

ON KERNEL BUNDLES OVER REDUCIBLE CURVES WITH A NODE

SONIA BRIVIO AND FILIPPO F. FAVALE

ABSTRACT. Given a vector bundle E on a complex reduced curve C and a subspace V of $H^0(E)$ which generates E , one can consider the kernel of the evaluation map $ev_V : V \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow E$, i.e. the *kernel bundle* $M_{E,V}$ associated to the pair (E, V) . Motivated by a well known conjecture of Butler about the semistability of $M_{E,V}$ and by the results obtained by several authors when the ambient space is a smooth curve, we investigate the case of a reducible curve with one node. Unexpectedly, we are able to prove results which goes in the opposite direction with respect to what is known in the smooth case. For example, $M_{E,H^0(E)}$ is actually quite never w -semistable. Conditions which gives the w -semistability of $M_{E,V}$ when $V \subset H^0(E)$ or when E is a line bundle are then given.

INTRODUCTION

Let C be a complex reduced projective curve. Let (E, V) be a pair on C given by a vector bundle E of rank r and a vector space $V \subseteq H^0(E)$ of dimension $k \geq r + 1$. These pairs have been studied extensively by many authors, in particular when C is smooth, and are called *coherent systems*. For example, one can see [8] and [22]. In this paper we will be interested in pairs where E is generated by the global sections of V , i.e. when the evaluation map $ev_V : V \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow E$ is surjective: we will call these pairs *generated pairs*. In these case, the kernel $M_{E,V}$ of the evaluation map ev_V is a vector bundle of rank $k - r$ on C which fits into the following exact sequence

$$(0.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow M_{E,V} \longrightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \xrightarrow{ev_V} E \longrightarrow 0$$

and it is called the *kernel bundle* (or the *Lazarfeld bundle*) of the pair (E, V) . When $V = H^0(E)$, then it is denoted by M_E and this case is said the *complete case*.

Generated pairs encode a lot of the geometry of the curve as well as a lot of interesting informations about it. For example, a generated pair (E, V) defines a morphism

$$\varphi_{E,V} : C \rightarrow G(k - r, V), \quad x \rightarrow \text{Ker}(ev_{V,x}),$$

where $G(k - r, V)$ denotes the Grassmannian variety of $(k - r)$ -dimensional linear subspaces of V . Then, the exact sequence 0.1 is actually the pull back by $\varphi_{E,V}$ of the following exact

Date: April 15, 2020.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 14H60; Secondary: 14D20

Keywords: Kernel bundle, Stability, nodal curves.

Both authors are members of GNSAGA-INdAM.

sequence on $G(k - r, V)$:

$$(0.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{G(k-r,V)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow 0$$

where \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{Q} are respectively the universal and quotient bundle on $G(k - r, V)$.

Kernel bundles have been studied by many authors when the curve C is smooth and irreducible, because of their rich applications (see [23] for an overview). In particular, their stability properties, which have been studied with respect to different point of view (see, for instance, [19, 24]) are closely related to higher rank Brill-Noether theory and moduli spaces of coherent systems (see [3, 5, 8] for example). Finally, they have been useful in studying theta divisors and the geometry of moduli space of vector bundles on curves (see [2, 9–12, 14, 26], for example).

In the complete case, Butler, in his seminal work [15], proved that on a smooth irreducible curve of genus g the kernel bundle M_E is semistable for any semistable E of degree $d \geq 2rg$ and it is actually stable if E is stable of degree $d > 2gr$. In the case of line bundles, this result has been improved in several works by taking into consideration the Clifford index of the curve. For example, see [6, 16, 17, 25].

For the general case, in [16] Butler made the following conjecture:

Conjecture. *For a general smooth curve of genus $g \geq 3$ and a general choice of a generated pair (E, V) , where E is a semistable vector bundle, the kernel bundle $M_{E,V}$ is semistable.*

Much work has been done in the direction of solving this conjecture. In particular it has been completely proved in [4] in the case of line bundles. Moreover, many conditions for stability are given (see also [3]).

It seems natural to ask whether similar results hold in the case of singular curves. The aim of this paper is to investigate stability properties for kernel bundles on a nodal reducible curve. More precisely, we will consider a complex reducible projective curve C with two smooth irreducible components C_i , of genus $g_i \geq 2$, $i = 1, 2$, and a single node p . Some modifications to the environment are required, obviously. For example, the notion of semistability needs to be replaced with the notion of w -semistability for a given polarization w (see Definition 1.3). We will say that a vector bundle on C is *strongly unstable* if it is w -unstable for any polarization on the curve (see Definition 1.4). The theory and the results that we will need are summarized in Section 1.

