# Pseudo-Kähler and pseudo-Sasaki structures on Einstein solvmanifolds 

Diego Conti ${ }^{1}$. Federico Alberto Rossi ${ }^{3}$. Romeo Segnan Dalmasso ${ }^{2}$

Received: 14 July 2022 / Accepted: 6 March 2023 / Published online: 24 April 2023
© The Author(s) 2023


#### Abstract

The aim of this paper is to construct left-invariant Einstein pseudo-Riemannian Sasaki metrics on solvable Lie groups. We consider the class of $\mathfrak{z}$-standard Sasaki solvable Lie algebras of dimension $2 n+3$, which are in one-to-one correspondence with pseudo-Kähler nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension $2 n$ endowed with a compatible derivation, in a suitable sense. We characterize the pseudo-Kähler structures and derivations giving rise to Sasaki-Einstein metrics. We classify $\mathfrak{z}$-standard Sasaki solvable Lie algebras of dimension $\leq 7$ and those whose pseudo-Kähler reduction is an abelian Lie algebra. The Einstein metrics we obtain are standard, but not of pseudo-Iwasawa type.
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## Introduction

An effective method to construct Einstein metrics is by considering invariant metrics on a solvmanifold obtained by extending a suitable metric on a nilpotent Lie group of codimension one. Indeed, in the Riemannian case, Einstein solvmanifolds are described by a standard solvable Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of Iwasawa type ( $[22,26]$ ). In particular, this means that $\mathfrak{g}$ admits an orthogonal decomposition $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathfrak{g} \rtimes \mathfrak{a}$, with $\mathfrak{g}$ nilpotent, $\mathfrak{a}$ abelian and ad $X$ self-adjoint

[^0]whenever $X$ is in $\mathfrak{a}$. Furthermore, the restriction of the metric to $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfies the so-called nilsoliton equation ([25]).

Things are more complicated in the indefinite case (see e.g. [14]), but it is still possible to construct Einstein solvmanifolds by extending a nilsoliton; indeed, there is a correspondence between nilsolitons and a class of Einstein solvmanifolds for which $\mathfrak{\mathfrak { g }}$ admits a decomposition as above, called a pseudo-Iwasawa decomposition (see [15]).

In the non-invariant setting, Einstein metrics are often studied in the presence of additional structures, such as a Killing spinor or a restriction on the holonomy (see, e.g., [2, 3]). It is then natural to ask whether Einstein metrics compatible with such special structures can be obtained in the invariant setting too.

This paper is focused on Sasaki metrics. More precisely, we consider a class of left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian Sasaki-Einstein metrics on solvable Lie groups. SasakiEinstein metrics admit a Killing spinor (see [20]) and may be viewed as the odd-dimensional counterpart of Kähler-Einstein geometry. We showed in [12] that Sasaki Lie algebras can never be of pseudo-Iwasawa type, regardless of whether they are Einstein; therefore, SasakiEinstein solvmanifolds cannot be obtained by extending a nilsoliton.

We therefore consider a different construction, represented pictorially in Fig. 1; we illustrate it in the invariant case, though it holds more generally.

Suppose that $\widetilde{G}$ is a solvable Lie group with a left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian Sasaki structure and $X$ is a left-invariant, non-null Killing field preserving the structure; one can then consider the moment map $\widetilde{\mu}$ and the contact reduction $\widetilde{G} / / X$. Suppose that the zero-level set $G$ of the moment map is a nilpotent Lie subgroup, and $X$ is in the center of its Lie algebra; then, the contact reduction $\widetilde{G} / / X$ is also a Lie group with a left-invariant Sasaki structure. Notice that we do not assume that $X$ is in the center of the Lie algebra of $\widetilde{G}$; therefore, the quotient $\widetilde{G} / \mathcal{F}_{X}$, which we omitted in the diagram, is not necessarily a Lie group.

Assuming that the Reeb vector field $\xi$ is central, we have that the quotient by the Reeb foliation $\widetilde{G} / \mathcal{F}_{\xi}$ is also a Lie group, with a left-invariant pseudo-Kähler structure. Then, its symplectic reduction is a pseudo-Kähler nilpotent Lie group $\check{G}$, which can also be described as the quotient of the contact reduction $\widetilde{G} / / X$ by the Reeb foliation. In accord with [12], we call $\check{G}$ the Kähler reduction of $\widetilde{G}$. Notice that $\check{G}$ is Ricci-flat; this is a general property of pseudo-Kähler nilpotent Lie groups (see [19]).

Our aim is to obtain Sasaki-Einstein solvmanifolds by inverting the diagram of Fig. 1. The straight arrows have natural inverses: one takes a circle bundle with curvature determined by the Kähler form or $d X^{\text {b }}$ (see [21]). By contrast, the curly arrows are not bijections in general: if $\nabla X^{b}=d X^{b}$ is known, only the metric on $\mu^{-1}(0)$ and the second fundamental form are determined, but this does not determine the metric on the full group $\widetilde{G}$, for general Sasaki metrics. However, the metric is determined by its restriction to the hypersurface and its second fundamental form if one requires $\widetilde{G}$ to be Einstein, according to [24].

More explicitly, symplectic reduction can be inverted as follows. The pseudo-Kähler Lie group $\check{G}$ is endowed with a closed ( 1,1 )-form $\gamma$, corresponding to $d X^{b}$. We can describe $\widetilde{G} / \mathcal{F}_{\xi}$ as the product of a circle bundle with curvature $\gamma=d \theta$ and a line, endowed with the complex structure for which (1,0)-forms are generated by the pull-back of $(1,0)$-forms on $\breve{G}$ along with $d r+i e^{2 r} \theta$ and the Kähler form

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{2 r} \omega-d r \wedge\left(e^{2 r} \theta\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega$ denotes the Kähler form of $\check{G}$. It turns out that this can be written as a left-invariant metric on a solvable Lie group $\widetilde{G} / \mathcal{F}_{\xi}$.


Fig. 1 Contact and symplectic reduction of a Sasaki Lie group $\widetilde{G}$. Dimension increases going up, from $\operatorname{dim} \breve{G}=$ $2 n$ at the bottom to $\operatorname{dim} \widetilde{G}=2 n+3$ at the top. The arrows represent a determines-type relation; straight arrows are used for quotients (bundles), and curly arrows for other constructions, namely symplectic/contact quotient and extraction of a level set of the moment maps $\mu$ and $\tilde{\mu}$

In our invariant setup, the hypersurface $G$ in $\widetilde{G}$ corresponds to a nilpotent ideal of codimension one in a solvable Lie algebra, and the construction can be studied with the language of standard Lie algebras. However, rather than the Lie algebras of (pseudo-)Iwasawa type studied in [15, 22], we need to consider a different class, that we introduced in [12] under the name of $\mathfrak{z}$-standard Sasaki Lie algebras. This condition means that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is endowed with a Sasaki structure $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ such that $\mathfrak{g}$ takes the form of an orthogonal semidirect product $\mathfrak{g} \rtimes \operatorname{Span}\left\{e_{0}\right\}$, with $\phi\left(e_{0}\right)$ a central element of $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to the vector field $X$ in Fig. 1 (see Sect. 1 for the precise definitions). It then becomes possible to characterize and study the construction of Fig. 1 in purely algebraic terms. In particular, we showed in [12] that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of the Kähler reduction comes endowed with a derivation $\check{D}$ satisfying certain conditions; in Fig. 1, $\check{D}$ determines $\widetilde{G} / \mathcal{F}_{\xi, X}$ as a semidirect product $\check{G} \rtimes \mathbb{R}$.

In this paper, we specialize this construction to the Sasaki-Einstein case. As a first step, we introduce a generalization of the nilsoliton condition that enables one to construct Einstein solvmanifolds, which are not of pseudo-Iwasawa type, by taking a semidirect product with $\mathbb{R}$; these metrics are not necessarily Sasaki (Proposition 2.1). We then characterize $\mathfrak{z}$-standard Sasaki-Einstein solvable Lie algebras in terms of their Kähler reduction $\mathfrak{g}$, showing that the symmetric part of the derivation $\check{D}$ is the identity and preserves the pseudo-Kähler structure. This implies that $\check{D}$ lies in the Lie algebra $\operatorname{Der} \check{\mathfrak{g}} \cap \mathfrak{c u}(p, q)$, where $\mathfrak{c u}(p, q)=\mathfrak{u}(p, q) \oplus$ Span \{Id\}.

In the opposite direction, as sketched in Fig. 2, we show that any pseudo-Kähler nilpotent Lie algebra with a derivation $\check{D}$ whose symmetric part is the identity induces:

- an Einstein metric on $\check{\mathfrak{g}} \rtimes_{\check{D}} \mathbb{R}$, corresponding to $\widetilde{G} / \mathcal{F}_{\xi, X}$ in Fig. 1;
- a pseudo-Kähler-Einstein metric with positive curvature on a solvable double extension $\widetilde{\mathfrak{k}}$, corresponding to $\widetilde{G} / \mathcal{F}_{\xi}$. Geometrically, this corresponds to setting $\gamma=2 \omega$ and applying the ansatz (1). Notice that if one flips a sign in (1) and writes $e^{2 r} \omega+e^{2 r} d r \wedge \theta$, one obtains the construction of a (Riemannian) Kähler-Einstein metric with negative curvature on the bundle over a Kähler Ricci-flat manifold given in [4, § 11.8] (see also [31, Equation (3.20)] and [5, Theorem 9.129]).
- a Sasaki-Einstein metric on a central extension of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{k}}$, corresponding to $\widetilde{G}$ in the diagram. Notice that having chosen the metric with positive curvature on $\widetilde{\mathfrak{k}}$ is essential for this step, due to the constraints on the space of leaves of a Sasaki-Einstein manifold.
We then show that any two choices of $\check{D}$ on $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ with symmetric part equal to the identity determine isometric Einstein extensions; the isometry is at the level of solvmanifolds as pseudo-Riemannian manifolds, and it does not preserve the Lie algebra structure (Theorem 4.1).

It turns out that $\operatorname{Der} \check{\mathfrak{g}} \cap \mathfrak{c u}(p, q)$ contains elements $\check{D}$ with symmetric part equal to the identity if and only if it contains an element with nonzero trace; in that case, we show that the Lie algebra contains a canonical choice of $D$. This canonical element is obtained by adapting a construction of Nikolayevsky. Indeed, we fix an algebraic subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g l}(m, \mathbb{R})$ and define the $\mathfrak{h}$-Nikolayevsky derivation on a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with a $H$-structure as the unique semisimple derivation $N$ in $\mathfrak{h} \cap$ Der $\mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{tr}(\psi N)=\operatorname{tr} \psi, \quad \psi \in \mathfrak{h} \cap \operatorname{Der} \mathfrak{g} .
$$

For $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{g l}(m, \mathbb{R})$, one obtains the Nikolayevsky derivation introduced in [28], and for $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{c o}(p, q)$ the metric Nikolayevsky derivation of [11]. Existence and uniqueness of the $\mathfrak{h}$-Nikolayevsky derivation is proved similarly as in these particular cases (Proposition 2.7).

The relevant situation for this paper is the $\mathfrak{c u}(p, q)$-Nikolayevsky derivation of a pseudo-Kähler Lie algebra, which turns out to have rational eigenvalues, like the ordinary Nikolayevsky derivation. Thus, we see that the element of $\operatorname{Der} \mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{c u}(p, q)$ that determines the Sasaki-Einstein extension can be assumed to be diagonalizable over $\mathbb{Q}$ (Proposition 2.8). The existence of a nonzero $\mathfrak{c u}(p, q)$-Nikolayevsky derivation guarantees that there is a standard Einstein extension, corresponding to $\widetilde{G} / \mathcal{F}_{\xi, X}$ in Fig. 1.

