



Supporting students with empathy: the association between empathy and coping strategies in pre-clinical medical students

Stefano Ardenghi^{1,2} · Selena Russo¹ · Marco Bani¹ · Giulia Rampoldi¹ · Maria Grazia Strepparava^{1,3}

Accepted: 10 February 2023
© The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

How medical students cope with stress predicts their mental health and academic/clinical performances, making the promotion of functional copy strategies a relevant outcome for medical educators. Empathy has been reported to be connected with coping. This study wanted to explore the most used coping strategies and whether gender differences existed, and to investigate whether and to what extent empathy affected coping in a sample of pre-clinical medical students. In a cross-sectional study design, the Interpersonal Reactivity Index (IRI) and the Coping Orientations to the Problems Experienced - New Italian Version (COPE-NIV) were administered to 398 (53% females) Italian second-year medical students. T-tests and multiple regression analyses were used to explore gender differences and the association between IRI and COPE-NIV scores, respectively. Problem Orientation obtained the highest mean score, whereas Avoidance the lowest one. Females outscored males on Social Support ($t=4.95$, $p<.001$) and Transcendent Orientation ($t=4.04$, $p<.001$). After controlling for gender, Empathic Concern was positively associated with Social Support ($\beta=0.223$, $p=.001$) and Transcendent Orientation ($\beta=0.234$, $p=.001$). Perspective Taking was positively and negatively associated with Positive Attitude ($\beta=0.248$, $p<.001$) and Transcendent Orientation ($\beta = -0.180$, $p=.008$), respectively. Personal Distress was negatively related to Problem Orientation ($\beta = -0.250$, $p<.001$). Educational and psychological counselling interventions targeting empathy should be implemented in the pre-clinical years to equip medical students to cope functionally with the emotional distress linked to medical school.

Keywords Medical education · Medical students · Undergraduate · Empathy · Coping strategies

Introduction

There is significant evidence that medical students' mental well-being is often challenged during the academic journey (Rotenstein et al., 2016). Pressing workloads, onerous curricula, challenging learning environments, personal life events, and psychological pressures are amongst the main

stressors that medical students need to cope with (Dyrbye et al., 2006, 2009; Santen et al., 2010; Shaikh et al., 2004). A particularly challenging aspect for medical students is the transition from the classroom to clinical environments. Undergoing clinical training can be demanding (Alsaggaf et al., 2016; Houpy et al., 2017; Lin & Lin, 2016), trigger several physical and mental health conditions, and induce emotional exhaustion (Dunn et al., 2008). In a recent study exploring medical students' abilities to cope with stressful experiences, almost half of participants met the criteria for burnout diagnosis, and 17% described moderate to severe depression, with 23% reporting depression onset linked to difficulties in managing stressful events (Thompson et al., 2016).

To respond effectively to stressful circumstances, functional and healthy coping behaviors are of paramount importance for medical students (Fares et al., 2016; Rahimi et al., 2014). Coping can be defined as a conscious volitional effort to regulate one's emotions, thoughts, and behaviors,

✉ Maria Grazia Strepparava
mariagrazia.strepparava@unimib.it

¹ School of Medicine and Surgery, University of Milano-Bicocca, Monza (MB), Italy

² Complex Structure of Specialized Rehabilitation, Fondazione IRCCS San Gerardo dei Tintori, ASST-Monza, Monza, MB, Italy

³ Clinical Psychology Unit, Department of Mental Health and Addiction, Fondazione IRCCS San Gerardo dei Tintori, ASST-Monza, Via Cadore 48, 20900, +39 02, 64488175 Monza, MB, Italy

in response to stress (Compas et al., 2001). Coping is usually type casted into three main categories, namely problem-focused, emotion-focused, and avoidance-focused strategies (Folkman, 1984). Problem-focused coping strategies are directed at solving a threatening or harmful situation (e.g., planning actions, seeking instrumental support, etc.); emotion-focused coping strategies attempt to mitigate emotional responses to a difficult situation (e.g., acceptance, humor, venting, turning to religion, emotional support, positive reframing, and self-blame); avoidance-focused coping strategies aim to remove oneself mentally or physically from a situation perceived as threatening or damaging (e.g., denial, self-distraction, behavioral disengagement, substance use, etc.) (Madhyastha et al., 2014). There is also a second-order classification of coping, namely, adaptive and maladaptive (Carver, 1997; Meyer, 2001). Adaptive coping entails flexible approaches to dealing with problems and managing stressors-related emotions (García et al., 2018), whereas maladaptive coping involves less constructive and fruitful behaviors (Carver & Connor-Smith, 2010; Connor-Smith & Flachsbart, 2007). Problem-focused coping strategies have been proven to be the most adaptive (Crockett et al., 2007; Kohn & Frazer, 1986; Wijndaele et al., 2007), while avoidant-focused coping strategies the least adaptive (Crockett et al., 2007; Dunkley et al., 2000; Dwyer & Cummings, 2007; Wijndaele et al., 2007). Emotion-focused coping strategies could be adaptive as well as maladaptive (Billings & Moos, 1984; Crockett et al., 2007; Penland et al., 2000; Wijndaele et al., 2007). Studies in medical education settings showed that medical students in later vs. earlier years of their educational path use more avoidant maladaptive coping strategies, which tend to emerge when stressors are perceived as unmanageable and difficult to control (Erschens et al., 2018; Hojat et al., 2009; Singh et al., 2016). Hence, how students cope depends on the unique environments and stressors they face and their subjective experiences of these aspects. With regard to gender differences in coping strategies among medical students, existing data are not clear-cut. Findings on the general population show significant gender differences in coping behaviors (Carver et al., 1989; Eschenbeck et al., 2007; Matud, 2004; Ptacek et al., 1992) with females expressing more emotions and tending more to rely on social support to cope with stress (Shaikh et al., 2004). Nevertheless, although some studies found that coping strategies do not differ amongst male and female medical students (Moffat et al., 2004; Rahimi et al., 2014; Salam et al., 2019), others highlighted significant gender differences with females preferring seeking instrumental support (problem-focused coping strategy) and emotional support (emotion-focused coping strategy) (Madhyastha et al., 2014). Data also show that, when compared to females, third-year male medical students reported greater use of humor which is a positive

emotion-focused strategy to cope with stress (Madhyastha et al., 2014).

How medical students cope with stress not only predicts their mental health (Maslach et al., 2001) and academic performance (Ramkumar et al., 2011), but also the quality of care patients receive (Shanafelt et al., 2010; West et al., 2006) making the promotion of functional copy strategies relevant for clinical and healthcare outcomes. A thorough understanding of the factors promoting the adoption of functional and effective coping strategies is crucial for medical educators and administrators who aim at preserving and fostering well-being amongst their students and the quality of care they deliver. While the prevalence of distress has been established amongst medical students (Fares et al., 2016; Hope & Henderson, 2014; Rotenstein et al., 2016), less is known about the types of coping behaviors they engage in to lessen distress throughout their medical education (Dodek et al., 2019). Several factors have been detected to be likely to influence coping strategies in the general population, including sociodemographic factors (Pearlin & Schooler, 1978), self-confidence levels (Kobasa et al., 1982), negative life events (Dohrenwend et al., 1987; Norman & Malla, 1993), and social and family support (Billings & Moos, 1984). One factor that has yet to receive much attention, however, is empathy.