Our first result is Theorem 2.4, which is proved in Section 2.

Theorem. *Let C be a nodal curve as above. Let (E, V) be a generated pair on the curve C and let E_i be the restriction of E to the component C_i . If E_i is semistable and $\dim(V \cap H^0(E_i(-p))) \geq 1$, then $M_{E, V}$ is strongly unstable and both its restrictions to the components are unstable.*

As a corollary of this result, we have that the kernel bundle M_E is strongly unstable for any globally generated vector bundle E whose restrictions E_i are semistable and not trivial (see Corollary 2.6). This is a somewhat unexpected result as in the smooth case, by the result of Butler, M_E is always semistable for any semistable E with degree sufficiently big. This impressive difference shows that it is worth going on this kind of problems.

It is natural to consider the restrictions of a generated pair (E, V) to the component C_i . In this way, we show that we get again a generated pair (E_i, V_i) . Nevertheless, it is not always true that the kernel bundle associated to (E_i, V_i) is the restriction of $M_{E, V}$ to C_i . Indeed in the case of Theorem 2.4, we have that M_{E_i, V_i} is a destabilizing quotient for the restriction of $M_{E, V}$ to C_i .

In section 3 we study the stability of $M_{E, V}$ when $M_{E, V}|_{C_i} \simeq M_{E_i, V_i}$. We first show that this is equivalent to the following condition:

$$(\star) \quad V \cap H^0(E_1(-p)) = V \cap H^0(E_2(-p)) = \{0\}.$$

Then, we prove Theorem 3.2:

Theorem. *Let C be a nodal curve as above. Let (E, V) be a generated pair on the curve C satisfying condition (\star) . If both M_{E_1, V_1} and M_{E_2, V_2} are semistable then there exists a polarization w such that $M_{E, V}$ is w -semistable.*

We conclude Section 3 by applying the above theorem to special cases where we know semistability of the kernel bundles M_{E_i, V_i} . The results are stated in Theorem 3.3 and 3.5.

Acknowledgements. The authors want to express their gratitude to the anonymous referee for helpful remarks and to Prof. P.E. Newstead for his keen suggestions which contributed to the final version of this paper.

1. VECTOR BUNDLES ON NODAL REDUCIBLE CURVES

Let C be a complex projective curve with two smooth irreducible components and one single node p , i.e. p is an ordinary double point. Assume that

$$\nu: C_1 \sqcup C_2 \rightarrow C$$

is a normalization map for C , where C_i is a smooth irreducible projective curve of genus $g_i \geq 2$. Then $\nu^{-1}(x)$ is a single point except when x is the node, in the latter case we have: $\nu^{-1}(p) = \{q_1, q_2\}$ with $q_i \in C_i$. Since for $i = 1, 2$ the restriction

$$\nu|_{C_i}: C_i \rightarrow \nu(C_i)$$

is an isomorphism, we will call as well C_1 and C_2 the components of C . As C is of compact type, i.e. every node of C disconnects the curve, the pull back map ν^* induces an isomorphism

$$\text{Pic}(C) \simeq \text{Pic}(C_1 \sqcup C_2) \simeq \text{Pic}(C_1) \times \text{Pic}(C_2).$$

Moreover, the curve C is contained in a smooth irreducible projective surface X . On it, C , C_1 and C_2 are effective divisors and we have: $C = C_1 + C_2$ with $C_1 \cdot C_2 = 1$. In particular C is 1-connected, so the sheaf $\omega_C = \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(C)$ is a dualizing sheaf for C and we have $h^1(C, \omega_C) = 1$, see [20].

Moreover, for $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ with $i \neq j$, we have an exact sequence

$$(1.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_i}(-C_j) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_j} \longrightarrow 0$$

from which we get

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_C) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_{C_i}(-C_j)) + \chi(\mathcal{O}_{C_j}) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_{C_i}(-p)) + \chi(\mathcal{O}_{C_j}).$$

Let $p_a(C) = 1 - \chi(\mathcal{O}_C)$ be the arithmetic genus of C , then we have:

$$p_a(C) = g_1 + g_2.$$

Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ be the moduli space of stable curves of arithmetic genus g , it is a projective integral scheme containing as a dense subset the moduli space \mathcal{M}_g of smooth curves of genus g . The closure in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ of the locus of curves with a single node has codimension 1 and is the union of finitely many irreducible divisors Δ_i , where Δ_0 parametrizes irreducible nodal curves whereas, for $0 < i \leq [g/2]$, Δ_i parametrizes reducible nodal curves with two smooth irreducible components of genus i and $g - i$, see [18] and [21]. Let C be a nodal curve as above with $p_a(C) = g_1 + g_2$ and assume $g_1 \leq g_2$. We will say that C is a general reducible nodal curve if it is a general element of the divisor Δ_{g_1} . In this case, both of its components are general in their moduli spaces.