The characterization of $\mathfrak{z}$-standard Sasaki-Einstein solvmanifolds in terms of their Kähler reduction allows us to classify all $\mathfrak{z}$-standard Sasaki-Einstein solvmanifolds of dimension 7 (Theorem 4.4). In addition, we are able to write down all $\mathfrak{z}$-standard Sasaki-Einstein solvmanifolds that reduce to a pseudo-Kähler $\mathfrak{g}$ which is abelian as a Lie algebra (Corollary 4.2). This includes all Lorentzian $\mathfrak{z}$-standard Sasaki-Einstein solvmanifolds, for which $\mathfrak{g}$ is forced to be a nilpotent Kähler Lie algebra, hence abelian.

In particular, our results give rise to explicit pseudo-Kähler-Einstein and Sasaki-Einstein solvmanifolds in all dimensions $\geq 4$ (Theorem 4.4, Remark 4.5). These metrics are not Ricciflat, which is a general fact for Sasaki-Einstein metrics and their Kähler-Einstein quotients.

We point out that our Sasaki-Einstein metrics are examples of Einstein standard solvmanifolds that are not isometric to any Einstein solvmanifold of pseudo-Iwasawa type. This is in sharp contrast to the Riemannian case, where [22] shows that all standard Einstein solvmanifolds are of Iwasawa type up to isometry.

We also show with an example that not all pseudo-Kähler Lie algebras can be extended to $\mathfrak{a z}$-standard Sasaki-Einstein Lie algebra (Example 4.6).

## 1 Preliminaries: structures on Lie algebras

In this section, we introduce some general language relevant to the study of Sasaki-Einstein metrics, specialized to the invariant setting, and recall some results that will be needed in the sequel.

Given a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of dimension $m$, we can think of a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ as a frame $\mathbb{R}^{m} \cong \mathfrak{g}$. There is a natural right action of $\mathrm{GL}(m, \mathbb{R})$ on the set of frames. Given a subgroup $H \subset \operatorname{GL}(m, \mathbb{R})$,
we will say that a $H$-structure on $\mathfrak{g}$ is a $H$-orbit in the space of frames. Given any frame $u$, the identification $u: \mathbb{R}^{m} \cong \mathfrak{g}$ induces a left action of $H$ on $\mathfrak{g}$. This induces an inclusion map $H \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ that depends on the frame $u$, but the image of the inclusion only depends on the $H$-structure. Accordingly, whenever we have a $H$-structure on $\mathfrak{g}$, we will write $H \subset \operatorname{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$, $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g l ( g )}$.

It is clear that a $H$-structure on a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ induces a left-invariant $H$-structure, in the usual sense, on any Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

An almost contact structure on a $(2 n+1)$-dimensional Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a triple $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$, where $\phi$ is a linear map from $\mathfrak{g}$ to itself, $\xi$ is an element of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \eta$ is in $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{*}$ and

$$
\eta(\xi)=1, \quad \eta \circ \phi=0, \quad \phi^{2}=-\mathrm{Id}+\eta \otimes \xi .
$$

Given a non-degenerate scalar product $g$ on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, the quadruple $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is called an almost contact metric structure if ( $\phi, \xi, \eta$ ) is an almost contact structure and

$$
g(\xi, \xi)=1, \quad \eta=\xi^{b}, \quad g(\phi X, \phi Y)=g(X, Y)-\eta(X) \eta(Y),
$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. One then defines a two-form $\Phi$ by $\Phi(X, Y)=g(X, \phi Y)$.
Given an almost contact metric structure of signature $(2 p+1,2 q)$ on $\mathfrak{g}$, one can find a frame $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 n+1}$ with dual basis $\left\{e^{i}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
g= & e^{1} \otimes e^{1}+\ldots+e^{2 p} \otimes e^{2 p}-e^{2 p+1} \otimes e^{2 p+1}-\cdots-e^{2 p+2 q} \otimes e^{2 p+2 q} \\
& +e^{2 p+2 q+1} \otimes e^{2 p+2 q+1} \\
\eta= & e^{2 p+2 q+1}, \quad \Phi=e^{12}+\ldots+e^{2 p-1,2 p}-e^{2 p+1,2 p+2}-\ldots-e^{2 p+2 q-1,2 p+2 q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The common stabilizer of these tensors in $\mathrm{GL}(2 p+2 q+1, \mathbb{R})$ is $\mathrm{U}(p, q)$. Thus, an almost contact metric structure on a Lie algebra can be viewed as a $\mathrm{U}(p, q)$-structure.

An almost contact metric structure is called Sasaki if $N_{\phi}+d \eta \otimes \xi=0$ and $d \eta=2 \Phi$, where $N_{\phi}$ denotes the Nijenhuis tensor.

These definitions mimic analogous definitions for structures on manifolds (see, e.g., [7, 8]). It is clear that a Sasaki structure on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ defines a left-invariant almost Sasaki structure on any Lie group $\widetilde{G}$ with Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ by left translation. In particular, $g$ defines a pseudoRiemannian metric on $\widetilde{G}$. We shall also refer to $g$ as a metric on $\tilde{g}$ and define its Levi-Civita connection, curvature and so on in terms of the corresponding objects on $\widetilde{G}$.

Sasaki structures are an odd-dimensional analogue of (pseudo)-Kähler structures. In our invariant setting, a pseudo-Kähler structure on a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is a triple $(g, J, \omega)$, where $g$ is a pseudo-Riemannian metric, $J: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is an almost complex structure satisfying the compatibility condition $g(J X, J Y)=g(X, Y)$, and $\omega(X, Y)=g(X, J Y)$, where one further imposes that $N_{J}=0$ and $d \omega=0$.

Having fixed the metric, for any endomorphism $f: \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ we write $f=f^{s}+f^{a}$, where $f^{s}$ is symmetric and $f^{a}$ is skew-symmetric relative to the metric, i.e.

$$
f^{s}=\frac{1}{2}\left(f+f^{*}\right), \quad f^{a}=\frac{1}{2}\left(f-f^{*}\right) .
$$

With this notation, the Levi-Civita connection is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{w} v=-\operatorname{ad}(v)^{s} w-\frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{ad} w)^{*} v \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Ricci tensor can be written as:
$\left.\left.2 \operatorname{ric}(v, w)=-\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{ad}(v\lrcorner d w^{b}+w\right\lrcorner d v^{\mathrm{b}}\right)+g\left(d v^{b}, d w^{b}\right)-g(\operatorname{ad} v, \operatorname{ad} w)-\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{ad} v \circ \operatorname{ad} w)$,
see, e.g., [13, Lemma 1.1]. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is unimodular with Killing form equal to zero, this formula simplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \operatorname{ric}(v, w)=g\left(d v^{b}, d w^{b}\right)-g(\operatorname{ad} v, \operatorname{ad} w) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will need a result originally proved in [1] for Riemannian metrics and later adapted to standard indefinite metrics in [15], though the standard condition turned out not to be necessary (see [12]). The precise statement we are going to need is the following:
Proposition 1.1 ([1], [12]) Let $H$ be a subgroup of $\operatorname{SO}(r, s)$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{\mathfrak { g }}$ a Lie algebra of the form $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathfrak{g} \rtimes \mathfrak{a}$ endowed with a $H$-structure. Let $\chi: \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{g})$ be a Lie algebra homomorphism such that, extending $\chi(X)$ to $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ by declaring it to be zero on $\mathfrak{a}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(X)-\operatorname{ad} X \in \mathfrak{h}, \quad[\chi(X), \operatorname{ad} Y]=0, X, Y \in \mathfrak{a} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{*}$ be the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \rtimes_{\chi} \mathfrak{a}$. If $\widetilde{G}$ and $\widetilde{G}^{*}$ denote the connected, simply connected Lie groups with Lie algebras $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{*}$, with the corresponding left-invariant $H$-structures, there is an isometry from $\widetilde{G}$ to $\widetilde{G}^{*}$, whose differential at e is the identity of $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ as a vector space, mapping the $H$-structure on $\widetilde{G}$ into the $H$-structure on $\widetilde{G}^{*}$.

Proof For $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{s o}(r, s), \chi(X)-\operatorname{ad} X$ is skew-symmetric and the proof is identical to [[12], Proposition 2.2]. In general, one uses that $\chi(X)-\operatorname{ad} X$ is in $\mathfrak{h}$ to conclude that the action of $G^{*}$ on $\widetilde{G}$ preserves the $H$-structure.

We will say that two Lie algebras endowed with a $H$-structure are equivalent if there is an isometry between the corresponding simply connected Lie groups mapping one $H$-structure into the other.

Recall from [15] that a standard decomposition of the Lie algebra with a fixed metric is an orthogonal splitting

$$
\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathfrak{g} \rtimes^{\perp} \mathfrak{a}
$$

where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a nilpotent ideal and $\mathfrak{a}$ is an abelian subalgebra. This definition generalizes the definition given in [22] for positive-definite metrics.

In [12], we introduced a special class of standard Sasaki Lie algebras: if $\mathfrak{g}$ has both a Sasaki structure $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ and a standard decomposition of the form $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathfrak{g} \rtimes \operatorname{Span}\left\{e_{0}\right\}$, it is called $\mathfrak{z}$-standard if $\phi\left(e_{0}\right)$ lies in the center $\mathfrak{z}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. This means that the one-parameter group $\{\exp t b\}$, with $b=\phi\left(e_{0}\right)$, acts on the corresponding group in such a way that the contact quotient is still a Lie group; this implies that the pseudo-Kähler Lie group obtained by quotienting by the Reeb direction has a symplectic reduction which is a pseudo-Kähler Lie group, motivating the following:
Definition 1.2 Given a $\mathfrak{z}$-standard Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathfrak{g} \rtimes \operatorname{Span}\left\{e_{0}\right\}$ with Sasaki structure $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$, the quotient Lie algebra $\check{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathfrak{g} / \operatorname{Span}\{b, \xi\}$ with the metric induced by $g$ and complex structure induced by $\phi$ is called the Kähler reduction of $\mathfrak{g}$.

In fact, $\mathfrak{z}$-standard Sasaki Lie algebras can be characterized in terms of their Kähler reduction as follows (Corollary 4.4 and Proposition 5.1 in [12]):

Proposition 1.3 ([[12], Proposition 5.1 and Corollary 4.4]) Let ( $\mathfrak{g}, ~ J, \omega)$ be a pseudo-Kähler nilpotent Lie algebra. Let $\breve{D}$ be a derivation of $\breve{\mathfrak{g}}, \tau= \pm 1$, and $\mathfrak{g}=\check{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \operatorname{Span}\{b, \xi\}$ a central extension of $\mathfrak{g}$ with a metric of the form:

$$
g(x, y)=\check{g}(x, y), \quad g(x, b)=0=g(x, \xi), \quad g(\xi, \xi)=1, \quad g(b, b)=\tau, \quad g(b, \xi)=0,
$$

where $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. Assume furthermore

- $d \xi^{b}=2 \omega$, where the right-hand side is implicitly pulled back to $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$;
- $d b^{\mathrm{b}}=\check{D} \omega$, where the right-hand side is implicitly pulled back to $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$;
- $[J, \check{D}]=0$;
- $\left[\check{D}^{s}, \check{D}^{a}\right]=h \check{D}^{s}-2\left(\check{D}^{s}\right)^{2}$ for some constant $h$.

Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathfrak{g} \rtimes \operatorname{Span}\left\{e_{0}\right\}$, where

$$
\left[e_{0}, x\right]=\check{D} x, \quad\left[e_{0}, b\right]=h b-2 \tau \xi, \quad\left[e_{0}, \xi\right]=0
$$

then $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ has a $\mathfrak{z}$-standard Sasaki structure ( $\phi, \eta, \xi, \tilde{g}$ ) given by

$$
\tilde{g}=g+\tau e^{0} \otimes e^{0}, \quad \phi(x)=J(x)+\tau g(b, x) e_{0}, \quad \phi\left(e_{0}\right)=-b, \quad x \in \mathfrak{g} .
$$

Conversely, every $\mathfrak{z}$-standard Sasaki Lie algebra arises in this way.
Figure 2, which should be compared with Fig. 1, summarizes the Lie algebras appearing in Proposition 1.3 and their relations, alongside other related Lie algebras which will appear in the sequel of the paper, namely
$\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ a pseudo-Kähler nilpotent Lie algebra of dimension $2 n$;
$\mathfrak{g}_{\text {std }}$ a solvable standard extension of $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ by the derivation $\check{D}$, also a quotient of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{k}}$ by the noncentral one-dimensional ideal Span $\{b\}$;
$\mathfrak{k}$ a nilpotent central extension of $\mathfrak{g}$ by the cocycle $d b^{b}=\check{D} \omega$;
$\mathfrak{g}_{\sim}^{\circlearrowright}$ a nilpotent Sasaki central extension of $\mathfrak{g}$ by the cocycle $d \xi^{b}=2 \omega$;
$\widetilde{\mathfrak{k}}$ a solvable Kähler Lie algebra, which can be obtained as a standard extension of $\mathfrak{k}$ by the derivation $\check{D}+2 \tau b^{\mathrm{b}} \otimes b$;
$\mathfrak{g}$ a nilpotent central extension of $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ by $d b^{b}=\check{D} \omega$ and $d \xi^{b}=2 \omega$;
$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ a $\mathfrak{z}$-standard Sasaki Lie algebra of dimension $2 n+3$.
Remark 1.4 Composing a semidirect product with a central extension in such a way that the two new directions span an indefinite two-plane is a procedure known as double extension (see [27]). Our construction is different because the semidirect products and central extensions corresponding to vertical arrows in Fig. 2 have the effect of adding a definite two-plane.

It is well known that, given a Sasaki manifold $M$, the space of leaves of the Reeb foliation has a pseudo-Kähler structure (see [29]), and the Ricci tensor of the latter is determined by the Ricci tensor of $M$ (see [7, Theorem 7.3.12]). In our invariant setting, this fact takes the following form:

Proposition 1.5 Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra with a Sasaki structure $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$. Suppose $\mathfrak{g}$ has nonzero center. Then, $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})=\operatorname{Span}\{\xi\}$ and the quotient $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g} / \operatorname{Span}\{\xi\}$ has an induced pseudo-Kähler structure $(\breve{g}, J, \omega)$ with

$$
\widetilde{\text { ric }}=\operatorname{ric}+2 \check{g},
$$

where ric is restricted to $\xi^{\perp}$ implicitly.
Proof Any element of the center satisfies $v\lrcorner d \eta=0$, so it is a multiple of $\xi$. Thus, the kernel coincides with Span $\{\xi\}$.

As a vector space, we identify $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ with $\xi^{\perp}$, so that the metric $\check{g}$ is the restriction of $g$. The Lie algebra structure of $\mathfrak{g}$ is given by a projection, i.e.

$$
\operatorname{ad}(v)(w)=\widetilde{\operatorname{ad}}(v)(w)-d \eta(v, w) \xi .
$$



Fig. 2 Construction of a $\mathfrak{z}$-standard Sasaki Lie algebra from its Kähler reduction. Dimension increases going up, from $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}=2 n$ at the bottom to $\operatorname{dim} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}=2 n+3$ at the top

Therefore,

$$
\left.\operatorname{ad}(v)^{*}(w)=\widetilde{\operatorname{ad}}(v)^{*}(w), \quad \operatorname{ad}(v)^{*}(\xi)=-(v\lrcorner d \eta\right)^{\sharp} .
$$

Then, from equation (2), the Levi-Civita connections $\nabla, \check{\nabla}$ are related by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{w} v=-\operatorname{ad}(v)^{s}(w)-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{ad}(w)^{*}(v) \\
&=-\widetilde{\operatorname{ad}}(v)^{s}(w)+\frac{1}{2} d \eta(v, w) \xi-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{ad}(w)^{*}(v)=\check{\nabla}_{w} v+\frac{1}{2} d \eta(v, w) \xi \\
&\left.\nabla_{w} \xi=-\operatorname{ad}(w)^{s}(\xi)-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{ad}(\xi)^{*}(w)=\frac{1}{2}(w\lrcorner d \eta\right)^{\sharp} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\alpha \in \operatorname{Ann}(\xi)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\nabla_{w} \alpha\right)(v)=-\alpha\left(\nabla_{w} v\right)=-\alpha\left(\check{\nabla}_{w} v\right)=\left(\check{\nabla}_{w} \alpha\right)(v), \\
& \left.\left(\nabla_{w} \alpha\right)(\xi)=-\alpha\left(\nabla_{w} \xi\right)=-\frac{1}{2} g(w\lrcorner d \eta, \alpha\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The Sasaki condition implies

$$
\nabla_{v} d \eta=2 \eta \wedge v^{b}
$$

so $\check{\nabla}_{v} d \eta=0$. This implies that $d \eta$ defines a pseudo-Kähler structure on $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$.
The exterior derivative $\check{d}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ can be identified with the restriction of $d$. By (3), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \operatorname{ric}(v, w)= & \left.\left.-\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{ad}(v\lrcorner d w^{b}+w\right\lrcorner d v^{b}\right)+g\left(d v^{b}, d w^{b}\right)-g(\operatorname{ad} v, \operatorname{ad} w)-\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{ad} v \circ \operatorname{ad} w) \\
= & \left.\left.-\operatorname{tr} \widetilde{\operatorname{ad}}(v\lrcorner \check{d} w^{b}+w\right\lrcorner \check{d} v^{b}\right)+g\left(\breve{d} v^{b}, \breve{d} w^{b}\right) \\
& -\underset{\operatorname{gad} v, \widetilde{\operatorname{ad}} w)-g(v\lrcorner d \eta, w\lrcorner d \eta)-\widetilde{\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{ad} v \circ \operatorname{ad} w)}}{=}=2 \operatorname{2ric}(v, w)-g(2 \phi(v), 2 \phi(w))=2 \operatorname{ric}(v, w)-4 g(v, w) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 1.6 Every Sasaki metric on a manifold of dimension $2 n+1$ satisfies $\operatorname{Ric}(\xi)=2 n \xi$. Accordingly, the space of leaves of a Sasaki-Einstein manifolds satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\text { ric }}=(2 n+2) \check{g} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, every Kähler-Einstein manifold with positive scalar curvature, suitably normalized so as to satisfy (6), gives rise to a Sasaki-Einstein manifold in one dimension higher.

We recall the following fact from [19]:
Lemma 1.7 [19, Lemma 6.3] Pseudo-Kähler metrics on nilpotent Lie algebras are Ricci-flat.
Proof On a simply connected manifold, it is well known that pseudo-Kähler metrics have holonomy contained in $\mathrm{U}(p, q)$; Ricci-flatness is equivalent to holonomy being contained in $\mathrm{SU}(p, q)$, i.e., the existence of a closed complex volume form.

In the case of a nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, the complex volume form is unique up to multiple; the fact that it is closed can be proved using the methods of [33], or directly as follows.

Let $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}$ be a complex frame of vectors of type $(1,0)$, and let $\theta^{1}, \ldots, \theta^{n}$ be the dual coframe of $(1,0)$-forms. Relative to the splitting $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}=\mathfrak{g}^{1,0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{0,1}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{ad} \bar{\theta}_{k}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
f_{k} & 0 \\
* & *
\end{array}\right), \quad k=1, \ldots, n,
$$

where $f_{k}: \mathfrak{g}^{1,0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ is nilpotent and hence trace-free. Therefore, for all $k$ we have

$$
\left.\bar{\theta}_{k}\right\lrcorner d\left(\theta^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta^{n}\right)=-\operatorname{tr}\left(f_{k}\right) \theta^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta^{n}=0
$$

implying that the complex volume form $\theta^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta^{n}$ is closed.
Proposition 1.8 There is no nilpotent Sasaki-Einstein Lie algebra.
Proof Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a nilpotent Lie algebra with a Sasaki-Einstein structure. We know that its center is spanned by $\xi$. By Proposition 1.5 , the quotient $\mathfrak{g} / \operatorname{Span}\{\xi\}$ is pseudo-Kähler and Einstein with positive scalar curvature. Since it is also nilpotent, it must be Ricci-flat by Lemma 1.7, which is absurd.

Another link between Sasaki-Einstein and Kähler-Einstein geometry is given by the following:

Proposition 1.9 ([7, Corollary 11.1.8]) Let $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be an almost contact pseudoRiemannian metric structure on a manifold $M$ of dimension $2 n+1$. The following are equivalent:

1. $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is Sasaki-Einstein;
2. the cone $\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} \times M, J, \omega\right)$ is pseudo-Kähler and Ricci-flat.

## 2 Einstein standard Lie algebras

In this section, we study the Einstein condition on standard Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g} \rtimes \operatorname{Span}\left\{e_{0}\right\}$, without assuming the pseudo-Iwasawa condition (see [[12], Proposition 2.6]). We write down the conditions that the induced metric $g$ and the derivation $D=\operatorname{ad} e_{0}$ must satisfy, generalizing the nilsoliton equation. In particular, the conditions are satisfied if $g$ is Ricci-flat and the symmetric part of $D$ is an appropriate multiple of the identity.

We then recall and generalize the construction of the Nikolayevsky and metric Nikolayevsky derivation ([11,28]). We show that a nilpotent Lie algebra admits a standard Einstein extension with the symmetric part of $D$ equal to a multiple of the identity if and only if it
is Ricci-flat and the metric Nikolayevsky derivation is nonzero. In this case, the extension is unique up to isometry.

Recall that given endomorphisms $f, g$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$
g(f, g)=\operatorname{tr}\left(f g^{*}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(f\left(g^{s}-g^{a}\right)\right)
$$

Proposition 2.1 Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a nilpotent Lie algebra with a pseudo-Riemannian metric $g, D$ a derivation and $\tau= \pm 1$. Then, the metric $\widetilde{g}=g+\tau e^{0} \otimes e^{0}$ on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathfrak{g} \rtimes_{D} \operatorname{Span}\left\{e_{0}\right\}$ is Einstein if and only if

$$
\operatorname{Ric}=\tau\left(-\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(D^{s}\right)^{2}\right) \operatorname{Id}-\frac{1}{2}\left[D, D^{*}\right]+(\operatorname{tr} D) D^{s}\right), \quad \operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{ad} v \circ D^{*}\right)=0, v \in \mathfrak{g}
$$

in this case, ric $=-\tau \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(D^{S}\right)^{2}\right) \tilde{g}$.
Proof By [15, Proposition 1.10], we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\operatorname{ric}}(v, w) & =\operatorname{ric}(v, w)+\tau \widetilde{g}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left[D, D^{*}\right](v), w\right)-\tau(\operatorname{tr} D) \widetilde{g}\left(D^{s}(v), w\right) \\
\widetilde{\operatorname{ric}}\left(v, e_{0}\right) & =\frac{1}{2} \widetilde{g}(\operatorname{ad} v, D) \\
\widetilde{\operatorname{ric}}\left(e_{0}, e_{0}\right) & =-\frac{1}{2} \widetilde{g}(D, D)-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} D^{2}=-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} D\left(D^{s}-D^{a}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} D\left(D^{s}+D^{a}\right) \\
& =-\operatorname{tr} D D^{s}=-\operatorname{tr}\left(D^{s}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the Einstein condition $\widetilde{\text { Ric }}=\lambda$ Id holds if and only if

$$
\lambda \operatorname{Id}=\operatorname{Ric}+\frac{1}{2} \tau\left[D, D^{*}\right]-\tau(\operatorname{tr} D) D^{s}, \quad \operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{ad} v \circ D^{*}\right)=0, \quad \lambda=-\tau \operatorname{tr}\left(D^{s}\right)^{2} .
$$

Remark 2.2 If $h=-g$, then $h, g$ have the same Ricci tensor and opposite Ricci operators; the operators $D \mapsto D^{*}$ and $D \mapsto D^{s}$ are identical. Therefore, if $g$ satisfies

$$
\operatorname{Ric}^{g}=\tau\left(-\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(D^{s}\right)^{2}\right) \operatorname{Id}-\frac{1}{2}\left[D, D^{*}\right]+(\operatorname{tr} D) D^{s}\right), \quad \operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{ad} v \circ D^{*}\right)=0, v \in \mathfrak{g}
$$

then

$$
\operatorname{Ric}^{h}=(-\tau)\left(-\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(D^{s}\right)^{2}\right) \operatorname{Id}-\frac{1}{2}\left[D, D^{*}\right]+(\operatorname{tr} D) D^{s}\right), \quad \operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{ad} v \circ D^{*}\right)=0, v \in \mathfrak{g}
$$

This amounts to the fact that $g+\tau e^{0} \otimes e^{0}$ is Einstein if and only if so is $h-\tau e^{0} \otimes e^{0}$.
Remark 2.3 We can write

$$
\left[D, D^{*}\right]=\left[D^{a}+D^{s},-D^{a}+D^{s}\right]=2\left[D^{a}, D^{s}\right] .
$$

It turns out that the condition that $\operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{ad} v \circ D^{*}\right)$ vanish can be eschewed under a suitable assumption on the eigenvalues of $D$ :

Corollary 2.4 Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a nilpotent Lie algebra with a pseudo-Riemannian metric $g, D$ a derivation such that $-\operatorname{tr} D$ is not an eigenvalue of $D$ and $\tau= \pm 1$. Then, the metric $\tilde{g}=$ $g+\tau e^{0} \otimes e^{0}$ on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathfrak{g} \rtimes_{D}$ Span $\left\{e_{0}\right\}$ is Einstein if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}=\tau\left(-\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(D^{s}\right)^{2}\right) \operatorname{Id}-\frac{1}{2}\left[D, D^{*}\right]+(\operatorname{tr} D) D^{s}\right) ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in this case, $\tilde{\mathrm{ric}}=-\tau \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(D^{s}\right)^{2}\right) \tilde{g}$.