Empathy refers to the ability to understand and respond to another person's thoughts and emotions (Decety & Jackson, 2006). There is a wide consensus that empathy is a complex and multifaceted socio-emotional competency encompassing different interacting components (Decety, 2010; Decety & Jackson, 2004). At least two dimensions of empathy have been indicated: an automatic emotional response, which often includes sharing another person's feelings; and a cognitive ability to understand and take the perspective of others (i.e. perspective taking) (Shamay-Tsoory et al., 2009; Smith, 2006). The emotional dimension of empathy can be further differentiated into empathic concern and personal distress. The former is an other-focused emotional response of concern or sorrow resulting from the understanding of the other person's emotional state (Eisenberg et al., 2014; Preston & Hofelich, 2012; Singer & Lamm, 2009); the latter is a self-focused response of anxiety, worry or discomfort resulting from the apprehension of another person's distress (Batson et al., 1987; Singer & Lamm, 2009). Unlike empathic concern, personal distress does not have to be congruent with the other person's emotional state and often leads to a self-oriented reaction to reduce the unpleasant emotions including withdrawing from the stressor.

Both emotional and cognitive dimensions of empathy seem to be connected with coping. It has been advanced that empathic concern and perspective taking (other-focused empathy dimensions) trigger altruistic motivations and

helping behaviors aiming at reducing the other's suffering, while personal distress (self-focused empathy dimension) triggers more egoistic motivations aiming at reducing one's own unpleasant feelings (Batson et al., 1983; Decety, 2010). Thus, empathic concern and perspective taking might lead to approach behavior (e.g., care, helping), whereas personal distress might ignite avoidance behavior (e.g., withdrawal). Other-focused empathic responses (i.e., empathic concern and perspective-taking) are naturally connected to coping processes requiring engaging others in constructive interactions (Di Giunta et al., 2010; Kramer, 1993; O'Brien et al., 2009; O'Brien & DeLongis, 1996). Individuals with greater other-focused empathy are more likely to help others thus building and maintaining stronger social networks and receiving greater social support, which was found to be a chief ally in coping with stress (Batson et al., 1983).

Although the development of empathic skills are strongly related to the educational environment (Batt-Rawden et al., 2013), empathy has been linked to individual dispositions such as attachment styles (Ardenghi et al., 2020), emotional intelligence (Ardenghi et al., 2022; Donisi et al., 2022), personal values (Ardenghi, Luciani, Ardenghi et al. 2021a, b, c), personality traits (Barbaranelli et al., 2021), dispositional mindfulness (Ardenghi et al., 2022; Ardenghi, Rampoldi, Ardenghi et al. 2021a, b, c), patient-centered orientation (Ardenghi et al., 2019), emotional regulation (Ardenghi, Russo, Ardenghi et al. 2021a, b, c), and well-being (Salvarani et al., 2019, 2020). To the best of our knowledge, no study has directly examined the association between empathy and coping strategies in medical students and whether and to what extent gender influences this relationship. According to this literature gap, this study aimed to answer the following research questions:

1. Which are the most used coping strategies in a sample of Italian medical students? Do gender differences exist in coping strategies?
2. Do the other- and self-focused components of empathy influence coping strategies?

This research has the potential to inform educators about what empathic competencies should be sustained to provide medical students with functional coping strategies to face the emotionally demanding setting of the medical profession. The data from the present study can be particularly valuable to plan and implement interventions to support medical students transiting from the pre-clinical to the clinical years.

Methods

Participants and procedure

Three consecutive cohorts of second-year students of the medical school at the University of Milano-Bicocca, Italy took part in the study. The medical degree program is six years in length; the first two years are considered pre-clinical with minimal interaction with patients, while the remaining four provided students with clinical clerkship experiences. Inclusion criteria for participating in the study were to be enrolled at the second year of the medical school program and to read and write Italian sufficiently to complete the questionnaire. Participants were approached and invited to participate in the study after a mandatory lesson at the university facilities by a researcher with no teaching duties. Paper-and-pencil questionnaires were completed anonymously. The researcher was available for any doubts or questions while participants were filling in the questionnaire. All participants provided their written informed consent to participate. Participants did not receive any compensation for participating. Ethical approval was obtained from the Ethical Committee of the University of Milano-Bicocca (Prot. 0039927).

Measures

The questionnaire gathered socio-demographic information and included the Italian validated version of the Interpersonal Reactivity Index (IRI) (Albiero et al., 2006; Davis, 1983) and the Coping Orientations to the Problems Experienced - New Italian Version (COPE-NIV) (Carver et al., 1989; Sica et al., 2008).

Empathy: the interpersonal reactivity index

The 28-item IRI measured four dimensions of dispositional empathy: (1) *Empathic Concern* (IRI-EC), which refers to the tendency to experience feelings of compassion for unfortunate others and represents the other-focused emotional facet of empathy; (2) *Perspective Taking* (IRI-PT), which is the tendency to spontaneously adopt the point of view of others and is the other-focused cognitive facet of empathy; (3) *Personal Distress* (IRI-PD), that is the tendency to undergo emotional discomfort and present behavioral disorganization in response to others' suffering during stressful interpersonal situations; (4) *Fantasy* (IRI-F), which is the tendency to imaginatively transpose oneself into fictional situations and is the self-focused cognitive dimension of empathy. Participants are asked to rate to what extent each item describes them on a 5-point Likert scale, ranging from 0 ("Does not describe me well") to 4 ("Describes me

very well”), with greater scores indicating higher levels of empathy. We excluded from the analyses the IRI-F because it does not assess a core part of empathy in medical contexts (Gu et al., 2020; Neff & Pommier, 2013). In this study, the Cronbach’s alphas of the three dimensions of the IRI were 0.78 for IRI-EC, 0.79 for IRI-PT, and 0.73 for IRI-PD.

Coping strategies: coping orientations to the problems experienced - new Italian version

Coping strategies were assessed with the COPE-NIV which is a multi-dimensional inventory comprising 60 items evaluating how often the subject uses a set of particular coping processes in difficult or stressful situations and rated on a 4-point scale ranging from 1 (“I usually don’t do this at all”) to 4 (“I usually do this a lot”). The instrument includes five subscales corresponding to five different coping strategies: (1) *Social Support* (COPE-SS), coping strategy which refers to understanding- and information-seeking behaviors and emotional assistance; (2) *Positive Attitude* (COPE-PA), a coping strategy which refers to acceptance and positive reframing; (3) *Problem Orientation* (COPE-PO), coping strategy which refers to planning and active strategies; (4) *Transcendent Orientation* (COPE-TO), a coping strategy which refers to turning to religion and low use of humor; (5) *Avoidance Strategies* (COPE-A), a coping strategy which refers to denial, substance use, behavioral and emotional detachment. A higher score on a particular subscale indicates greater use of that specific coping strategy. In line with other scholars (Sun et al., 2019), COPE-A was considered a maladaptive coping strategy. In our study, Cronbach’s alpha for the five subscales was 0.87 for the COPE-SS, 0.74 for COPE-PA, 0.81 for COPE-PO, 0.84 for COPE-TO, and 0.69 for COPE-A.