To talk about semistability for vector bundles on a reducible curve, we first need to introduce the notions of depth one sheaves following [27].

Definition 1.1. *A coherent sheaf E on C is of depth one if for any point $x \in C$ the stalk E_x is a $\mathcal{O}_{C,x}$ -module of depth one, i.e. there exists an element in the maximal ideal m_x of $\mathcal{O}_{C,x}$ which is not a zero divisor for E_x .*

Any vector bundle on C is a sheaf of depth one, any subsheaf of a vector bundle too. If E is a sheaf of depth one, then its restriction to C_i is a torsion free sheaf on $C_i \setminus p$. In particular, let $j_i: C_i \hookrightarrow C$ be the natural inclusion, if F_i is a vector bundle on C_i , then the sheaf $(j_i)_*(F_i)$ is a depth one sheaf on C and $\chi(C_i, F_i) = \chi(C, (j_i)_*(F_i))$. In the sequel, when no confusion arises we will denote with F_i on C the sheaf $(j_i)_*(F_i)$.

Let E be a depth one sheaf on C , let E_i denote the restriction on the component C_i modulo torsion. We define the *relative rank* and the *relative degree* of E with respect to the component C_i as follows:

$$r_i = \text{Rk}(E_i), \quad d_i = \text{deg}(E_i) = \chi(E_i) - r_i \chi(O_{C_i}),$$

where $\chi(E_i)$ is the Euler characteristic of E_i . We say that E has *multirank* (r_1, r_2) and *multidegree* (d_1, d_2) . For a vector bundle E , the restriction E_i is a vector bundle too and we have $r_1 = r_2 = r$, we denote r as the *rank* of E .

Definition 1.2. A polarization w of C is given by a pair of rational weights (w_1, w_2) such that $0 < w_i < 1$ and $w_1 + w_2 = 1$. For any sheaf E of depth one on C of multirank (r_1, r_2) we define the polarized slope as

$$\mu_w(E) = \frac{\chi(E)}{w_1 r_1 + w_2 r_2}.$$

Definition 1.3. A vector bundle E on C is called w -semistable if for any proper subsheaf $F \subset E$ we have $\mu_w(F) \leq \mu_w(E)$; it is said to be w -stable if $\mu_w(F) < \mu_w(E)$.

If w and w' are two polarizations, it can happen that a depth one sheaf E is w -semistable and it is not w' -semistable.

Definition 1.4. Let C be as above. We will say that a vector bundle E on C is strongly unstable if it is w -unstable with respect to any polarization on C .

We will need the following result, see [28, 29].

Theorem 1.1. Let C be a nodal curve with two smooth irreducible components C_i and a single node. Let E be a vector bundle on C of rank $r \geq 1$ with restrictions E_i , $i = 1, 2$ and w a polarization. Then we have the following properties:

(1) if E is w -semistable then:

$$(1.2) \quad w_i \chi(E) \leq \chi(E_i) \leq w_i \chi(E) + r;$$

(2) if E_1 and E_2 are semistable and E satisfies the above condition, then E is w -semistable. Moreover, if at least one of the restrictions is stable, then E is w -stable too.

2. STRONGLY UNSTABLE KERNEL BUNDLES

Let C be a nodal reducible curve with two smooth irreducible components C_i of genus $g_i \geq 2$ and a single node p as in Section 1. Let (E, V) be a generated pair on C , with E a vector bundle of rank $r \geq 1$ on C and $V \subseteq H^0(E)$ of dimension $k \geq r + 1$. Consider the kernel bundle $M_{E,V}$ associated to the pair (E, V) . It is a vector bundle of rank $k - r \geq 1$ and Euler-characteristic

$$\chi(M_{E,V}) = k(1 - p_a(C)) - \chi(E).$$

Let E_i be the restriction of E to the component C_i and let d_i be the degree of E_i . Let

$$(2.1) \quad \rho_i: H^0(E) \rightarrow H^0(E_i)$$

be the restriction map of global sections of E to the component C_i and let's consider its image

$$V_i = \rho_i(V).$$

We have a pair (E_i, V_i) on the curve C_i . This pair is said to be of type (r, d_i, k_i) as $r = \text{Rk}(E_i)$, d_i is the degree of E_i and $k_i = \dim V_i$. We will denote (E_i, V_i) as the restriction of the pair (E, V) to the curve C_i .

