

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Open Access



Extended NICUs or specialized pediatric networks? The need to reinforce centralized, multidisciplinary care – a comment on Decembrino et al.

Giacomo Brisca^{1*}, Pablo Mauricio Ingelmo², Anna Camporesi³, Matteo Di Nardo⁴, Andrea Dato¹, Zaccaria Ricci^{5,6}, Leonardo Bussolin⁷, Giorgio Conti⁸, Simonetta Tesoro⁹, Carmelo Minardi¹⁰, Geremia Zito Marinosci¹¹, Andrea Wolflier¹ and Andrea Moscatelli¹ on behalf of the Italian Society of Neonatal and Pediatric Anesthesia and Intensive Care (SARNePI)

Abstract

The recent article by Decembrino et al. highlights the burden of RSV-related bronchiolitis admissions to Italian Neonatal Intensive Care Units (NICUs) during the 2021 season and proposes extending NICU roles to compensate for the national shortage of Pediatric Intensive Care Unit (PICU) beds. While acknowledging the urgent problem, we argue that such a strategy risks fragmenting pediatric critical care. Evidence consistently demonstrates that critically ill children achieve better outcomes in high-volume, specialized centers equipped with multidisciplinary expertise, resources, and continuous training. Italy currently counts only 273 PICU beds, corresponding to one per 35,856 children, far below European standards and with significant regional disparities—most notably in Southern Italy and Sardinia. International data indicate that higher patient volumes are associated with improved survival, supporting the consolidation of PICUs within sustainable hub-and-spoke networks. Regional initiatives, such as the Ligurian model integrating a central hub with 24/7 pediatric transport, demonstrate the feasibility of this approach. Future planning should focus on strengthening national referral systems, enhancing transport capabilities, and consolidating pediatric intensive care. With RSV prevention strategies evolving, Italy must build a resilient and flexible system, ensuring that all critically ill children have timely access to specialized, high-quality care.

*Correspondence:

Giacomo Brisca
giacomobrisca@gmail.com

¹Neonatal and Pediatric Intensive Care Unit, Intermediate Care Unit, Department of Emergency Medicine, Anesthesia, and Critical Care, IRCCS Istituto Giannina Gaslini, via Gerolamo Gaslini 5, 16147 Genova, Italy

²Pediatric Pain Treatment and Palliative Medicine Service, Department of Medicine and Surgery, Fondazione IRCCS San Gerardo dei Tintori, Milan Bicocca University, Monza, Milan, Italy

³Department of Pediatric Anesthesia and Intensive Care Unit, Buzzi Children's Hospital, Milan, Italy

⁴Pediatric Intensive Care Unit, IRCCS Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy

⁵Pediatric Intensive Care Unit, Meyer Children's Hospital, IRCCS, Florence, Italy

⁶Department of Health Science, Section of Anesthesia and Intensive Care, University of Florence, Florence, Italy

⁷Italian Society of Pediatric and Neonatal Anesthesia and Intensive Care (SARNePI), Milan, Italy

⁸Sacred Heart Catholic University, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario Gemelli IRCCS, Rome, Italy

⁹Division of Anesthesia, Analgesia, and Intensive Care, Santa Maria della Misericordia University Hospital, Perugia, Italy

¹⁰Department of Anesthesiology, Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria Policlinico-San Marco, University of Catania, Catania, Italy

¹¹Pediatric Critical Care Unit, Santobono-Pauslipon Children's Hospital, Naples, Italy



© The Author(s) 2025. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

To the Editor,

We read with great interest the article by Decembrino et al. [1], which describes the burden of RSV-related bronchiolitis admissions to Italian Neonatal Intensive Care Units (NICUs) during the 2021 epidemic season, and proposes the concept of “Extended NICUs” to address the national shortage of Pediatric Intensive Care Unit (PICU) beds.

While we share the authors’ concern regarding the limited PICU capacity in Italy, we believe that the proposed solution—broadening the role of NICUs to care for toddlers and critically ill infants—may lead to unintended fragmentation of pediatric care.