Statistical analysis

Chi-square tests and three-way between-subjects analyses of variance (ANOVA) explored differences in gender, age, and study variables between the three cohorts of students. A set of t-tests was performed to explore gender differences in COPE-NIV and IRI scoring. Pearson’s correlations between COPE-NIV and IRI scales were calculated. A set of multiple regression analyses with IRI dimensions as independent variables and COPE-NIV subscales as dependent variables were run to explore whether and to what extent empathy predicted coping strategies. Gender and academic cohorts were introduced as covariate factors if statistically significant associations with either independent or dependent variables emerged. Bonferroni’s correction was used ($p < .01$). Effect sizes were computed as eta-squared (η^2) for ANOVA, Cohen’s d for t-tests, and R^2 for regressions.

Table 1 Means and standard deviations of study variables by gender

	Variable	Total sample (M ± SD)	Female (M ± SD)	Male (M ± SD)
Other-focused empathy	IRI-EC	18.56 ± 4.15	19.66 ± 3.79	17.37 ± 4.50
	IRI-PT	17.81 ± 4.63	18.33 ± 4.53	17.29 ± 4.73
Self-focused empathy	IRI-PD	9.38 ± 4.55	10.22 ± 4.66	8.54 ± 4.39
Adaptive coping strategy	COPE-SS	2.55 ± 0.62	2.74 ± 0.67	2.36 ± 0.56
	COPE-PA	2.67 ± 0.42	2.69 ± 0.44	2.68 ± 0.39
	COPE-PO	2.77 ± 0.45	2.78 ± 0.48	2.76 ± 0.42
	COPE-TO	2.38 ± 0.58	2.54 ± 0.59	2.22 ± 0.56
Maladaptive coping strategy	COPE-A	1.47 ± 0.31	1.45 ± 0.31	1.48 ± 0.30

Note. IRI-EC Empathic Concern, IRI-PT Perspective Taking, IRI-PD Personal Distress, COPE-SS Social Support, COPE-PA Positive Attitude, COPE-PO Problem Orientation, COPE-TO Transcendent Orientation, COPE-A Avoidance

Results

Sample demographics, cohorts’ features and gender differences in study variables

Out of 450 students invited, three hundred and ninety-eight (88.4%) entered the study and completed the questionnaire. 53% of participants ($N = 211$) were female. The mean age of participants was 19.65 years (± 1.34). No differences emerged for gender distribution ($\chi^2(2) = 2.256$, $p = .324$), age ($F(2,395) = 3.504$, $p = .031$), and the scores of the study variables ($F_s(2,395) < 2.403$, $p_s > 0.129$) amongst the three student cohorts.

Table 1 reports the study variables scoring for all participants and by gender. COPE-PO obtained the highest mean score, whereas COPE-A mean score was the lowest ones. Female students outscored males on COPE-SS ($t(396) = 4.95$, $p < .001$, $d = 0.62$), COPE-TO ($t(396) = 4.04$, $p < .001$, $d = 0.57$), IRI-EC ($t(396) = 7.17$, $p < .001$, $d = 0.55$), IRI-PD ($t(396) = 4.82$, $p < .001$, $d = 0.37$), and IRI-PT ($t(396) = 2.93$, $p = .004$, $d = 0.22$).

Association of empathy dimensions with coping strategies

In Table 2 are reported Pearson’s correlation coefficients among the study variables. IRI-EC had a significant positive correlation with COPE-SS and COPE-TO; IRI-PT was positively related to COPE-PA; IRI-PD positively and negatively correlated to COPE-SS and COPE-PO, respectively.

All multiple regression models were statistically significant except for that of COPE-A ($F(4,394) = 0.969$; $R^2 = 0.02$; $p = .425$) (not reported in Table 3). After controlling for

Table 2 Zero-order correlations between empathy dimensions and coping strategies

Variables	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1. IRI-EC	1							
2. IRI-PT	0.398***	1						
3. IRI-PD	0.171**	-0.056	1					
4. COPE-SS	0.317***	0.135	0.194**	1				
5. COPE-PA	0.137	0.270***	-0.051	0.157	1			
6. COPE-PO	0.071	0.082	-0.227***	0.094	0.435***	1		
7. COPE-TO	0.226**	-0.079	0.128	0.262***	-0.211**	-0.018	1	
8. COPE-A	-0.072	-0.008	0.085	0.040	0.050	-0.177**	-0.176**	1

Note. IRI-EC Empathic Concern, IRI-PT Perspective Taking, IRI-PD Personal Distress, COPE-SS Social Support, COPE-PA Positive Attitude, COPE-PO Problem Orientation, COPE-TO Transcendent Orientation, COPE-A Avoidance; ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .001$

Table 3 Multiple regressions exploring the effects of empathy dimensions on coping strategies

Variables	COPE-SS		COPE-PA		COPE-PO		COPE-TO	
	β	p	β	p	β	p	β	p
Gender	0.231	<0.001	-0.011	0.866	0.029	0.660	0.205	0.002
IRI-EC	0.223	0.001	0.056	0.436	0.100	0.172	0.234	0.001
IRI-PT	0.039	0.555	0.248	<0.001	0.038	0.587	-0.180	0.008
IRI-PD	0.124	0.047	-0.056	0.395	-0.250	<0.001	0.054	0.397
F	11.646	<0.001	4.767	0.001	4.143	0.003	8.269	<0.001
R ²	0.17		0.08		0.07		0.13	

Note. IRI-EC Empathic Concern, IRI-PT Perspective Taking, IRI-PD Personal Distress, COPE-SS Social Support, COPE-PA Positive Attitude, COPE-PO Problem Orientation, COPE-TO Transcendent Orientation

gender, IRI-EC was positively associated with COPE-SS and COPE-TO; IRI-PT was positively and negatively associated with COPE-PA and COPE-TO, respectively; and IRI-PD negatively correlated to COPE-PO. Gender was a significant predictor of COPE-SS and COPE-TO beyond the effect of the IRI dimensions (Table 3) with females scoring higher on COPE-SS and COPE-TO than males.

Discussion

In the present research, we aimed to describe the most used coping strategies in pre-clinical medical students, to assess whether gender differences in coping exist, and explore the relationship between empathy dimensions and coping strategies.

Coping strategies usage and gender differences

Medical students in our study reported to use more frequently adaptive and problem-focused (COPE-PO) coping strategies, while less frequently adopted maladaptive avoidant coping strategies (COPE-A). Our findings echo those of Neufeld and Malin (Neufeld & Malin, 2021) suggesting that the coping strategies adopted by pre-clinical medical students were primarily healthy, rather than dysfunctional. As literature showed that medical students in later vs. earlier years of training tend to use more avoidant-based and maladaptive coping strategies (Erschens et al., 2018; Hojat et

al., 2009; Singh et al., 2016), data from longitudinal studies are needed to further explore changes in coping strategies throughout the academic journey, and the effect of the learning environment.