Proof One direction follows from Proposition 2.1. For the other direction, assume that $f=$ $(\operatorname{tr} D) \mathrm{Id}+D$ is invertible and (7) holds. Since ad $v$ is a derivation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{ad} v \circ \operatorname{Ric})=-\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(D^{s}\right)^{2}\right) \operatorname{tr} \operatorname{ad} v-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\left[D, D^{*}\right] \circ \operatorname{ad} v\right)+(\operatorname{tr} D) \operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{ad} v \circ D^{s}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left([\operatorname{ad} v, D] \circ D^{*}\right)+\frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{tr} D) \operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{ad} v \circ\left(D+D^{*}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{ad} D v \circ D^{*}\right)+\frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{tr} D) \operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{ad} v \circ D^{*}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{ad}(f(v)) \circ D^{*}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used $\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{ad} v \circ D)=0$ (see, e.g., [6, Chapter 1, Section 5.5]). Since $f$ is invertible, this implies that $\operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{ad} w \circ D^{*}\right)=0$ for all $w$, so $\tilde{g}$ is Einstein by Proposition 2.1.

Proposition 2.1 and Corollary 2.4 generalize a similar result of [15], where the derivation $D$ was assumed to be symmetric. The resulting standard extensions take the form $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}=$ $\mathfrak{g} \rtimes_{D} \operatorname{Span}\left\{e_{0}\right\}$, with $D$ symmetric; such a standard decomposition is said to be of pseudoIwasawa type.

Example 2.5 Fix the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}=\left(0,0, e^{12}, 0\right)$, which is the direct sum of the Heisenberg Lie algebra and $\mathbb{R}$; the notation, inspired by [33], means that $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$ has a fixed basis of 1 -forms $e^{1}, e^{2}, e^{3}, e^{4}$ with $d e^{3}=e^{1} \wedge e^{2}$ and the other forms closed. The metric $g=e^{1} \odot e^{2}+e^{3} \odot e^{4}$ has Ricci operator equal to:

$$
\text { Ric }=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Consider the derivation

$$
D=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-\frac{\mu}{4} & \lambda & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{\mu^{2}}{8 \lambda} & -\frac{\mu}{4} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{\mu}{2} & -\frac{1}{3 \mu \tau} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \mu
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are nonzero parameters. Then, equation (7) is satisfied for any choice of $\tau= \pm 1$. In this case,

$$
D^{s}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-\frac{\mu}{4} & \lambda & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{\mu^{2}}{8 \lambda} & -\frac{\mu}{4} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{\mu}{4} & -\frac{1}{3 \mu \tau} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\mu}{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

hence $\operatorname{tr}\left(D^{s}\right)=0$ and $\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(D^{s}\right)^{2}\right)=0$.
In order to obtain a standard Einstein metric, it is sufficient, thanks to Corollary 2.4, to show that $\operatorname{tr} D=0$ is not an eigenvalue. Since $\mu$ is assumed not to be zero, $D$ is not singular and 0 cannot be an eigenvalue. For $\tau=1$, we obtain a two-parameter family of Ricci-flat solvmanifolds of signature $(3,2)$; for $\tau=-1$, we obtain another family which corresponds to reversing the overall sign of the metric and applying the isomorphism $e_{2} \mapsto-e_{2}, e_{3} \mapsto-e_{3}$.

Notice that the resulting standard Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g} \rtimes \operatorname{Span}\left\{e_{0}\right\}$ has derived algebra equal to $\mathfrak{g}$, because $D$ is surjective. Therefore, the standard decomposition is unique. In addition, it is not possible to use Proposition 1.1 to obtain an isometric standard Lie algebra of pseudo-Iwasawa type because $D$ and $D^{s}$ do not commute.

Example 2.6 In this example, we apply Corollary 2.4 to a nilpotent Lie algebra of step greater than 2 and obtain an Einstein solvmanifold with nonzero scalar curvature. Fix the 4-dimensional 3-step nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}=\left(0,0, e^{12}, e^{13}\right)$ with metric $g=$ $e^{1} \odot e^{3}+\frac{1}{2} e^{2} \otimes e^{2}-e^{4} \otimes e^{4}$. Its Ricci operator equals

$$
\text { Ric }=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Consider the one-parameter family of derivations

$$
D=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-\sqrt{\frac{3}{8}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} & 0 & 0 \\
\mu & 0 & \sqrt{\frac{3}{8}} & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, equation (7) is satisfied with $\tau=1$. In this case,

$$
D^{s}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} & 0 & -1 \\
\mu & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),
$$

hence $\operatorname{tr} D=\operatorname{tr}\left(D^{s}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}$ and $\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(D^{s}\right)^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}$. Note that $-\operatorname{tr} D$ is not an eigenvalue, then by Corollary 2.4 for any choice of $\mu$ the Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathfrak{g} \rtimes \operatorname{Span}\left\{e_{0}\right\}$ has a standard Einstein metric $\tilde{g}=g+e^{0} \otimes e^{0}$. Even if $D$ is not surjective, the resulting standard Einstein Lie algebra has derived algebra equal to $\mathfrak{g}$, so the standard decomposition is unique. In addition, it is not possible to obtain an isometric standard Lie algebra of pseudo-Iwasawa type using either [15, Proposition 1.19] (because $D^{*}$ is not a derivation) or Proposition 1.1 (because $D$ and $D^{s}$ do not commute).

As a particular case of Proposition 2.1, consider solutions of (7) such that $D^{s}=a \mathrm{Id}$. The case $a=0$ corresponds to a standard extension by a skew-symmetric derivation of a Ricci-flat metric, which by Proposition 1.1 yields a Ricci-flat metric isometric to a product with a line.

In the case $a \neq 0$, we have that $D$ is a derivation in the Lie algebra

$$
\mathfrak{c o}(r, s)=\mathfrak{s o}(r, s) \oplus \operatorname{Span}\{I \mathrm{~d}\}
$$

where $(r, s)$ is the signature of $g$, and the inclusion $\mathfrak{c o}(r, s) \subset \mathfrak{g l}(\mathfrak{g})$ is determined by fixing an orthonormal frame. Additionally, $D$ has nonzero trace. This implies that the metric Nikolayevsky derivation $N$ is nonzero. We proceed to recall the construction of $N$, giving a slight generalization for use in later sections. For the proof, we refer to [28] and [11, Theorem 4.9].

Proposition 2.7 Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be an algebraic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g l}(m, \mathbb{R})$. There exists a semisimple derivation $N$ in $\mathfrak{h} \cap \operatorname{Der} \mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{tr}(N \psi)=\operatorname{tr} \psi, \quad \psi \in \mathfrak{h} \cap \operatorname{Der} \mathfrak{g} .
$$

The derivation $N$ is unique up to automorphisms of $\mathfrak{h}$.

For $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{g l}(m, \mathbb{R})$, the derivation $N$ of Proposition 2.7 corresponds to the pre-Einstein or Nikolayevsky derivation introduced in [28]; accordingly, we will refer to the derivation $N$ of Proposition 2.7 as the $\mathfrak{h}$-Nikolayevsky derivation. For $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{c o}(r, s)$, the $\mathfrak{h}$-Nikolayevsky derivation is the metric Nikolayevsky derivation introduced in [11].

Notice that the $\mathfrak{h}$-Nikolayevsky derivation is zero if and only if all derivations in $\mathfrak{h}$ are traceless (i.e., $\mathfrak{h}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{s l}(m, \mathbb{R}))$. In particular, we see that that there is derivation with $D^{s}=\mathrm{Id}$ if and only if the metric Nikolayevsky derivation is nonzero.

In later sections, we will consider Lie algebras with an almost pseudo-Hermitian structure and use the $\mathfrak{c u}(p, q)$-Nikolayevsky derivation, where

$$
\mathfrak{c u}(p, q)=\mathfrak{u}(p, q) \oplus \operatorname{Span}\{\operatorname{Id}\} .
$$

Like the Nikolayevsky and the metric Nikolayevsky derivation, the $\mathfrak{c u}(p, q)$-Nikolayevsky derivation turns out to have rational eigenvalues:

Proposition 2.8 Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra with an almost pseudo-Hermitian structure. Then, the $\mathfrak{c u}(p, q)$-Nikolayevsky derivation of $\mathfrak{g}$ has rational eigenvalues.

Proof The proof follows [28] and [11, Theorem 4.9]. We can characterize elements of $\mathfrak{c u}(p, q)$ as elements of $\mathfrak{c o}(2 p, 2 q)$ that commute with the complex structure $J$.

If $N$ is the $\mathfrak{c u}(p, q)$-Nikolayevsky, let $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}=\bigoplus \mathfrak{b}_{t}$ be the decomposition into eigenspaces and let $\pi_{t}: \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{b}_{t}$ denote the projections. Define

$$
\mathfrak{n}=\left\{\sum v_{t} \pi_{t} \mid \sum v_{t} \pi_{t} \in(\operatorname{Der} \mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{c o}(2 p, 2 q))^{\mathbb{C}}\right\}
$$

Since $N$ commutes with $J$, each $\mathfrak{b}_{t}$ is $J$-invariant. Therefore, $J$ commutes with projections, and we can write

$$
\mathfrak{n}=\left\{\sum v_{t} \pi_{t} \mid \sum v_{t} \pi_{t} \in(\operatorname{Der} \mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{h})^{\mathbb{C}}\right\} .
$$

One can now proceed as in [11, Theorem 4.9] and show that $N$ is the unique element of $\mathfrak{n}$ such that $\operatorname{tr}(N \psi)=\operatorname{tr}(\psi)$ for all $\psi \in \mathfrak{n}$, and its coefficients $v_{t}$ are rational numbers.

Lemma 2.9 Let $H$ be an algebraic subgroup of $\operatorname{SO}(r, s)$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ and let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a nilpotent Lie algebra with a $H$-structure. If $D, D^{\prime}$ are two elements of $(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \operatorname{Span}\{I d\}) \cap$ Der $\mathfrak{g}$ with the same trace, then the $H$-structures on $\mathfrak{g} \rtimes_{D} \operatorname{Span}\left\{e_{0}\right\}$ and $\mathfrak{g} \rtimes_{D^{\prime}} \operatorname{Span}\left\{e_{0}\right\}$ are equivalent.

Proof The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{f}=(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \operatorname{Span}\{I d\}) \cap$ Der $\mathfrak{g}$ is algebraic. Observe that that two commuting derivations of $\mathfrak{f}$ with the same trace determine equivalent extensions by Proposition 1.1, as their difference is in $\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{s o}(r, s)$. We will use this fact repeatedly.