Critically ill children benefit from care in environments equipped with multidisciplinary pediatric expertise, including pediatric anesthesiology, surgery, subspecialties, and staff experienced in the physiological and psychological complexity of infants and toddlers. These elements are challenging to replicate across multiple smaller units, particularly in facilities with low pediatric patient volumes. Consolidating care in high-volume, specialized centers ensures better outcomes, continuity of care, and appropriate resource utilization.

Current PICU capacity in Italy

European standards [2] suggest one PICU bed for each 20,000–30,000 children. As outlined by Minardi et al. [3], Italy currently counts only 273 PICU beds for a pediatric population of 9,788,622 children aged 1–18 years, corresponding to one bed per 35,856 children. Based on international standards, this translates into an estimated 44% national shortage. A breakdown by macro-area highlights marked regional disparities, with the most critical situation observed in Southern Italy, where the gap between available resources and patient needs is most evident. Particularly concerning is Sardinia, a geographically isolated region with no pediatric intensive care beds currently available (Table 1).

Evidence from international experience

International evidence demonstrates that simply multiplying small-volume units is neither clinically effective nor economically sustainable. Tilford et al. [4] analyzed 16 PICUs and found that for every additional 100 admissions, risk-adjusted mortality decreased (Odds Ratio [OR] 0.95, 95% Confidence Interval [CI] 0.91–0.99) and length of stay was reduced. Similarly, Marcin et al. [5] demonstrated that higher volumes were generally associated with lower severity-adjusted mortality. Importantly, this relationship was non-linear (“reversed J-shaped”): mortality decreased with increasing volume up to a threshold, then rose again in the very highest-volume units. The lowest mortality was observed in PICUs with

~ 992–1,491 admissions per year, with the nadir around 1,250 admissions.

On this basis, we advocate the development of a sustainable Italian PICU network in which each unit serves an appropriately sized catchment area, ensuring patient volumes align with these standards. Exceptions may be necessary in geographically isolated areas, such as Sardinia, where a local PICU is justified despite lower volumes due to referral difficulties. Within such a network, PICUs should also be stratified according to level of specialization (e.g., community, tertiary, quaternary/specialized), as outlined by Frankel et al. [6].

Policy framework and regional models

Both clinical and regulatory guidance support this vision. In particular, joint recommendations from the Italian Society of Anesthesia, Analgesia, Resuscitation and Intensive Care (SIAARTI) and the Italian Society of Neonatal and Pediatric Anesthesia and Intensive Care (SARNePI) [7] strongly support the development of regional pediatric care networks based on the hub-and-spoke model, in line with national legislation—such as Law no. 135 of August 7, 2012 [8], and the Ministerial Decree of April 2, 2015 (no. 70) [9]. These recommendations underscore the need to concentrate expertise and resources, reducing the current fragmentation of pediatric services across low-volume centers—a situation recognized as a latent systemic vulnerability.

In this spirit, the Liguria Region has attempted to implement a small-scale model of this very concept, connecting all regional pediatric units to a central hub, Giannina Gaslini Children’s Hospital [10]. A dedicated 24/7 pediatric transport system allows for the safe and timely centralization of all patients requiring advanced pediatric care. This system has already proven effective, particularly during the recent RSV epidemic seasons, in ensuring the coordinated and specialized management of severe bronchiolitis cases. Preliminary data, currently under review, suggest the adequacy and reliability of this

Table 1 PICU availability, annual admissions, and estimated bed shortages across Italian macro-areas

Italian Macro-areas	PICUs, <i>n</i>	PICUs admissions per year, median, <i>n</i> (IQR)	PICUs beds need-ed, <i>n</i>	PICUs beds avail-able, <i>n</i>	PICUs beds short-age, %
North	8	301 (218.2–362.5)	222	128	42
Center	2	305 (296.5–313.5)	92	90	2
South	4	168.5 (135.2–230.2)	168	55	67
Total	14	774.5 (649.9–906.2)	482	273	44

integrated model. Similar solutions have been implemented in other Italian regions.

This pediatric referral system, developed by the Ligurian Government, aims to optimize pediatric care and ensure that every child receives timely treatment in the most suitable clinical setting. Although a virtuous example, it highlights the need to plan specialized pediatric services not only at the regional but also at the national level. This is particularly relevant for highly specialized fields, such as critical care, in the context of a steadily declining population. Unfortunately, beyond the cited policy documents and those listed in the references of Minardi et al. [11], no additional regulatory statements are currently available on this issue.