As for gender differences, when compared to their male counterparts, female medical students in our study showed greater tendency to seek information, understanding, and emotional support (COPE-SS), and to turn to religion while presenting lower use of humor (COPE-TO) as a form of coping. The latter data mirror studies showing that men use and appreciate humor more than women as a strategy to face stressful situations (Rawlings & Findlay, 2016). Furthermore, literature data indicate that gender differences in coping exist, and are most likely due to social norms and socialized gender roles (Bem, 1981; Prentice & Carranza, 2002). Studies suggest that females vent more emotions, and rely on more social support to cope with stress since adolescence (Carver et al., 1989; Eschenbeck et al., 2007). Thus, our findings that female medical students used more social support (COPE-SS) and transcendent orientation (COPE-TO) to deal with stress may reflect gender stereotypes and cultural norms.

Historically, in many cultures due to differential socialization, females are taught to be more submissive, passive, and nurturing than males, and often these traits are associated with higher levels of religiosity (Roth & Kroll, 2007; Suziedelis & Potvin, 1981). When compared to other European countries, Italy is characterized by a patriarchal family model (Bertocchi & Bozzano, 2019) which may promote

this genderized tendency to rely on social network and religiosity when facing hardship. These considerations on cultural and social factors are in line with existing literature on gender differences in personal values (with females showing higher levels of self-transcendent values than males) (Ardenghi, Luciani, et al., 2021; Luciani et al., 2020), and with the higher levels of compassion and emotional concern for unfortunate others (IRI-EC) in female students in our study. Hence, workplace climates can lessen or strengthen gender differences in coping behaviors, based on how accepted and reinforced “masculine” (i.e., agentic and instrumental) or “feminine” (i.e., communal and emotional) coping strategies tend to be (Colley et al., 2009) and how the organizational culture mirrors gender stereotypes and beliefs from the society.

Relationship between empathy dimensions and coping strategies

After controlling for gender, medical students who are more concerned for the hardship of other people (high levels of IRI-EC) showed higher scores on social- and transcendent-oriented coping strategies (COPE-SS and COPE-TO); the capability to adopt other people’s viewpoints (high levels of IRI-PT) was positively associated with the ability to positive reframing in stressful situations (COPE-PA) and negatively with turning to religion as a way of coping (COPE-TO); and students who tend to lose control in emotionally tense interpersonal situations (high levels of IRI-PD) showed lower levels of problem-focused coping strategies (COPE-PO).

Our findings suggest that other-focused empathic responses (i.e., empathic concern and perspective taking) are naturally involved in those coping processes that require an individual to engage others in interactions (COPE-SS) and to consider a situation from other and more positive perspectives (COPE-PA). As for the association between Empathic Concern (IRI-EC) and using social support as coping strategy (COPE-SS), Zheng (Zheng et al., 2021) found that people with lower Empathic Concern were more reluctant to reach for social support during stressful situations. When considering the relationship between Perspective Taking (IRI-PT) and the tendency to positively reframing stressful situations (COPE-PA), the ability to be attentive to the mental states of others – skill at the basis of the perspective taking dimensions of empathy – has been considered to bolster the ability to mentally simulate alternative perspectives (i.e., positive reframing) through a common neural network and neurocognitive resources (Powers et al., 2022).

In our study, Empathic Concern (IRI-EC) and Perspective Taking (IRI-PT) had a positive and negative relationship with the tendency to use religion and not to

use humor to cope with the stress (COPE-TO), respectively. A previous study with counselling students found that Empathic Concern was associated with spirituality defined as one’s engagement in relationships or activities that promote self-worth and enhance meaning to one’s life, whereas Perspective Taking positively correlated to spirituality seen as a sense of attachment to others and oneness with the universe (Giordano et al., 2014). The latter description of spirituality can be considered a more relational facet of the construct. Nevertheless, it is not surprising that in our study Perspective Taking correlates negatively with Transcendent Orientation (COPE-TO) as IRI-PT entails a propensity for immanent relationality while COPE-TO, as designed in the COPE-NIV, is a private use of religion and the lack of humor (a register that is typically interpersonal).

Our results also suggest that Personal Distress (IRI-PD) – which is related to discomfort in tense interpersonal situations – might impair adaptive problem-oriented coping strategies (COPE-PO). In previous research (Grynbeg & López-Pérez, 2018), Personal Distress was positively related to maladaptive coping strategies and the association between maladaptive strategies and the willingness to avoid the person in distress was mediated by the magnitude of Personal Distress. Our findings on the negative relationship between the Personal Distress dimension of the IRI and the Problem Orientation subscale of the COPE-NIV mirror those of Flett et al. (Flett et al., 1996) that found a strong negative association between measures of interpersonal maladjustment (including symptoms of depression and anxiety) and problem-solving orientation. Moreover, our results confirm those of Deasy et al.’s study (Deasy et al., 2014) that showed a positive relationship between elevated psychological distress and escape avoidance behavior – which is a coping strategy opposite to problem orientation – in a sample of undergraduate healthcare students.

Strengths and limitations

Although the present findings are promising, some limitations hampering the generalizability of results needed to be considered. Participants were only second-year students and were recruited from a single university missing to assess coping strategies and empathy in more senior students. Furthermore, more details regarding the participants’ psycho-social characteristics could have improved the impact of the investigations. Contrasting medical students and healthcare professionals could shed light on the role of empathy in promoting adaptive coping strategies throughout healthcare workers’ education and professional life. Furthermore, the cross-sectional and correlational design does not allow to establish a causal direction

of the relationship between empathy and coping. Longitudinal studies are needed to assess changes over time. Although we have measured the study variables by validated instruments, the nature of these self-reported tools hindered an in-depth investigation of participants' attitudes and beliefs. We suggest future research to include multiple measures, such as peer-evaluation or a narrative of relevant experiences carried out in the medical education context analyzed with qualitative methods.

Conclusion

An important aspect for fostering adaptive coping strategies is empathy. The present study expands the existing evidence on the role of empathy dimensions in predicting coping strategies in pre-clinical medical students. Our results suggest that empathy dimensions are differently associated with coping strategies highlighting the importance of tailored educational approaches and psychological counselling interventions (Bani et al., 2022; Strepparava et al., 2017a, b) to foster other-focused empathy facets (i.e., empathic concern and perspective-taking) and reduce self-focused empathy dimension (i.e., personal distress) to equip medical students with adaptive problem- and emotion-focused coping strategies.

Strengthening other-oriented empathic attitudes in medical students can be pursued through different avenues such as extracurricular practical activities, clinical practice, improving teaching methods, and counselling interventions. As for the extracurricular activities, interventions promoting self-awareness, self-reflection, communication and relationship-centeredness, can be educational models that indirectly address professional formation and empathy (Ekman & Krasner, 2017). When considering clinical practice, clinical tutors have a paramount importance in developing empathic clinicians thorough modelling. Equipping clinical tutors with the adequate competences and skills to act according to and promote nonjudgmental regard and listening skills is essential (Burgess et al., 2015). As for teaching methods and intervention, it could be useful to integrate the current didactic tools with methods that have been proven to nurture empathy in medicine including group discussions, simulated/virtual/real patients, role-play, and arts and humanities (Zhou et al., 2021). As for psychological counselling interventions, they should target self-focused empathy (i.e., personal distress) to equip pre-clinical medical students to cope functionally with the emotional burden linked to clinical clerkship and the other challenges that the medical school entails (Powell, 2018). Gender differences and gender-related cultural issues should be considered and addressed thoroughly.