We have the following lemmas:

Lemma 2.1. *Let C be a nodal reducible curve as in Section 1. Let (E, V) be a generated pair on C . Then*

- (1) (E_i, V_i) is a generated pair on the curve C_i and $k_i \geq r$, $i = 1, 2$;
- (2) $h^0(E) = h^0(E_1) + h^0(E_2) - r$;
- (3) the restriction map $\rho_i: H^0(E) \rightarrow H^0(E_i)$ is surjective, for $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. (1) Consider the exact sequence 0.1 defining $M_{E,V}$. Since $\text{Tor}_{\mathcal{O}_C}^1(\mathcal{O}_{C_i}, E) = 0$ by tensoring the exact sequence with \mathcal{O}_{C_i} we get the following exact sequence of locally free sheaves on C_i :

$$0 \longrightarrow M_{E,V} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i} \longrightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i} \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{V|C_i}} E_i \longrightarrow 0.$$

We have the following commutative diagram

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M_{E,V} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i} & \longrightarrow & V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i} & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{V|C_i}} & E_i \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow \rho_i|_V & & \parallel \\ & & & & V_i \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i} & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{V_i}} & E_i \end{array}$$

From it we deduce that ev_{V_i} is surjective and thus, (E_i, V_i) is a generated pair. In particular, $k_i = \dim(V_i) \geq r$.

(2) Following [27], the vector bundle E on the curve C is obtained by gluing the vector bundles E_1 and E_2 along the fibers at the node. More precisely E is determined by the triple (E_1, E_2, σ) , where $\sigma \in \text{GL}(E_{1,p}, E_{2,p})$ is an isomorphism. In particular, σ induces the following commutative diagram, (see [13] for more details)

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & E_1 \oplus E_2 & \longrightarrow & E_{2,p} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow \rho_{1,p} \oplus \rho_{2,p} & & \parallel \\ & & & & E_{1,p} \oplus E_{2,p} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & E_{2,p} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where $\rho_{i,p}$ is the restriction map to the fiber at p and $\delta(u, v) = \sigma(u) - v$. Since by (1) E_i is globally generated, passing to cohomology we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(E) \rightarrow H^0(E_1) \oplus H^0(E_2) \rightarrow E_{2,p} \rightarrow 0,$$

which proves (2).

(3) Let $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ with $i \neq j$. If we tensor the exact sequence 1.1 with E , we obtain

$$0 \rightarrow E_j(-p) \rightarrow E \rightarrow E_i \rightarrow 0.$$

Passing to cohomology we have:

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(E_j(-p)) \longrightarrow H^0(E) \xrightarrow{\rho_i} H^0(E_i) \longrightarrow \dots$$

from which we deduce that $\text{Ker } \rho_i \simeq H^0(E_j(-p))$. Since E_j is globally generated we have:

$$\text{Rk } \rho_i = h^0(E) - h^0(E_j(-p)) = h^0(E) - h^0(E_j) + r = h^0(E_i).$$

□

Lemma 2.2. *Let C be a nodal reducible curve as in Section 1. Let (E, V) be a generated pair on C . If E_i is semistable and $\dim(V \cap H^0(E_i(-p))) \geq 1$, $i = 1, 2$, then we have:*

- (1) (E_i, V_i) is of type (r, d_i, k_i) , with $d_i \geq r$ and $k_i \leq k - 1$;
- (2) the kernel bundle M_{E_i, V_i} is a non trivial quotient of the restriction $M_{E, V} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i}$;
- (3) the restriction $M_{E, V} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i}$ is an unstable vector bundle on C_i .

Proof. (1)-(2) First of all note that the assumption $\dim(V \cap H^0(E_i(-p))) \geq 1$ implies $d_i \geq r$. Indeed, as $E_i(-p)$ has a non zero global section s_i , the zero locus of s_i is an effective divisor $Z_0(s_i)$ on C_i of degree at least 1 and we have an inclusion of sheaves $\mathcal{O}_{C_i}(Z_0(s_i)) \subset E_i$. Since E_i is semistable, we must have

$$1 \leq \deg(Z_0(s_i)) = \mu(\mathcal{O}_{C_i}(Z_0(s_i))) \leq \mu(E_i) = d_i/r,$$

which gives $d_i \geq r$.