Denote by $\mathfrak{r}$ the radical of $\mathfrak{f}$. By [10], the fact that $\mathfrak{f}$ is algebraic implies that $\mathfrak{r}$ is also algebraic, and we can write $\mathfrak{r}=\mathfrak{n} \rtimes \mathfrak{a}$, where $\mathfrak{a}$ is an abelian Lie algebra consisting of semisimple elements and $\mathfrak{n}$ is the nilradical. Since $\mathfrak{a}$ is abelian, any two derivations in $\mathfrak{a}$ with the same trace determine isometric extensions. Thus, we only need to show that for any $D \in \mathfrak{f}$ there is an element of $\mathfrak{a}$ determining an equivalent extension.

Since $f$ is algebraic, we can write $D=D_{s s}+D_{n}$, where $D_{s s}$ is semisimple, $D_{n}$ is nilpotent, and $\left[D_{s s}, D_{n}\right]=0$. Since $D_{n}$ has trace zero, $D$ and $D_{s s}$ determine isometric extensions. Since $D_{s s}$ is semisimple, so are

$$
\operatorname{ad} D_{s s}: \mathfrak{f} \rightarrow \mathfrak{f}, \quad \text { ad } D_{s s}: \mathfrak{f}_{0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{f}_{0},
$$

where we have set $\mathfrak{f}_{0}=\mathfrak{f} \cap \mathfrak{s o}(r, s)$. We can choose a decomposition

$$
\mathfrak{f}=\mathfrak{r} \oplus W,
$$

where $W$ is contained in $\mathfrak{h}$ and ad $D_{s s}$-invariant. Indeed, it suffices to choose for $W$ an ad $D_{s s}$-invariant complement of $\mathfrak{f}_{0} \cap \mathfrak{r}$ in $\mathfrak{f}_{0}$.

Accordingly, write $D_{s s}=D_{\mathfrak{r}}+D_{W}$. Then,

$$
\left[D_{s s}, D_{W}\right]=\left[D_{\mathfrak{r}}, D_{W}\right] ;
$$

the left-hand side belongs to the ad $D_{s s}$-invariant space $W$, and the right-hand side to the ideal $\mathfrak{r}$, so both must vanish.

Therefore, $D_{s s}$ and $D_{\mathfrak{r}}$ are commuting derivations with the same trace, and they determine equivalent extensions.

Using the Jordan decomposition in the algebraic Lie algebra $\mathfrak{r}$, we see that $D_{\mathfrak{r}}$ determines, up to equivalence, the same standard extension as its semisimple part. On the other hand, the latter is conjugate in $\mathfrak{r}$ to an element of $\mathfrak{a}$ by [23, Section 19.3]. The conjugation is realized by an element of the Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{f}$, which can be assumed to have determinant one, and therefore by an element of $H$.

Theorem 2.10 Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a nilpotent Lie algebra with a pseudo-Riemannian metric $g$ such that the metric Nikolayevsky derivation $N$ is nonzero. Then, $g$ is Ricci-flat and $\mathfrak{g}$ has an Einstein standard extension $\mathfrak{g} \rtimes_{N} \operatorname{Span}\left\{e_{0}\right\}$.

Conversely, suppose $\mathfrak{g}$ is a nilpotent Lie algebra with a pseudo-Riemannian metric $g$ and an Einstein standard extension with $D^{s}=a$ Id. Then, $g$ is Ricci-flat and, up to a scaling factor, the extension is isometric to either $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathfrak{g} \rtimes_{N} \operatorname{Span}\left\{e_{0}\right\}$ according to whether a is zero or not.

Proof Let $D$ be a multiple of $N$ such that $D^{s}=\mathrm{Id}$. Every metric of the form $e^{t} g$ can be written as $g(\exp (t D) \cdot \exp (t D) \cdot)$, i.e., it is related to $g$ by an isomorphism. The Ricci tensor transforms accordingly; however, the Ricci tensor of $e^{t} g$ coincides with that of $g$, and this forces it to be zero. Then, $\left[D, D^{*}\right]=[D, 2 \operatorname{Id}-D]=0$ and (7) holds. In addition,

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{ad} v \circ D^{*}\right)=\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{ad} v \circ(2 \operatorname{Id}-D))=\operatorname{tr}(2 \operatorname{ad} v-\operatorname{ad} v \circ D)=0,
$$

where ad $v$ and ad $v \circ D$ are traceless because $\mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent and $D$ is a multiple of the Nikolayevsky derivation. Thus, Proposition 2.1 implies that $\mathfrak{g} \rtimes_{D} \operatorname{Span}\left\{e_{0}\right\}$ is Einstein.

We claim that replacing $D$ with a nonzero multiple, say $D^{\prime}=k D$, has the effect of giving the same standard extension up to isometry and rescaling. Indeed, observe that $\{\exp t D\}$ acts on the metric $g$ by rescaling while leaving $D$ unchanged. This means that the $\tilde{g}=g+e^{0} \otimes e^{0}$ and $\tilde{g}^{\prime}=k^{2} g+e^{0} \otimes e^{0}$ are isometric metrics on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathfrak{g} \rtimes_{D} \operatorname{Span}\left\{e_{0}\right\}$. Setting $e_{0}^{\prime}=k e_{0}$, we can write $\widetilde{g}^{\prime}=k^{2}\left(g+\left(e^{0}\right)^{\prime} \otimes\left(e^{0}\right)^{\prime}\right)$, and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathfrak{g} \rtimes_{D^{\prime}} \operatorname{Span}\left\{e_{0}\right\}$.

Now suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ has a standard Einstein extension with $D^{s}=a$ Id. In this case, if $\mathfrak{g}$ has dimension $m$ and $D^{s}=a \operatorname{Id}$, then $\left[D, D^{*}\right]=2\left[D^{a}, D^{s}\right]=0$ and (7) becomes

$$
\text { Ric }=\tau\left(-a^{2} m \mathrm{Id}+m a^{2} \mathrm{Id}\right)=0 .
$$

If $a=0, D$ is skew-symmetric; by Proposition 1.1, we can assume $D=0$ up to isometry, obtaining a direct product $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathbb{R}$.

If $a \neq 0, D$ has nonzero trace and the metric Nikolayevsky derivation $N$ is nonzero, so it too has nonzero trace. We already observed that rescaling $N$ yields an isometric extension up to isometry. Therefore, we can assume that $D$ and $N$ have the same trace and conclude by Lemma 2.9.

Remark 2.11 Geometrically, we can describe the metric of Theorem 2.10 as follows. Let $G$ be the simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. We can exponentiate $N$ to a one-parameter group of automorphisms $\left\{f_{t}\right\}=\{\exp t N\} \subset$ Aut $\mathfrak{g}$, which determines a one-parameter group of automorphisms $\left\{\phi_{t}\right\}$ in Aut $G$. The semidirect product $G \rtimes_{\phi_{t}} \mathbb{R}$ has Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \rtimes_{N} \mathbb{R}$, and a left-invariant metric $g$ on $G$ induces a left-invariant metric $(\exp t N) g+d t^{2}$. Since skew-symmetric elements act trivially on the metric and $N^{s}$ is a multiple of the identity, the metric takes the form of a warped product,

$$
\left(\exp t N^{s}\right) g+d t^{2}=e^{2 k t} g+d t^{2}, \quad k=\frac{\operatorname{tr} N}{\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}}
$$

The fact that a metric of this form is Einstein follows directly from $g$ being Ricci-flat (see [5, § 9.109]).

## 3 z-standard Sasaki-Einstein Lie algebras

In this section, we study the Ricci curvature of $\mathfrak{z}$-standard Sasaki Lie algebras, characterizing the Einstein metrics in terms of their Kähler reduction. Recall from Sect. 1 that a $\mathfrak{z}$-standard Sasaki Lie algebra is a Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ carrying both a Sasaki structure $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ and a standard decomposition of the form $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathfrak{g} \rtimes \operatorname{Span}\left\{e_{0}\right\}$ such that $\phi\left(e_{0}\right)$ lies in the center $\mathfrak{z}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a central extension of a nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, i.e.,

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow 0
$$

As vector spaces, $\mathfrak{g}=\breve{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{k}$. Let $\left\{e_{s}\right\}$ be a basis of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$; the elements $\left\{e^{s}\right\}$ of the dual basis can be viewed as elements of $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$, and the Lie algebra structure of $\mathfrak{g}$ is entirely determined by $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ and the exterior derivatives $\left\{d e^{s}\right\}$. Explicitly,

$$
[v, w]_{\mathfrak{g}}=[v, w]_{\mathfrak{g}}-\sum_{s} d e^{s}(v, w) e_{s}, \quad v, w \in \mathfrak{g} .
$$

Lemma 3.1 Let $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a nilpotent Lie algebra with a metric $\check{g}$; on the central extension $\mathfrak{g}=$ $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{k}$, fix a metric of the form

$$
g=\breve{g}+\sum_{s} \epsilon_{s} e^{s} \otimes e^{s}, \quad \epsilon_{s}= \pm 1
$$

Then, for $v, w \in \mathfrak{\mathfrak { g }}$, the Ricci tensors of $g$ and $\check{g}$ are related by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.\operatorname{ric}(v, w)=\widetilde{\operatorname{ric}}(v, w)-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s} \epsilon_{s} g(v\lrcorner d e^{s}, w\right\lrcorner d e^{s}\right), \\
& \operatorname{ric}\left(v, e_{s}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{s} g\left(d v^{b}, d e^{s}\right), \quad \operatorname{ric}\left(e_{s}, e_{t}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{s} \epsilon_{t} g\left(d e^{s}, d e^{t}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof By construction, ad $\left.v=\overline{\operatorname{ad}} v-\sum_{s} v\right\lrcorner d e^{s} \otimes e_{s}$. For one-forms $\alpha$ on $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$, zero-extended to $\mathfrak{g}$, we have $d \alpha=\check{d} \alpha$. We use the fact that the musical isomorphisms relative to $g$ and $\check{g}$
are compatible, so using (4) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ric}(v, w) & =\frac{1}{2} g\left(d v^{\mathrm{b}}, d w^{\mathrm{b}}\right)-\frac{1}{2} g(\operatorname{ad} v, \operatorname{ad} w) \\
& \left.\left.=\frac{1}{2} g\left(\check{d} v^{\mathrm{b}}, \check{d} w^{\mathrm{b}}\right)-\frac{1}{2} g(\widetilde{\operatorname{ad} v}, \widetilde{\mathrm{ad}} w)-\frac{1}{2} g\left(\sum_{s} v\right\lrcorner d e^{s} \otimes e_{s}, \sum_{\ell} w\right\lrcorner d e^{\ell} \otimes e_{\ell}\right) \\
& \left.\left.=\widetilde{\operatorname{ric}}(v, w)-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s} \epsilon_{s} g(v\lrcorner d e^{s}, w\right\lrcorner d e^{s}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.2 The Ricci tensor of the metric on $\mathfrak{g}$ constructed in Proposition 1.3 is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Ric}(v)=-2\left(\tau\left(\check{D}^{s}\right)^{2}+\operatorname{Id}\right) v, \quad v \in \operatorname{Span}\{b, \xi\}^{\perp}, \\
& \operatorname{Ric}(b)=\tau \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\check{D}^{s}\right)^{2}\right) b-(\operatorname{tr} \check{D}) \xi, \quad \operatorname{Ric}(\xi)=(2 n-2) \xi-\tau(\operatorname{tr} \check{D}) b .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}=2 n$.
Proof Since $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ is pseudo-Kähler and nilpotent, ric is zero by Lemma 1.7. By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ric}(v, w) & \left.\left.\left.\left.=-\frac{1}{2} \tau g(v\lrcorner d b^{\mathrm{b}}, w\right\lrcorner d b^{\mathrm{b}}\right)-\frac{1}{2} g(v\lrcorner d \eta, w\right\lrcorner d \eta\right) \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.=-\frac{1}{2} \tau g\left(\check{D}^{s}(v)\right\lrcorner d \eta, \check{D}^{s}(w)\right\lrcorner d \eta\right)-\frac{1}{2} g(v\lrcorner d \eta, w\right\lrcorner d \eta\right) \\
& =-2 \tau g\left(J D^{s}(v), J D^{s}(w)\right)-2 g(J v, J w)=-2 \tau g\left(D^{s}(v), D^{s}(w)\right)-2 g(v, w) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{ric}(v, b)=\frac{1}{2} \tau g\left(d v^{\mathrm{b}}, d b^{b}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \tau g\left(d v^{\mathrm{b}}, \check{D} \omega\right), \quad \operatorname{ric}(v, \xi)=\frac{1}{2} \tau g\left(d v^{\mathrm{b}}, d \eta\right)=\tau g\left(d v^{\mathrm{b}}, \omega\right), \\
& \operatorname{ric}(b, b)=\frac{1}{2} g\left(d b^{\mathrm{b}}, d b^{b}\right)=\frac{1}{2} g(\check{D} \omega, \check{D} \omega), \quad \operatorname{ric}(b, \xi)=\frac{1}{2} g\left(d b^{\mathrm{b}}, d \eta\right)=g(\check{D} \omega, \omega), \\
& \operatorname{ric}(\xi, \xi)=\frac{1}{2} \tau g(d \eta, d \eta)=2 g(\omega, \omega) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can simplify these formulae by observing that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\check{D} \omega(x, y) & =-\omega(\check{D} x, y)-\omega(x, \check{D} y)=-\check{g}(\check{D} x, J y)-\check{g}(x, J \check{D} y) \\
& =-\check{g}\left(x,\left(J \check{D}+\check{D}^{*} J\right) y\right)=-\check{g}\left(x,\left(\check{D}+\check{D}^{*}\right) J y\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