Funding Open access funding provided by Università degli Studi di Milano - Bicocca within the CRUI-CARE Agreement. This study was conducted without specific grant.

Data availability The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethical approval Ethical approval was obtained from the Ethical Committee of the University of Milano-Bicocca (Prot. 0039927).

Conflict of interest All authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Informed consent All participants provided their written informed consent to participate.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- Albiero, P., Ingoglia, S., & Lo Coco, A. (2006). *Contributo all'adattamento italiano dell'Interpersonal Reactivity Index*. 13(2), 107–125.
- Alsagaf, M. A., Wali, S. O., Merdad, R. A., & Merdad, L. A. (2016). Sleep quantity, quality, and insomnia symptoms of medical students during clinical years: relationship with stress and academic performance. *Saudi Medical Journal*, 37(2), 173–182. <https://doi.org/10.15537/smj.2016.2.14288>
- Ardenghi, S., Luciani, M., Rampoldi, G., Ausili, D., Bani, M., Di Mauro, S., & Strepparava, M. G. (2021a). Personal values among first-year medical and nursing students: a cross-sectional comparative study. *Nurse Education Today*, 100, 104827. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2021a.104827>
- Ardenghi, S., Rampoldi, G., Bani, M., & Strepparava, M. G. (2020). Attachment styles as predictors of self-reported empathy in medical students during pre-clinical years. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 103(5), 965–970. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2019.11.004>
- Ardenghi, S., Rampoldi, G., Montelisciani, L., Antolini, L., Donisi, V., Perlini, C., Rimondini, M., Garbin, D., Piccolo, L. D., & Strepparava, M. G. (2022). Emotional intelligence as a mediator between attachment security and empathy in pre-clinical medical students: a multi-center cross-sectional study. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 105(9), 2880–2887. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2022.03.030>
- Ardenghi, S., Rampoldi, G., Pepe, A., Bani, M., Gritti, P., & Strepparava, M. G. (2019). Assessing patient-centeredness among medical students: the italian translation and validation of the

- patient-practitioner orientation scale. *BPA Applied Psychology Bulletin*, 67(285), 51–61. <https://doi.org/10.26387/bpa.285.5>
- Ardenghi, S., Rampoldi, G., Pepe, A., Bani, M., Salvarani, V., & Stropparava, M. G. (2021b). An exploratory cross-sectional study on the relationship between Dispositional Mindfulness and Empathy in Undergraduate Medical Students. *Teaching and Learning in Medicine*, 33(2), 154–163. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10401334.2020.1813582>
- Ardenghi, S., Russo, S., Bani, M., Rampoldi, G., & Stropparava, M. G. (2021c). The role of difficulties in emotion regulation in predicting empathy and patient-centeredness in pre-clinical medical students: a cross-sectional study. *Psychology Health & Medicine*, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13548506.2021c.2001549>
- Bani, M., Zorzi, F., Corrias, D., & Stropparava, M. (2022). Reducing psychological distress and improving vicarious student well-being and academic self-efficacy: the effectiveness of a cognitive university counselling service for clinical and non-clinical situations. *British Journal of Guidance & Counselling*, 50(5), 757–767. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03069885.2020.1840512>
- Barbaranelli, C., Ghezzi, V., Ardenghi, S., Caiaffa, M. F., Muraro, R., Cavaggioni, G., Valli, M., Piga, A., Di Liegro, I., Stropparava, M. G., & Familiari, G. (2021). The contribution of personality traits and self-efficacy beliefs to medical students' empathy. *TPM - Testing Psychometrics Methodology in Applied Psychology*, 28(3), 313–327. <https://doi.org/10.4473/TPM28.3.3>
- Batson, C. D., Fultz, J., & Schoenrade, P. A. (1987). Distress and Empathy: two qualitatively distinct vicarious emotions with different motivational consequences. *Journal of Personality*, 55(1), 19–39. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.1987.tb00426.x>
- Batson, C. D., O'Quin, K., Fultz, J., Vanderplas, M., & Isen, A. M. (1983). Influence of self-reported distress and empathy on egoistic versus altruistic motivation to help. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 45(3), 706–718. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.45.3.706>
- Batt-Rawden, S. A., Chisolm, M. S., Anton, B., & Flickinger, T. E. (2013). Teaching Empathy to Medical students: an updated, systematic review. *Academic Medicine*, 88(8), 1171–1177. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0b013e318299f3e3>
- Bem, S. L. (1981). Gender schema theory: a cognitive account of sex typing. *Psychological Review*, 88(4), 354–364. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.88.4.354>
- Bertocchi, G., & Bozzano, M. (2019). Origins and Implications of Family Structure Across Italian Provinces in Historical Perspective. In C. Diebolt, A. Rijpma, S. Carmichael, S. Dilli, & C. Störmer (Eds.), *Clometrics of the Family* (pp. 121–147). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-99480-2_6
- Billings, A. G., & Moos, R. H. (1984). Coping, stress, and social resources among adults with unipolar depression. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 46(4), 877–891. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.46.4.877>
- Burgess, A., Goulston, K., & Oates, K. (2015). Role modelling of clinical tutors: a focus group study among medical students. *BMC Medical Education*, 15(1), 17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-015-0303-8>
- Carver, C. S. (1997). You want to measure coping but your protocol' too long: consider the brief cope. *International Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 4(1), 92–100. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327558ijbm0401_6
- Carver, C. S., & Connor-Smith, J. (2010). Personality and coping. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 61(1), 679–704. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.093008.100352>
- Carver, C. S., Scheier, M. F., & Weintraub, J. K. (1989). Assessing coping strategies: a theoretically based approach. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 56(2), 267–283. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.56.2.267>
- Colley, A., Mulhern, G., Maltby, J., & Wood, A. M. (2009). The short form BSRI: instrumentality, expressiveness and gender associations among a United Kingdom sample. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 46(3), 384–387. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2008.11.005>
- Compas, B. E., Connor-Smith, J. K., Saltzman, H., Thomsen, A. H., & Wadsworth, M. E. (2001). Coping with stress during childhood and adolescence: problems, progress, and potential in theory and research. *Psychological Bulletin*, 127(1), 87–127. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.127.1.87>
- Connor-Smith, J. K., & Flachsbart, C. (2007). Relations between personality and coping: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 93(6), 1080–1107. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.93.6.1080>
- Crockett, L. J., Iturbide, M. I., Stone, T., McGinley, R. A., Raffaeli, M., M., & Carlo, G. (2007). Acculturative stress, social support, and coping: relations to psychological adjustment among Mexican American college students. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 13(4), 347–355. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1099-9809.13.4.347>
- Davis, M. H. (1983). Measuring individual differences in empathy: evidence for a multidimensional approach. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 44(1), 113–126. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.44.1.113>
- Deasy, C., Coughlan, B., Pironom, J., Jourdan, D., & Mannix-McNamara, P. (2014). Psychological distress and coping amongst higher education students: a mixed Method Enquiry. *Plos One*, 9(12), e115193. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0115193>
- Decety, J. (2010). The neurodevelopment of Empathy in humans. *Developmental Neuroscience*, 32(4), 257–267. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000317771>
- Decety, J., & Jackson, P. L. (2004). The Functional Architecture of Human Empathy. *Behavioral and Cognitive Neuroscience Reviews*, 3(2), 71–100. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1534582304267187>
- Decety, J., & Jackson, P. L. (2006). A Social-Neuroscience Perspective on Empathy. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 15(2), 54–58. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0963-7214.2006.00406.x>
- Di Giunta, L., Eisenberg, N., Kupfer, A., Steca, P., Tramontano, C., & Caprara, G. V. (2010). Assessing Perceived Empathic and Social Self-Efficacy Across Countries. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment*, 26(2), 77–86. <https://doi.org/10.1027/1015-5759/a000012>
- Dodek, P. M., Culjak, A., Cheung, E. O., Hubinette, M. M., Holmes, C., Schrewe, B., Wisener, K., & Crowell, P. (2019). Active Coping in Medical Students Is Associated with Less Burnout and Higher Resilience. *C2I. DETERMINANTS OF BURNOUT AND WELLNESS AMONG PHYSICIANS AND TRAINEES*, A4300–A4300. https://doi.org/10.1164/ajrcm-conference.2019.199.1_MeetingAbstracts.A4300
- Dohrenwend, B. P., Levav, I., Shrout, P. E., Link, B. G., Skodol, A. E., & Martin, J. L. (1987). Life stress and psychopathology: progress on research begun with Barbara Snell Dohrenwend. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 15(6), 677–715. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00919799>
- Donisi, V., Perlini, C., Mazzi, M. A., Rimondini, M., Garbin, D., Ardenghi, S., Rampoldi, G., Montelisciani, L., Antolini, L., Stropparava, M. G., & Del Piccolo, L. (2022). Training in communication and emotion handling skills for students attending medical school: relationship with empathy, emotional intelligence, and attachment style. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 105(9), 2871–2879. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2022.05.015>
- Dunkley, D. M., Blankstein, K. R., Halsall, J., Williams, M., & Winkworth, G. (2000). The relation between perfectionism and distress: hassles, coping, and perceived social support as mediators and moderators. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 47(4), 437–453. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0167.47.4.437>

