay}\left(H, T^{\prime}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Cay}(H, T) \cong \operatorname{Cay}\left(H, T^{\prime}\right)$.

Assume that there exists $\beta \in \operatorname{Aut}(H)$ with $\operatorname{Cay}(H, T)^{\beta}=\operatorname{Cay}\left(H, T^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\hat{\alpha} \beta^{-1}$ is an automorphism of $\operatorname{Cay}(H, T)$. It follows from Propositions 4.2 and 4.3 that $\hat{\alpha} \beta^{-1} \in$ $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{Cay}(H, T))=G$. Therefore $\hat{\alpha} \in G \beta$. Since $G$ and $\beta$ normalize $H$, so does $\alpha$. However, this contradicts Lemma A.1(2).

On the previous proof, one could prove directly that there exists no automorphism $\beta$ of $H$ with $T^{\beta}=T^{\prime}$; however, this requires some detailed computations, in the same spirit as the computations in Section 4.2.
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