so we can view $\check{D} \omega$ as a $(1,1)$ tensor $(\check{D} \omega)^{\sharp}=-\left(\check{D}+\check{D}^{*}\right) J$. Similarly, we have $\omega^{\sharp}=J$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(\omega, \omega) & =\frac{1}{2} g(J, J)=n-1, \\
g(\omega, \check{D} \omega) & =\frac{1}{2} g\left(J,-\left(\check{D}+\check{D}^{*}\right) J\right)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\check{D}+\check{D}^{*}\right) J^{2}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\check{D}+\check{D}^{*}\right)=-\operatorname{tr} \check{D}^{s}=-\operatorname{tr} \check{D}, \\
g(\check{D} \omega, \check{D} \omega) & =\frac{1}{2} g\left(\left(\check{D}+\check{D}^{*}\right) J,\left(\check{D}+\check{D}^{*}\right) J\right)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\check{D}+\check{D}^{*}\right)^{2}\right)=2 \operatorname{tr}\left(\check{D}^{s}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, observe that $\omega$ and $\check{D} \omega$ are $d^{*}$-closed, so (since $\check{g}$ is unimodular),

$$
g\left(d v^{b}, \omega\right)=g\left(v^{b}, d^{*} \omega\right)=0, \quad g\left(d v^{b}, \check{D} \omega\right)=g\left(v^{b}, d^{*} \check{D} \omega\right)=0 .
$$

Summing up,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ric}(v, w) & =-2 \tau g\left(\check{D}^{s}(v), \check{D}^{s}(w)\right)-2 g(v, w), & & \operatorname{ric}(v, b)=0 \\
\operatorname{ric}(v, \xi) & =0, & & \operatorname{ric}(b, b)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\check{D}^{s}\right)^{2}\right) \\
\operatorname{ric}(b, \xi) & =-\operatorname{tr} \check{D}, & & \operatorname{ric}(\xi, \xi)=(2 n-2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.3 With the hypothesis of Proposition 1.3, the metric $\widetilde{g}=g+\tau e^{0} \otimes e^{0}$ on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}=$ $\mathfrak{g} \rtimes_{D} \operatorname{Span}\left\{e_{0}\right\}$ is Einstein if and only if

$$
\tau=-1, \quad \check{D}^{s}= \pm \mathrm{Id}, \quad h= \pm 2
$$

Proof By Proposition 2.1, $\tilde{g}$ is Einstein if and only if

$$
\text { Ric }=\tau\left(-\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(D^{s}\right)^{2}\right) \operatorname{Id}+\left[D^{s}, D^{a}\right]+(\operatorname{tr} D) D^{s}\right), \quad \operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{ad} v \circ D^{*}\right)=0, \quad v \in \mathfrak{g} .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\check{D} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & h & 0 \\
0 & -2 \tau & 0
\end{array}\right), & D^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\check{D}^{*} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & h & -2 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
D^{s} & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\check{D}^{s} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & h & -1 \\
0 & -\tau & 0
\end{array}\right), & D^{a}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\check{D}^{a} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -\tau & 0
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\left[D^{s}, D^{a}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
h \check{D}^{s}-2\left(\check{D}^{s}\right)^{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 \tau & h \\
0 & h \tau & -2 \tau
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Multiplying by $\tau$ each side of (7) and using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-2\left(\check{D}^{s}\right)^{2}-2 \tau \operatorname{Id} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\check{D}^{s}\right)^{2}\right) & -(\operatorname{tr} \check{D}) \\
0 & -\tau \operatorname{tr} \check{D} & \tau(2 n-2)
\end{array}\right)=-\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\check{D}^{s}\right)^{2}\right)+h^{2}+2 \tau\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\operatorname{Id} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
\\
+\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
h \check{D}^{s}-2\left(\check{D}^{s}\right)^{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 \tau & h \\
0 & h \tau & -2 \tau
\end{array}\right)+\left(\operatorname{tr} \check{D}^{s}+h\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\check{D}^{s} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & h & -1 \\
0 & -\tau & 0
\end{array}\right),
\end{array}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\check{D}^{s}\right)^{2}\right)+h^{2}\right) \operatorname{Id} & =\left(\operatorname{tr} \check{D}^{s}+2 h\right) \check{D}^{s}, \\
2 \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\check{D}^{s}\right)^{2}\right) & =\left(\operatorname{tr} \check{D}^{s}\right) h, \\
\tau(2 n+2) & =-\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\check{D}^{s}\right)^{2}\right)+h^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If this system of equations holds, $\check{D}^{s}$ is a multiple of the identity; setting $\operatorname{tr} \check{D}^{s}=\lambda$, so that $\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\check{D}^{s}\right)^{2}\right)=\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2 n-2}$, we get

$$
\tau=-1, \quad h=\frac{2 \lambda}{2 n-2}, \quad \lambda= \pm(2 n-2) .
$$

So the system holds if and only if $\check{D}^{s}= \pm \mathrm{Id}$ and $h= \pm 2$. This condition also implies $\operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{ad} v \circ D^{*}\right)=0$ because $\mathfrak{g}$ is unimodular and $\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{ad} v \circ D)=0$ by [6, Chapter 1 , Section 5.5], proving the equivalence in the statement.

Remark 3.4 As observed in [[12], Remark 5.2], changing the sign of $h, \check{D}, e_{0}$ and $b$ yields an isometric metric. Therefore, we will only consider the case $h=2$ and $\check{D}^{s}=$ Id.

The construction of Proposition 1.3 can be specialized to the Sasaki-Einstein case as follows:

Proposition 3.5 Let $(\check{\mathfrak{g}}, J, \omega)$ be a pseudo-Kähler nilpotent Lie algebra, and let $\check{D}$ be a derivation of $\mathfrak{g}$ with $\check{D}^{s}=I d$ and commuting with J. If $\mathfrak{g}=\check{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \operatorname{Span}\{b, \xi\}$ is the central extension of $\mathfrak{\mathfrak { g }}$ characterized by $d \xi^{*}=2 \omega=d b^{*}$, where $\left\{b^{*}, \xi^{*}\right\}$ is the basis dual to Span $\{b, \xi\}$, with the metric $g=\breve{g}-b^{*} \otimes b^{*}+\xi^{*} \otimes \xi^{*}$, then the semidirect product $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathfrak{g} \rtimes \operatorname{Span}\left\{e_{0}\right\}$, where

$$
\left[e_{0}, x\right]=\check{D} x, \quad\left[e_{0}, b\right]=2 b+2 \xi, \quad\left[e_{0}, \xi\right]=0
$$

has a Sasaki-Einstein structure ( $\phi, \eta, \xi, \tilde{g}$ ) given by

$$
\tilde{g}=g-e^{0} \otimes e^{0}, \quad \phi(w)=J(w)-g(b, w) e_{0}, \quad \phi\left(e_{0}\right)=-b, \quad w \in \mathfrak{g} .
$$

Proof We have $\check{D} \omega=\check{D}^{s} \omega=-2 \omega$; applying Proposition 1.3 with $h=2$ and $\tau=-1$ we obtain a Sasaki extension as in the statement, which is Einstein by Lemma 3.3.

Proposition 3.5 has a Kähler analogue:
Corollary 3.6 Let $(\breve{\mathfrak{g}}, J, \omega)$ be a pseudo-Kähler nilpotent Lie algebra with nonzero metric Nikolayevsky derivation, and let $\check{D}$ be a derivation of $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ with $\check{D}^{s}=$ Id. If $\mathfrak{k}=\check{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \operatorname{Span}\{b\}$ is the central extension of $\mathfrak{g}$ characterized by $d b^{*}=2 \omega$, where $\left\{b^{*}\right\}$ is the basis dual to Span $\{b\}$, with the metric $g=\breve{g}-b^{*} \otimes b^{*}$, then the semidirect product $\widetilde{\mathfrak{k}}=\mathfrak{k} \rtimes \operatorname{Span}\left\{e_{0}\right\}$, where

$$
\left[e_{0}, x\right]=\check{D} x, \quad\left[e_{0}, b\right]=2 b
$$

has a pseudo-Kähler-Einstein structure $(\widetilde{\mathfrak{k}}, \widetilde{J}, \widetilde{\omega})$ given by

$$
\tilde{g}=g-e^{0} \otimes e^{0}, \quad \widetilde{J}(w)=J(w)-g(b, w) e_{0}, \quad \widetilde{J}\left(e_{0}\right)=-b, \quad w \in \mathfrak{g}
$$

with $\widetilde{\text { ric }}=(2 n+2) \widetilde{g}$, with $2 n$ the dimension of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{k}}$.
Proof Take the Lie algebra constructed in Proposition 3.5 and take the quotient by $\xi$. Then, by Proposition 1.5 it is Kähler-Einstein with ric $=(2 n+2) \widetilde{g}$.

Remark 3.7 Arguing as in Remark 2.11, it follows that the pseudo-Kähler-Einstein metric constructed in Corollary 3.6 has the form (1).

Remark 3.8 If the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is not abelian, then Corollary 3.6 produces pseudo-KählerEinstein rank-one extension which are not pseudo-Iwasawa, unlike the method presented in [32], where one constructs pseudo-Kähler-Einstein rank-one extensions of pseudo-Iwasawa-type.

Indeed, the derivation $\check{D}=$ ad $e_{0}$ of Corollary 3.6 is self-adjoint with respect to the metric if and only if $\check{D}^{s}=\frac{1}{2}\left(D+D^{*}\right)$ is a derivation, but since $\check{D}^{s}=\mathrm{Id}$, this happens only if the identity is a derivation, i.e., if $\mathfrak{g}$ is an abelian Lie algebra.