- Dunn, L. B., Iglewicz, A., & Moutier, C. (2008). A conceptual model of Medical Student Well-Being: promoting resilience and preventing burnout. *Academic Psychiatry, 32*(1), 44–53. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ap.32.1.44>
- Dwyer, A. L., & Cummings, A. L. (2007). *Stress, Self-Efficacy, Social Support, and Coping Strategies in University Students, 35*(3).
- Dyrbye, L. N., Thomas, M. R., Harper, W., Massie, F. S., Power, D. V., Eacker, A., Szydlo, D. W., Novotny, P. J., Sloan, J. A., & Shanafelt, T. D. (2009). The learning environment and medical student burnout: a multicentre study. *Medical Education, 43*(3), 274–282. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2923.2008.03282.x>
- Dyrbye, L. N., Thomas, M. R., Huntington, J. L., Lawson, K. L., Novotny, P. J., Sloan, J. A., & Shanafelt, T. D. (2006). Personal life events and Medical Student Burnout: a Multi-center Study. *Academic Medicine, 81*(4), 374–384. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00001888-200604000-00010>
- Eisenberg, N., Shea, C. L., Carlo, G., & Knight, G. P. (2014). Empathy-related responding and cognition: a “chicken and the egg” dilemma. *Handbook of moral behavior and development* (pp. 85–110). Psychology Press.
- Ekman, E., & Krasner, M. (2017). Empathy in medicine: Neuroscience, education and challenges. *Medical Teacher, 39*(2), 164–173. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2016.1248925>
- Erschens, R., Loda, T., Herrmann-Werner, A., Keifenheim, K. E., Stuber, F., Nikendei, C., Zipfel, S., & Junne, F. (2018). Behaviour-based functional and dysfunctional strategies of medical students to cope with burnout. *Medical Education Online, 23*(1), 1535738. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10872981.2018.1535738>
- Eschenbeck, H., Kohlmann, C. W., & Lohaus, A. (2007). Gender differences in coping strategies in children and adolescents. *Journal of Individual Differences, 28*(1), 18–26. <https://doi.org/10.1027/1614-0001.28.1.18>
- Fares, J., Al Tabosh, H., Saadeddin, Z., El Mouhayyar, C., & Aridi, H. (2016). Stress, burnout and coping strategies in preclinical medical students. *North American Journal of Medical Sciences, 8*(2), 75. <https://doi.org/10.4103/1947-2714.177299>
- Flett, G. L., Hewitt, P. L., Blankstein, K. R., Solnik, M., & Van Brunschot, M. (1996). Perfectionism, social problem-solving ability, and psychological distress. *Journal of Rational-Emotive and Cognitive-Behavior Therapy, 14*(4), 245–274. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02238139>
- Folkman, S. (1984). Personal control and stress and coping processes: a theoretical analysis. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 46*(4), 839–852. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.46.4.839>
- García, F. E., Barraza-Peña, C. G., Włodarczyk, A., Alvear-Carrasco, M., & Reyes-Reyes, A. (2018). Psychometric properties of the Brief-COPE for the evaluation of coping strategies in the Chilean population. *Psicología: Reflexão e Crítica, 31*(1), 22. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41155-018-0102-3>
- Giordano, A. L., Prosek, E. A., & Lankford, C. T. (2014). Predicting Empathy: the role of Religion and spirituality. *Journal of Professional Counseling: Practice Theory & Research, 41*(2), 53–66. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15566382.2014.12033938>
- Grynberg, D., & López-Pérez, B. (2018). Facing others’ misfortune: personal distress mediates the association between maladaptive emotion regulation and social avoidance. *PLOS ONE, 13*(3), e0194248. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194248>
- Gu, J., Baer, R., Cavanagh, K., Kuyken, W., & Strauss, C. (2020). Development and Psychometric Properties of the Sussex-Oxford Compassion Scales (SOCS). *Assessment, 27*(1), 3–20. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191119860911>
- Hojat, M., Vergare, M. J., Maxwell, K., Brainard, G., Herrine, S. K., Isenberg, G. A., Veloski, J., & Gonnella, J. S. (2009). The Devil is in the Third Year: a longitudinal study of Erosion of Empathy in Medical School. *Academic Medicine, 84*(9), 1182–1191. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0b013e3181b17e55>
- Hope, V., & Henderson, M. (2014). Medical student depression, anxiety and distress outside North America: a systematic review. *Medical Education, 48*(10), 963–979. <https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.12512>
- Houpy, J. C., Lee, W. W., Woodruff, J. N., & Pincavage, A. T. (2017). *Medical student resilience and stressful clinical events during clinical training, 22*.
- Kobasa, S. C., Maddi, S. R., & Kahn, S. (1982). Hardiness and health: a prospective study. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 42*(1), 168–177. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.42.1.168>
- Kohn, J. P., & Frazer, G. H. (1986). An academic stress scale: identification and rated importance of academic stressors. *Psychological Reports, 59*(2), 415–426. <https://doi.org/10.2466/pr0.1986.59.2.415>
- Kramer, B. (1993). *Expanding the conceptualization of caregiver coping: The importance of coping strategies, 42*(4), 383–391.
- Lin, C. D., & Lin, B. Y. J. (2016). Training demands on clerk burnout: determining whether achievement goal motivation orientations matter. *BMC Medical Education, 16*(1), 214. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-016-0742-x>
- Luciani, M., Rampoldi, G., Ardenghi, S., Bani, M., Merati, S., Ausili, D., Grazia Strepparava, M., & Di Mauro, S. (2020). Personal values among undergraduate nursing students: a cross-sectional study. *Nursing Ethics, 27*(6), 1461–1471. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0969733020914350>
- Madhyastha, S., Latha, K. S., & Kamath, A. (2014). Stress, coping and gender differences in Third Year Medical Students. *Journal of Health Management, 16*(2), 315–326. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0972063414526124>
- Maslach, C., Schaufeli, W. B., & Leiter, M. P. (2001). Job Burnout. *Annual Review of Psychology, 52*(1), 397–422. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.52.1.397>
- Matud, M. P. (2004). Gender differences in stress and coping styles. *Personality and Individual Differences, 37*(7), 1401–1415. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2004.01.010>
- Meyer, B. (2001). Coping with severe Mental illness: relations of the brief COPE with symptoms, functioning, and well-being. *Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment, 23*(4), 265–277. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1012731520781>
- Moffat, K. J., McConnachie, A., Ross, S., & Morrison, J. M. (2004). First year medical student stress and coping in a problem-based learning medical curriculum. *Medical Education, 38*(5), 482–491. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2929.2004.01814.x>
- Neff, K. D., & Pommier, E. (2013). The relationship between self-compassion and other-focused concern among College Undergraduates, Community adults, and practicing meditators. *Self and Identity, 12*(2), 160–176. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15298868.2011.649546>
- Neufeld, A., & Malin, G. (2021). How medical students cope with stress: a cross-sectional look at strategies and their sociodemographic antecedents. *BMC Medical Education, 21*(1), 299. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-021-02734-4>
- Norman, R. M. G., & Malla, A. K. (1993). Stressful life events and Schizophrenia: I: a review of the Research. *British Journal of Psychiatry, 162*(2), 161–166. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.162.2.161>
- O’Brien, T. B., & DeLongis, A. (1996). The interactional context of Problem-, Emotion-, and relationship-focused coping: the role of the big five personality factors. *Journal of Personality, 64*(4), 775–813. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.1996.tb00944.x>
- O’Brien, T. B., DeLongis, A., Pomaki, G., Puterman, E., & Zwicker, A. (2009). Couples coping with stress: the role of Empathic responding. *European Psychologist, 14*(1), 18–28. <https://doi.org/10.1027/1016-9040.14.1.18>
- Pearlin, L. I., & Schooler, C. (1978). The structure of coping. *Journal of health and social behavior, 19*(1), 2–21..