Transport and referral networks

In the short term, simple organizational interventions could rapidly improve access to pediatric intensive care. Level III and IV PICUs should be formally designated as referral centers within the structured national network, coordinating both capacity and secondary transport of critically ill patients. Referral centers across northern, central, and southern Italy, if adequately supported, could ensure national coverage through ground, rotor, or fixed-wing transport. The Italian Air Force is institutionally responsible for life-saving ambulance flights and should be integrated into this network. Until bed availability is adequate, centralization should be guided by age and severity: the youngest and most critically ill should be referred to tertiary or quaternary centers; older patients may be managed in adult ICUs with pediatric support; and less severe infants may be safely cared for in NICUs, with telemedicine support from referral centers.

Structured pediatric transport systems are a key component of this model. In Italy, primary transports are managed by the Emergency Medical Services (the “so-called 118”), which may also manage secondary transfers. They will always need to stabilize pediatric patients on the scene, making it essential that they develop and retain pediatric competencies. Some referral centers have developed specialized transport systems capable of retrievals on a national basis, including ECMO (Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation) transports. When specialized services are not available, patients are transported by the referring institution, usually managed by anesthesia and intensive care specialists, pediatricians, or both in collaboration. Ideally, referral institutions should provide specialized transport services staffed by pediatric intensivists and PICU nurses, though complementary options (118 services, mixed teams) remain essential to address logistical or clinical constraints.

Limitations of the “Extended NICU” model

Notably, the study by Decembrino et al. [1] highlights two particularly concerning findings. First, more than 30% of the NICUs included reported managing fewer than 10 bronchiolitis cases over a year, raising questions about the adequacy of experience and skill maintenance in such settings. Second, the authors acknowledge that many of these NICUs currently managing toddlers with bronchiolitis fall short of guideline-based standards. The limited use of high-flow nasal cannula (HFNC) and continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP), the frequent prescription of steroids, beta-2 agonists, and antibiotics, and the reported need for training in vascular access for older infants all point to gaps in pediatric-specific critical care skills. In contrast, such competencies—including appropriate respiratory support strategies, adherence to bronchiolitis treatment guidelines, and age-appropriate procedural expertise—are well-established and routinely practiced in specialized pediatric centers, where ongoing education and multidisciplinary collaboration are embedded in daily care.

Importantly, the challenges of pediatric intensive care extend far beyond bronchiolitis. While bronchiolitis has historically been a leading cause of PICU admission during the winter, this landscape is rapidly evolving. New preventive strategies, particularly extended immunoprophylaxis with nirsevimab, are already showing promising results. Real-world studies report ~ 80% reductions in RSV-related hospitalizations and PICU admissions, with lower odds of RSV hospitalization and PICU admission [12].

Therefore, a long-term healthcare planning model should not be built around a single disease, especially one undergoing epidemiological transformation. Rather, it should prioritize the development of resilient and flexible pediatric critical care systems, capable of addressing a wide range of variable, complex, and high-risk conditions in the most appropriate settings—centers with established infrastructure, multidisciplinary teams, and continuous training.

Conclusions

In our view, especially in the context of a declining birth rate, the future of pediatric intensive care in Italy depends not on decentralization, but on the consolidation and networking of specialized services. With efficient transport systems in place, every child—regardless of where they live—can receive timely, high-quality, comprehensive care.

Finally, it is essential to remember that RSV infection is, in most cases, a self-limiting disease. The subset of patients requiring ICU admission is those at the highest risk of deterioration and thus need the possibility of rapid escalation of care. The cost of inappropriate care—be it

an avoidable death or long-term disability—is far greater than the cost of the referral to a tertiary or quaternary care institution, for the patients, their families, and society as a whole.

Abbreviations

NICU	Neonatal Intensive Care Unit
PICU	Pediatric Intensive Care Unit
HFNC	HighFlow Nasal Cannula
CPAP	Continuous Positive Airway Pressure
OR	Odds ratio
CI	Confidence Interval
ECMO	Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation
SIAARTI	Società Italiana di Anestesia, Analgesia, Rianimazione e Terapia Intensiva
SARNEPI	Società Italiana di Anestesia e Rianimazione Neonatale e Pediatrica

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Author contributions

GB and AM conceptualized the work. GB drafted the initial manuscript. PMI, AC, MDN, AD, ZR, LB, GC, ST, CM, GZM, AW, and AM critically reviewed the manuscript. AM supervised the work. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding

None.