Example 3.9 Let $\check{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$, with

$$
J e_{1}=e_{2}, \ldots, J e_{2 n-1}=e_{2 n}, \quad \omega=\epsilon_{1} e^{12}+\cdots+\epsilon_{n} e^{2 n-1,2 n}, \quad \epsilon_{i}= \pm 1
$$

and set $D=$ Id. We get

$$
d \xi^{*}=d b^{*}=2 \omega, \quad \text { ad } e_{0}=2 b^{*} \otimes(b+\xi)+\sum e^{i} \otimes e_{i}
$$

Applying Corollary 3.6, one obtains the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$

$$
\begin{align*}
d e^{0} & =0 \\
d e^{i} & =e^{i, 0}, \quad i=1, \ldots, 2 n  \tag{8}\\
d e^{2 n+1} & =2 \epsilon_{1} e^{12}+\cdots+2 \epsilon_{n} e^{2 n-1,2 n}+2 e^{2 n+1,0}
\end{align*}
$$

with the pseudo-Kähler-Einstein metric

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_{i}\left(e^{2 i-1} \otimes e^{2 i-1}+e^{2 i} \otimes e^{2 i}\right)-e^{2 n+1} \otimes e^{2 n+1}-e^{0} \otimes e^{0} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The resulting solvmanifold can be identified with the symmetric space $\mathrm{SU}(p, q+1) / \mathrm{U}(p, q)$. Indeed, fix the diagonal matrices

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{p, q} & =\operatorname{diag}(\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{p}, \underbrace{-1, \ldots,-1}_{q}), \quad H=\operatorname{diag}(-1, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{p}, \underbrace{-1, \ldots,-1}_{q}) \\
X & =\operatorname{diag}(-1, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{p+q}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can identify $\mathfrak{s u}(p, q+1)$ with the Lie algebra

$$
\mathfrak{s u}(p, q+1)=\left\{A \in \mathfrak{s l l}(p+q+1, \mathbb{C}) \mid{ }^{t} A+H \bar{A} H=0\right\} .
$$

The involution $\theta=\operatorname{Ad} X$ makes $(\mathrm{SU}(p, q+1), \mathrm{U}(p, q))$ into a symmetric pair, determining a splitting $\mathfrak{s u}(p, q+1)=\mathfrak{u}(p, q) \oplus \mathfrak{p}$. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be the maximal abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{p}$ spanned by

$$
E_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

The positive eigenspaces of ad $E_{0}$ generate the nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ spanned by

$$
E_{2 n+1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
i & -i & 0 \\
i & -i & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad E_{2 j-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & t_{e_{j}} I_{p, q} \\
0 & 0 & t_{e_{j}} I_{p, q} \\
e_{j} & e_{j} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad E_{2 j}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & -i_{e_{j}}^{t} I_{p, q} \\
0 & 0 & -i_{e_{j}}^{t} I_{p, q} \\
e_{j} & e_{j} & 0
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $j$ ranges between 1 and $n$. Explicitly, we have
$\left[E_{0}, E_{2 j}\right]=E_{2 j}, \quad\left[E_{0}, E_{2 j-1}\right]=E_{2 j-1}, \quad\left[E_{0}, E_{2 n+1}\right]=2 E_{2 n+1}, \quad\left[E_{2 j-1}, E_{2 j}\right]=-2 \epsilon_{j} E_{2 n+1}$,
where $\epsilon_{j}$ is the $j$-th element in the diagonal of $I_{p, q}$. The semidirect product $\mathfrak{n} \rtimes \mathfrak{a}$ is therefore isomorphic to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$ of (8). By [35], the symmetric metric can be expressed in terms of the Killing form $B$ as

$$
\left.2 B_{\theta}\right|_{\mathfrak{a} \times \mathfrak{a}}+\left.B_{\theta}\right|_{\mathfrak{n} \times \mathfrak{n}}, \quad B_{\theta}(X, Y)=B(X, \theta(Y)) .
$$

A straightforward computation shows that this is indeed a multiple of the metric (9). For $q=0$, we obtain the positive-definite symmetric metric on the Iwasawa subgroup of $\operatorname{SU}(n+1$, 1). Suggestively, this Lie group and metric appear as the fibre of quaternion-Kähler manifolds obtained via the c-map (see [18]).

Notice that the metric (9) is of pseudo-Iwasawa type; in fact, Einstein solvmanifolds arising from symmetric spaces as above are the motivating example for the notion of Iwasawa type. On the other hand, by Proposition $3.5 \mathfrak{g}$ can also be extended to a Sasaki-Einstein Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, which is not of pseudo-Iwasawa type. Explicitly, $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ has a basis $\left\{e_{0}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 n+2}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d e^{0} & =0 \\
d e^{i} & =e^{i, 0}, \quad i=1, \ldots, 2 n, \\
d e^{2 n+2} & =d e^{2 n+1}=2 \epsilon_{1} e^{12}+\cdots+2 \epsilon_{n+1} e^{2 n-1,2 n}+2 e^{2 n+1,0},
\end{aligned}
$$

and the metric is

$$
\tilde{g}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_{i}\left(e^{2 i-1} \otimes e^{2 i-1}+e^{2 i} \otimes e^{2 i}\right)-e^{2 n+1} \otimes e^{2 n+1}+e^{2 n+2} \otimes e^{2 n+2}-e^{0} \otimes e^{0}
$$

Remark 3.10 The pseudo-Kähler-Einstein quotient constructed in Example 3.9 is precisely the family of [32, Example 7.6], and since $\mathfrak{g}$ is abelian, this is consistent with Remark 3.8.

## 4 Classification results

In this section, we characterize $\mathfrak{z}$-standard Sasaki-Einstein Lie algebras in terms of their Kähler reduction using the $\mathfrak{c u}(p, q)$-Nikolayevsky derivation introduced in Sect. 2. We also classify $\mathfrak{z}$-standard Sasaki-Einstein Lie algebras of dimension $\leq 7$.

Theorem 4.1 If $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g} \rtimes$ Span $\left\{e_{0}\right\}$ is $a \mathfrak{z}$-standard Sasaki-Einstein Lie algebra, the $\mathfrak{c u}(p, q)$ Nikolayevsky derivation of its Kähler reduction is nonzero.

Conversely, if $\mathfrak{g}$ is a pseudo-Kähler Lie algebra with nonzero $\mathfrak{c u}(p, q)$-Nikolayevsky derivation, it extends to a $\mathfrak{z}$-standard Sasaki-Einstein Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g} \rtimes \operatorname{Span}\left\{e_{0}\right\}$, uniquely determined up to equivalence.

Proof If $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g} \rtimes$ Span $\left\{e_{0}\right\}$ is a $\mathfrak{z}$-standard Sasaki-Einstein Lie algebra, Proposition 1.3 asserts that $\mathfrak{g}$ can be realized as an extension of its Kähler reduction $\mathfrak{g}$. By Proposition 3.5, $\check{D}$ is a derivation commuting with $J$ such that $\check{D}^{s}=\mathrm{Id}$. This implies that $\check{D}$ is an element of

$$
\mathfrak{c o}(2 p, 2 q) \cap \mathfrak{g l}(p+q, \mathbb{C})=\mathfrak{c u}(p, q)
$$

with nonzero trace; if such a $\check{D}$ exists, the $\mathfrak{c u}(p, q)$-Nikolayevsky derivation is nonzero.
Now assume $\check{g}$ is pseudo-Kähler and $\mathfrak{c u}(p, q)$-Nikolayevsky derivation is nonzero. By rescaling, we obtain a derivation $\check{D}$ whose symmetric part is the identity; this yields a SasakiEinstein extension by Proposition 3.5.

To prove uniqueness, fix two derivations $\check{D}, \check{D}^{\prime}$ commuting with $J, \check{D}^{s}=\mathrm{Id}=\left(\check{D}^{\prime}\right)^{s}$. The Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g} \rtimes_{\check{D}}$ Span $\left\{e_{0}\right\}$ and $\check{\mathfrak{g}} \rtimes_{\check{D}^{\prime}}$ Span $\left\{e_{0}\right\}$ have a natural $\mathrm{U}(p, q)$-structure. By Lemma 2.9, they are equivalent.

We can view $\mathfrak{g}$ as an extension of $\mathfrak{g} \rtimes_{\check{D}}$ by the ideal $\operatorname{Span}\{b, \xi\}$, where ad $b=-e^{0} \otimes$ $(2 b+2 \xi)$ and $d b^{*}$ and $d \xi^{*}$ are determined by the $\mathrm{U}(p, q)$-invariant form $\omega$. Therefore, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ and its counterpart obtained using $\check{D}^{\prime}$ are equivalent.

In the case that $\mathfrak{g}$ is abelian, we obtain:
Corollary 4.2 Every $\mathfrak{z}$-standard Sasaki-Einstein Lie algebra such that the Kähler reduction is an abelian Lie algebra is equivalent to one of those constructed in Example 3.9.

Proof If $\mathfrak{g}$ is an abelian Lie algebra, we can assume $\check{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$, with

$$
J e_{1}=e_{2}, \ldots, J e_{2 n-1}=e_{2 n}, \quad \omega=\epsilon_{1} e^{12}+\cdots+\epsilon_{n} e^{2 n-1,2 n}, \quad \epsilon_{i}= \pm 1
$$

the $\mathfrak{c u}(p, q)$-Nikolayevsky derivation is Id, so by Theorem 4.1 the extension is equivalent to one of those constructed in Example 3.9.

In dimension $3, \mathfrak{z}$-standard Sasaki-Einstein Lie algebras take the form $\mathbb{R}^{2} \rtimes \operatorname{Span}\left\{e_{3}\right\}$, with ad $e_{3}$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ as the identity. In dimension $5, \mathfrak{z}$-standard Sasaki-Einstein Lie algebras determine a reduction of dimension 2 , which is abelian. Therefore, these metrics have the form given in Example 3.9, and we obtain:

Proposition 4.3 Let $\mathfrak{\mathfrak { g }}$ be a $\mathfrak{z}$-standard Sasaki-Einstein Lie algebra of dimension $\leq 5$. Then, $\mathfrak{\mathfrak { g }}$ is equivalent to one of
$\left(2 e^{13}, 2 e^{13}, 0\right)$,

$$
\tilde{g}=-e^{1} \otimes e^{1}+e^{2} \otimes e^{2}-e^{3} \otimes e^{3},
$$

$$
\left(e^{15}, e^{25}, 2 e^{12}+2 e^{35}, 2 e^{12}+2 e^{35}, 0\right), \quad \tilde{g}=e^{1} \otimes e^{1}+e^{2} \otimes e^{2}-e^{3} \otimes e^{3}+e^{4} \otimes e^{4}-e^{5} \otimes e^{5},
$$

$$
\left(e^{15}, e^{25},-2 e^{12}+2 e^{35},-2 e^{12}+2 e^{35}, 0\right), \quad \tilde{g}=-e^{1} \otimes e^{1}-e^{2} \otimes e^{2}-e^{3} \otimes e^{3}+e^{4} \otimes e^{4}-e^{5} \otimes e^{5} .
$$

Note that the 5-dimensional solvable Lie algebras appearing in Proposition 4.3 are isomorphic; up to a sign, the metric of signature $(1,4)$ is isometric to [16, Example 5.6].