- Penland, E. A., Masten, W. G., Zelhart, P., Fournet, G. P., & Callahan, T. A. (2000). Possible selves, depression and coping skills in university students. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 29(5), 963–969. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869\(99\)00247-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869(99)00247-0)
- Powell, P. A. (2018). Individual differences in emotion regulation moderate the associations between empathy and affective distress. *Motivation and Emotion*, 42(4), 602–613. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11031-018-9684-4>
- Powers, J. P., Kako, N., McIntosh, D. N., & McRae, K. (2022). Competitive interactions between cognitive reappraisal and mentalizing. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, 174, 17–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2022.01.012>
- Prentice, D. A., & Carranza, E. (2002). What women and men should be, shouldn't be, are allowed to be, and don't have to be: the contents of prescriptive gender stereotypes. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 26(4), 269–281. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-6402.t011-00066>
- Preston, S. D., & Hofelich, A. J. (2012). The many faces of Empathy: parsing empathic phenomena through a Proximate, Dynamic-Systems View of representing the other in the self. *Emotion Review*, 4(1), 24–33. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1754073911421378>
- Ptacek, J. T., Smith, R. E., & Zanas, J. (1992). Gender, Appraisal, and coping: a longitudinal analysis. *Journal of Personality*, 60(4), 747–770. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.1992.tb00272.x>
- Rahimi, B., Baetz, M., Bowen, R., & Balbuena, L. (2014). Resilience, stress, and coping among canadian medical students. *Canadian Medical Education Journal*, 5(1), e5–e12. <https://doi.org/10.36834/cmiej.36689>
- Ramkumar, S., Rakshita, C., Elizabeth, J., Mathews, J., Prakash, V., & Sharma, R. (2011). *Coping Ability of Medical and Nursing Students: A Cause of Concern*. 10(2), 18.
- Rawlings, M., & Findlay, B. (2016). The development and validation of the humor at work (HAW) scale. *HUMOR*, 29(1), <https://doi.org/10.1515/humor-2015-0097>
- Rotenstein, L. S., Ramos, M. A., Torre, M., Segal, J. B., Peluso, M. J., Guille, C., Sen, S., & Mata, D. A. (2016). Prevalence of Depression, depressive symptoms, and suicidal ideation among medical students: a systematic review and Meta-analysis. *Journal Of The American Medical Association*, 316(21), 2214. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.17324>
- Roth, L. M., & Kroll, J. C. (2007). Risky Business: assessing risk preference explanations for gender differences in religiosity. *American Sociological Review*, 72(2), 205–220. <https://doi.org/10.1177/000312240707200204>
- Salam, A., Harith, A. A. A., Abdullah, N., Shan, C. P., Rahman, A. B. A., & Mahadevan, R. (2019). Coping strategies among first and third Year Medical students in a malaysian Public University. *Journal of Medicine*, 20(1), 5–11. <https://doi.org/10.3329/jom.v20i1.38813>
- Salvarani, V., Ardenghi, S., Rampoldi, G., Bani, M., Cannata, P., Ausili, D., Di Mauro, S., & Strepparava, M. G. (2020). Predictors of psychological distress among nursing students: a multicenter cross-sectional study. *Nurse Education in Practice*, 44, 102758. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2020.102758>
- Salvarani, V., Rampoldi, G., Ardenghi, S., Bani, M., Blasi, P., Ausili, D., Di Mauro, S., & Strepparava, M. G. (2019). Protecting emergency room nurses from burnout: the role of dispositional mindfulness, emotion regulation and empathy. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 27(4), 765–774. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jonm.12771>
- Santen, S. A., Holt, D. B., Kemp, J. D., & Hemphill, R. R. (2010). Burnout in medical students: examining the prevalence and Associated factors. *Southern Medical Journal*, 103(8), 758–763. <https://doi.org/10.1097/SMJ.0b013e3181e6d6d4>
- Shaikh, B., Kahloon, A., Kazmi, M., Khalid, H., Nawaz, K., Khan, N., & Khan, S. (2004). Students, stress and coping strategies: a case of Pakistani Medical School. *Education for Health: Change in Learning & Practice*, 17(3), 346–353. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13576280400002585>
- Shamay-Tsoory, S. G., Aharon-Peretz, J., & Perry, D. (2009). Two systems for empathy: a double dissociation between emotional and cognitive empathy in inferior frontal gyrus versus ventromedial prefrontal lesions. *Brain*, 132(3), 617–627. <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awn279>
- Shanafelt, T. D., Balch, C. M., Bechamps, G., Russell, T., Dyrbye, L., Satele, D., Collicott, P., Novotny, P. J., Sloan, J., & Freischlag, J. (2010). Burnout and medical errors among american surgeons. *Annals of Surgery*, 251(6), 995–1000. <https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181bfdab3>
- Sica, C., Magni, C., Ghisi, M., Altoé, G., Sighinolfi, C., Chiri, L. R., & Franceschini, S. (2008). *Coping Orientation to Problems Experienced—Nuova Versione Italiana (COPE-NVI): Uno strumento per la misura degli stili di coping*. 14(1), 27–53.
- Singer, T., & Lamm, C. (2009). The Social Neuroscience of Empathy. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1156(1), 81–96. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2009.04418.x>
- Singh, S., Prakash, J., Das, R., & Srivastava, K. (2016). A cross-sectional assessment of stress, coping, and burnout in the final-year medical undergraduate students. *Industrial Psychiatry Journal*, 25(2), 179. https://doi.org/10.4103/ipj.ipj_68_16
- Smith, A. (2006). Cognitive Empathy and Emotional Empathy in Human Behavior and Evolution. *The Psychological Record*, 56(1), 3–21. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03395534>
- Strepparava, M. G., Bani, M., & Rezzonico, G. (2017a). New issues in cognitive counseling: counseling skills in health care. *Counseling and coaching in Times of Crisis and Transition* (pp. 28–43). Routledge.
- Strepparava, M. G., Bani, M., Zorzi, F., Mazza, U., Barile, F., & Rezzonico, G. (2017b). Does the severity of psychopathology of italian students receiving counselling services increase over time? A 5-year analysis and a comparison with a clinical and non-clinical sample. *Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy*, 24(6), O1448–O1454. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpp.2096>
- Suziedelis, A., & Potvin, R. H. (1981). *Sex differences in factors affecting religiosity among Catholic adolescents*. 38–51.
- Thompson, G., McBride, R. B., Hosford, C. C., & Halaas, G. (2016). Resilience among medical students: the role of coping style and social support. *Teaching and Learning in Medicine*, 28(2), 174–182. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10401334.2016.1146611>
- West, C. P., Huschka, M. M., Novotny, P. J., Sloan, J. A., Kolars, J. C., Habermann, T. M., & Shanafelt, T. D. (2006). Association of Perceived medical errors with Resident Distress and Empathy: a prospective longitudinal study. *Journal Of The American Medical Association*, 296(9), 1071. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.296.9.1071>
- Wijndaele, K., Matton, L., Duvinneaud, N., Lefevre, J., De Bourdeaudhuij, I., Duquet, W., Thomis, M., & Philippaerts, R. M. (2007). *Association between leisure time physical activity and stress, social support and coping: A cluster-analytical approach*. 8(4), 425–440.
- Zheng, S., Masuda, T., Matsunaga, M., Noguchi, Y., Ohtsubo, Y., Yamasue, H., & Ishii, K. (2021). Cultural differences in social support seeking: the mediating role of empathic concern. *PLOS ONE*, 16(12), e0262001. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262001>
- Zhou, Y. C., Tan, S. R., Tan, C. G. H., Ng, M. S. P., Lim, K. H., Tan, L. H. E., Ong, Y. T., Cheong, C. W. S., Chin, A. M. C., Chiam, M., Chia, E. W. Y., Lim, C., Wijaya, L., Chowdhury, A. R., Kwek, J. W., Fong, W., Somasundaram, N., Ong, E. K., Mason, S., & Krishna, L. K. R. (2021). A systematic scoping review of approaches to teaching and assessing empathy in medicine.