Data availability

Not applicable.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 16 July 2025 / Accepted: 23 November 2025

Published online: 07 January 2026

References

1. Decembrino N, Leonardi R, Fedeli T, et al. Admission rate for bronchiolitis of newborns and infants in Italian neonatal intensive care units in 2021: a survey

- of the Italian society of Neonatology - Intensive care of early childhood study group. *Ital J Pediatr*. 2025;51(1):192. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13052-025-01977-x>. PMID: 40528201; PMCID: PMC12175376.
2. Nipshagen MD, Polderman KH, DeVicor D, Gemke RJ. Pediatric intensive care: result of a European survey. *Intensive Care Med*. 2002;28(12):1797–803. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-002-1532-y>. Epub 2002 Oct 29. PMID: 12447526.
3. Minardi C, Conti G, Moscatelli A, et al. Shortage of paediatric intensive care unit beds in Italy. *Lancet*. 2023;402(10412):1525. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(23\)01791-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(23)01791-9).
4. Tilford JM, Simpson PM, Green JW, Lensing S, Fiser DH. Volume-outcome relationships in pediatric intensive care units. *Pediatrics*. 2000;106(2 Pt 1):289–94. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.106.2.289>. PMID: 10920153.
5. Marcin JP, Song J, Leigh JP. The impact of pediatric intensive care unit volume on mortality: a hierarchical instrumental variable analysis. *Pediatr Crit Care Med*. 2005;6(2):136–41. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.PCC.0000154962.73861.6>. PMID: 15730598.
6. Frankel LR, Hsu BS, Yeh TS, Simone S, Agus MSD, Arca MJ, Coss-Bu JA, Fallat ME, Foland J, Gadepalli S, Gayle MO, Harmon LA, Hill V, Joseph CA, Kessel AD, Kissoon N, Moss M, Mysore MR, Papo ME, Rajzer-Wakeham KL, Rice TB, Rosenberg DL, Wakeham MK, Conway EE Jr. Voting panel. Criteria for critical care infants and children: picu admission, discharge, and triage practice statement and levels of care guidance. *Pediatr Crit Care Med*. 2019;20(9):847–887. PMID: 31483379. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PCC.0000000000001963>.
7. <https://www.siaarti.it/news/371363>. Accessed July 1, 2025.
8. Law No. 135 of August 7, 2012. Urgent provisions for the review of public spending while maintaining services to citizens. Official Gazette of the Italian Republic, General Series, No. 189, August 14, 2012. Available at: <https://www.gazzettaufficiale.it/eli/id/2012/08/14/12A09068/sg>. Accessed July 1, 2025.
9. Ministry of Health. Ministerial Decree No. 70, April 2, 2015: Regulation defining qualitative, structural, technological, and quantitative standards for hospital care. Official Gazette of the Italian Republic, General Series, No. 127, June 4, 2015. Available at: <https://www.gazzettaufficiale.it/eli/id/2015/06/04/15G00084/sg>. Accessed July 1, 2025.
10. Giannina Gaslini Children's Hospital. Available at: <https://www.gaslini.org/assistenza-e-cura/attivita-sanitarie/gaslini-diffuso/>. Accessed July 1, 2025.
11. Ministero della Salute. Sviluppo organizzativo e gestionale dell'area critica e percorso diagnostico terapeutico assistenziale (PDTA) nel SSN. 2023. Available at: https://www.salute.gov.it/portale/documentazione/p6_2_2_1.jsp?lingua=italiano%26;id=3316. Accessed June 29, 2023.
12. Sumsuzzman DM, Wang Z, Langley JM, Moghadas SM. Real-world effectiveness of nirsevimab against respiratory syncytial virus disease in infants: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health*. 2025;9(6):393–403. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642\(25\)00093-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642(25)00093-8). Epub 2025 May 1. PMID: 40319903.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.