In dimension 7, we can classify $\mathfrak{z}$-standard Sasaki-Einstein Lie algebras by using the classification of four-dimensional Lie algebras with a pseudo-Kähler metric in [30]:

Theorem 4.4 Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ be $\mathfrak{\mathfrak { z }}$-standard Sasaki-Einstein Lie algebra of dimension 7. Then, $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is equivalent to one of the following:

1. $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the solvable Lie algebra

$$
\left(e^{17}, e^{27}, e^{37}, e^{47}, 2 \epsilon_{1} e^{12}+2 \epsilon_{2} e^{34}+2 e^{57}, 2 \epsilon_{1} e^{12}+2 \epsilon_{2} e^{34}+2 e^{57}, 0\right)
$$

with metric

$$
\tilde{g}=\epsilon_{1}\left(e^{1} \otimes e^{1}+e^{2} \otimes e^{2}\right)+\epsilon_{2}\left(e^{3} \otimes e^{3}+e^{4} \otimes e^{4}\right)+\gamma, \quad \epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2} \in\{+1,-1\}
$$

2. $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the solvable Lie algebra

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{2}{3} e^{17}, \frac{2}{3} e^{27}, \frac{a}{3} e^{27}+\frac{4}{3} e^{37}+e^{12},-\frac{a}{3} e^{17}+\frac{4}{3} e^{47},\right. \\
& \left.2\left(e^{13}+e^{24}+a e^{12}+e^{57}\right), 2\left(e^{13}+e^{24}+a e^{12}+e^{57}\right), 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with metric

$$
\tilde{g}=-a\left(e^{1} \otimes e^{1}+e^{2} \otimes e^{2}\right)+e^{1} \odot e^{4}-e^{2} \odot e^{3}+\gamma, \quad a \in \mathbb{R} ;
$$

3. $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the solvable Lie algebra

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{2}{3} e^{17}, \frac{2}{3} e^{27}, \frac{b}{3} e^{17}+\frac{4}{3} e^{37}+e^{12}, \frac{b}{3} e^{27}+\frac{4}{3} e^{47},\right. \\
& \left.2 a\left(e^{13}+e^{24}\right)+2\left(e^{14}-e^{23}+b e^{12}+e^{57}\right), 2 a\left(e^{13}+e^{24}\right)+2\left(e^{14}-e^{23}+b e^{12}+e^{57}\right), 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with metric

$$
\tilde{g}=-b\left(e^{1} \otimes e^{1}+e^{2} \otimes e^{2}\right)+a\left(e^{1} \odot e^{4}-e^{2} \odot e^{3}\right)-e^{1} \odot e^{3}-e^{2} \odot e^{4}+\gamma, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}
$$

where we have set $\gamma=-e^{5} \otimes e^{5}+e^{6} \otimes e^{6}-e^{7} \otimes e^{7}$.
Proof By Proposition 1.3, every $\mathfrak{z}$-standard Sasaki Lie algebra can be obtained by extending a four-dimensional pseudo-Kähler Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. By the classification of [30], we have the following possibilities:

1. $\mathfrak{g}$ is abelian; we can assume that the metric is either positive-definite or neutral. Then, we obtain the Lie algebras of Example 3.9, i.e.,

$$
\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}=\left(e^{17}, e^{27}, e^{37}, e^{47}, 2 \epsilon_{1} e^{12}+2 \epsilon_{2} e^{34}+2 e^{57}, 2 \epsilon_{1} e^{12}+2 \epsilon_{2} e^{34}+2 e^{57}, 0\right)
$$

with metric

$$
\tilde{g}=\epsilon_{1}\left(e^{1} \otimes e^{1}+e^{2} \otimes e^{2}\right)+\epsilon_{2}\left(e^{3} \otimes e^{3}+e^{4} \otimes e^{4}\right)-e^{5} \otimes e^{5}+e^{6} \otimes e^{6}-e^{7} \otimes e^{7}
$$

where $\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}= \pm 1$.
2. $\check{\mathfrak{g}}=\left(0,0, e^{12}, 0\right)$, with $J e_{1}=e_{2}, J e_{3}=e_{4}$, and $\omega=e^{13}+e^{24}+a e^{12}$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Then,

$$
\check{g}=-a\left(e^{1} \otimes e^{1}+e^{2} \otimes e^{2}\right)+e^{1} \odot e^{4}-e^{2} \odot e^{3}
$$

The generic $\check{D}$ satisfying the hypothesis of Proposition 3.5 is:

$$
\check{D}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{2}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{2}{3} & 0 & 0 \\
\lambda & \frac{a}{3} & \frac{4}{3} & 0 \\
-\frac{a}{3} & \lambda & 0 & \frac{4}{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

By Theorem 4.1, we can assume $\lambda=0$. Therefore, we obtain the extension

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}= & \left(\frac{2}{3} e^{17}, \frac{2}{3} e^{27}, \frac{a}{3} e^{27}+\frac{4}{3} e^{37}+e^{12},-\frac{a}{3} e^{17}+\frac{4}{3} e^{47},\right. \\
& \left.2 e^{13}+2 e^{24}+2 a e^{12}+2 e^{57}, 2 e^{13}+2 e^{24}+2 a e^{12}+2 e^{57}, 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with the metric

$$
\widetilde{g}=\check{g}-e^{5} \otimes e^{5}+e^{6} \otimes e^{6}-e^{7} \otimes e^{7}
$$

3. $\check{\mathfrak{g}}=\left(0,0, e^{12}, 0\right)$ with $J e_{1}=e_{2}, J e_{3}=e_{4}$, and $\omega=a\left(e^{13}+e^{24}\right)+e^{14}-e^{23}+b e^{12}$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Then,

$$
\check{g}=-b\left(e^{1} \otimes e^{1}+e^{2} \otimes e^{2}\right)+a\left(e^{1} \odot e^{4}-e^{2} \odot e^{3}\right)-e^{1} \odot e^{3}-e^{2} \odot e^{4}
$$

The generic $\check{D}$ satisfying the hypothesis of Proposition 3.5 is:

$$
\check{D}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{2}{3} & & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{2}{3} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & & \\
a \lambda+\frac{b}{3} & -\lambda & \frac{4}{3} & 0 \\
\lambda & a \lambda+\frac{b}{3} & 0 & \frac{4}{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Again, we may assume $\lambda=0$ and obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}= & \left(\frac{2}{3} e^{17}, \frac{2}{3} e^{27}, \frac{b}{3} e^{17}+\frac{4}{3} e^{37}+e^{12}, \frac{b}{3} e^{27}+\frac{4}{3} e^{47},\right. \\
& \left.2 a\left(e^{13}+e^{24}\right)+2 e^{14}-2 e^{23}+2 b e^{12}+2 e^{57}, 2 a\left(e^{13}+e^{24}\right)+2 e^{14}-2 e^{23}+2 b e^{12}+2 e^{57}, 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with the metric

$$
\tilde{g}=\check{g}-e^{5} \otimes e^{5}+e^{6} \otimes e^{6}-e^{7} \otimes e^{7} .
$$

Remark 4.5 For each of the Sasaki-Einstein Lie algebras of Theorem 4.4, the center is spanned by $e_{6}$; taking the quotient gives explicit pseudo-Kähler-Einstein Lie algebras.

Example 4.6 Consider the 6-dimensional Lie algebra $\check{\mathfrak{g}}=\left(0,0,0, e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{14}-e^{23}\right)$, denoted by $\mathfrak{h}_{11}$ in [17]; by [9,33], it admits a one-parameter family of complex structures. By the work of [17], we know that it has a four-dimensional space of compatible pseudo-Kähler metrics.

Instead of fixing the complex structure, we use the explicit form of the two families of pseudo-Kähler structures given in [34].

The first one is $\omega_{1}=e^{16}-\lambda e^{25}-(\lambda-1) e^{34}$, with the compatible complex structure

$$
J_{1}\left(e_{2}\right)=(1+\lambda) a e_{1}, \quad J_{1}\left(e_{4}\right)=a e_{3}, \quad J_{1}\left(e_{6}\right)=\frac{(1+\lambda) a}{\lambda} e_{5}
$$

and metric
$\breve{g}_{1}=-\omega_{1} J_{1}=\frac{\lambda}{a(\lambda+1)} e^{1} \odot e^{5}+a(\lambda+1) e^{2} \odot e^{6}+\frac{1-\lambda}{a} e^{3} \otimes e^{3}+a(1-\lambda) e^{4} \otimes e^{4}$,
while the second one is $\omega_{2}=e^{16}+e^{24}-\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{25}-e^{34}\right)$ with the compatible complex structure

$$
J_{2}\left(e_{2}\right)=-a e_{1}, \quad J_{2}\left(e_{3}\right)=\frac{3}{2 a} e_{4}+\frac{3}{a} e_{5}, \quad J_{2}\left(e_{4}\right)=-\frac{2}{3} a e_{3}-\frac{1}{a} e_{6}, \quad J_{2}\left(e_{6}\right)=-2 a e_{5}
$$

and metric $\breve{g}_{2}=-\omega_{2} J_{2}$.
The first case, imposing $\left[\check{D}, J_{1}\right]=0$, gives

$$
\check{D}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
\frac{\mu_{1}}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\mu_{1}}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{\mu_{2}}{b} & -a^{2} \frac{\mu_{3}}{b}(b+1) & \frac{2 \mu_{1}}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{\mu_{3}}{b} & \mu_{2}+\frac{\mu_{2}}{b} & 0 & \frac{2 \mu_{1}}{3} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{\mu_{4}}{b}-a^{2} \frac{\mu_{5}}{b}(b+1)^{2} & \mu_{2}+\frac{\mu_{2}}{b}-a^{2} \frac{\mu_{3}}{b}(b+1) & \mu_{1} & 0 \\
\mu_{5} & \mu_{4} & \mu_{3} & \mu_{2} & 0 & \mu_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and imposing $\check{D}^{s}=$ Id gives $\mu_{1}=\frac{3}{2}$ and $\mu_{i}=0$ for $i=2, \ldots, 5$, that is

$$
\check{D}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{3}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{3}{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Writing $e_{7}, e_{8}, e_{9}$ instead of $b, \xi, e_{0}$, the metric on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is then $\widetilde{g}=\breve{g}_{1}-e^{7} \otimes e^{7}+e^{8} \otimes e^{8}-e^{9} \otimes e^{9}$, whilst the Lie algebra is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}= & \left(\frac{1}{2} e^{19}, \frac{1}{2} e^{29}, e^{39}, e^{12}+e^{49}, e^{13}+\frac{3}{2} e^{59}, e^{14}-e^{23}+\frac{3}{2} e^{69},\right. \\
& \left.2 e^{16}-2 \lambda e^{25}-2(\lambda-1) e^{34}+2 e^{79}, 2 e^{16}-2 \lambda e^{25}-2(\lambda-1) e^{34}+2 e^{79}, 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, $\left[\check{D}, J_{2}\right]=0$ gives

$$
\check{D}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
\frac{\mu_{1}}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\mu_{1}}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
2 \mu_{2} & -\frac{2}{3}\left(2 a^{2} \mu_{3}+\mu_{1}\right) & \frac{2 \mu_{1}}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
2 \mu_{3}+\frac{\mu_{1}}{a^{2}} & 3 \mu_{2} & 0 & \frac{2 \mu_{1}}{3} & 0 & 0 \\
2\left(\mu_{4}+2 \mu_{3}+\frac{\mu_{1}}{a^{2}}\right) & -2\left(a^{2} \mu_{5}+3 \mu_{2}\right) & 3 \mu_{2} & -\frac{2}{3}\left(2 a^{2} \mu_{3}+\mu_{1}\right) \mu_{1} & 0 \\
\mu_{5} & \mu_{4} & \mu_{3} & \mu_{2} & 0 & \mu_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

but imposing $\check{D}^{s}=$ Id does not yield any solution for the $\mu_{i}$.
Example 4.7 The following example shows a $\mathfrak{z}$-standard Sasaki-Einstein $\mathfrak{g}$ obtained by extending a 6 -dimensional pseudo-Kähler Lie algebra with a derivation $\check{D}$, which is not a multiple of the $\mathfrak{c u}(p, q)$-Nikolayevsky derivation. Consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}=$ $\left(0,0, e^{12}, 0,0,0\right)$ with symplectic form $\omega=e^{13}+e^{24}+e^{56}$ and complex structure $J\left(e_{1}\right)=e_{2}, J\left(e_{3}\right)=e_{4}$ and $J\left(e_{5}\right)=e_{6}$. Then,

$$
\check{D}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
\frac{2}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{2}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\mu & 0 & \frac{4}{3} & 0 & \lambda & -v \\
0 & \mu & 0 & \frac{4}{3} & \nu & \lambda \\
\nu & -\lambda & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\rho \\
\lambda & \nu & 0 & 0 & \rho & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

satisfies the hypothesis of Proposition 3.5 and therefore determines a $\mathfrak{z}$-standard SasakiEinstein $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ Lie algebra of dimension 9 . The derivation $\check{D}$ is not diagonalizable over $\mathbb{R}$, but has eigenvalues $\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, 1-i \rho, 1+i \rho, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{4}{3}\right)$; therefore, $\check{D}$ is only a multiple of the $\mathfrak{c u}(p, q)$ Nikolayevsky derivation when $\rho$ is zero. Note, however, that all the resulting extensions are isometric by Theorem 4.1.
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