BMC Medical Education, 21(1), 292. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-021-02697-6>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.

Terms and Conditions

Springer Nature journal content, brought to you courtesy of Springer Nature Customer Service Center GmbH (“Springer Nature”).

Springer Nature supports a reasonable amount of sharing of research papers by authors, subscribers and authorised users (“Users”), for small-scale personal, non-commercial use provided that all copyright, trade and service marks and other proprietary notices are maintained. By accessing, sharing, receiving or otherwise using the Springer Nature journal content you agree to these terms of use (“Terms”). For these purposes, Springer Nature considers academic use (by researchers and students) to be non-commercial.

These Terms are supplementary and will apply in addition to any applicable website terms and conditions, a relevant site licence or a personal subscription. These Terms will prevail over any conflict or ambiguity with regards to the relevant terms, a site licence or a personal subscription (to the extent of the conflict or ambiguity only). For Creative Commons-licensed articles, the terms of the Creative Commons license used will apply.

We collect and use personal data to provide access to the Springer Nature journal content. We may also use these personal data internally within ResearchGate and Springer Nature and as agreed share it, in an anonymised way, for purposes of tracking, analysis and reporting. We will not otherwise disclose your personal data outside the ResearchGate or the Springer Nature group of companies unless we have your permission as detailed in the Privacy Policy.

While Users may use the Springer Nature journal content for small scale, personal non-commercial use, it is important to note that Users may not:

1. use such content for the purpose of providing other users with access on a regular or large scale basis or as a means to circumvent access control;
2. use such content where to do so would be considered a criminal or statutory offence in any jurisdiction, or gives rise to civil liability, or is otherwise unlawful;
3. falsely or misleadingly imply or suggest endorsement, approval, sponsorship, or association unless explicitly agreed to by Springer Nature in writing;
4. use bots or other automated methods to access the content or redirect messages
5. override any security feature or exclusionary protocol; or
6. share the content in order to create substitute for Springer Nature products or services or a systematic database of Springer Nature journal content.

In line with the restriction against commercial use, Springer Nature does not permit the creation of a product or service that creates revenue, royalties, rent or income from our content or its inclusion as part of a paid for service or for other commercial gain. Springer Nature journal content cannot be used for inter-library loans and librarians may not upload Springer Nature journal content on a large scale into their, or any other, institutional repository.

These terms of use are reviewed regularly and may be amended at any time. Springer Nature is not obligated to publish any information or content on this website and may remove it or features or functionality at our sole discretion, at any time with or without notice. Springer Nature may revoke this licence to you at any time and remove access to any copies of the Springer Nature journal content which have been saved.

To the fullest extent permitted by law, Springer Nature makes no warranties, representations or guarantees to Users, either express or implied with respect to the Springer nature journal content and all parties disclaim and waive any implied warranties or warranties imposed by law, including merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose.

Please note that these rights do not automatically extend to content, data or other material published by Springer Nature that may be licensed from third parties.

If you would like to use or distribute our Springer Nature journal content to a wider audience or on a regular basis or in any other manner not expressly permitted by these Terms, please contact Springer Nature at

onlineservice@springernature.com