Let $S_j = V \cap H^0(E_j(-p))$. By Lemma 2.1 and its proof, $\text{Ker}(\rho_i) \simeq H^0(E_j(-p))$ and $\text{Im}\rho_i \simeq H^0(E_i)$. Hence we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S_j \longrightarrow V \xrightarrow{\rho_i|_V} V_i \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\dim S_j \geq 1$ and $\dim V_i \leq k - 1$.

We can then complete diagram 2.2 obtaining the following one:

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & S_j \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i} & \xlongequal{\quad} & S_j \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M_{E,V} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i} & \longrightarrow & V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i} & \xrightarrow{ev_{V|C_i}} & E_i \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \rho_i|_V & & \downarrow \rho_i|_V & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M_{E_i,V_i} & \longrightarrow & V_i \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i} & \xrightarrow{ev_{V_i}} & E_i \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

By definition the kernel of ev_{V_i} is the kernel bundle M_{E_i,V_i} , which turns out to be a non trivial quotient of $M_{E,V} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i}$.

(3) We claim that $S_j \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i}$ is a non trivial destabilizing subsheaf of $M_{E,V} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i}$. In fact we have:

$$0 = \mu(S_j \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i}) > \mu(M_{E,V} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i}) = \frac{-d_i}{k-r} < 0,$$

since as we have seen $d_i \geq r$. □

Remark 2.2.1. Note that, under the assumption of Lemma 2.2, M_{E_i,V_i} is actually a destabilizing quotient for $M_{E,V} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i}$.

Remark 2.2.2. Let (E, V) be a generated pair with E_i semistable and not trivial. Then

$$(1) h^0(E_i(-p)) \geq 1.$$

Indeed, the restriction (E_i, V_i) of (E, V) is a generated pair and $\dim(V_i) \geq r$ by Lemma 2.1. Equality holds if and only if $E_i \simeq V_i \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i}$ which is impossible by assumption. Hence $h^0(E_i) \geq \dim(V_i) \geq r + 1$ and then $h^0(E_i(-p)) \geq 1$.

(2) If, moreover, $\dim(V) > h^0(E_i)$ then $V \cap H^0(E_j(-p)) \neq \{0\}$.

It is enough to notice that the restriction $\rho_i|_V : V \rightarrow H^0(E_i)$ cannot be injective in this case. Hence $V \cap \text{Ker}(\rho_i) = V \cap H^0(E_j(-p)) \neq \{0\}$.

In the sequel we will need the following result of Xiao which generalizes Clifford's Theorem to semistable vector bundles of rank $r \geq 2$ on smooth curves.

Theorem 2.3. *Let E be a semistable vector bundle of rank $r \geq 1$ on a smooth irreducible complex projective curve C of genus $g \geq 2$. If we assume that $0 \leq \mu(E) \leq 2g - 2$, then*

$$h^0(E) \leq \deg(E)/2 + r.$$

For the proof see [7].

Our first result is the following condition for strongly unstable kernel bundles:

Theorem 2.4. *Let C be a reducible nodal curve as in Section 1. Let (E, V) be a generated pair on C . If E_i is semistable and $\dim(V \cap H^0(E_i(-p))) \geq 1$, $i = 1, 2$, then the kernel bundle $M_{E,V}$ is strongly unstable.*

Proof. We have to prove that $M_{E,V}$ is w -unstable with respect to any polarization w on C . Assume on the contrary, that there exists a polarization $w = (w_1, w_2)$ such that $M_{E,V}$ is w -semistable. As in the proof of Lemma 2.2, let $S_j = V \cap H^0(E_j(-p))$ and $s_j = \dim S_j \geq 1$, $j = 1, 2$. As we have proved in Lemma 2.2, for $i \neq j$, the sheaf $S_j \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i}$ is a subsheaf of $M_{E,V} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i}$. Hence we also have the following inclusion of locally free sheaves on C_i :

$$S_j \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i}(-p) \hookrightarrow M_{E,V} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i}(-p).$$

If we tensor with $M_{E,V}$ the exact sequence 1.1 we obtain

$$0 \rightarrow M_{E,V} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i}(-p) \rightarrow M_{E,V} \rightarrow M_{E,V} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_j} \rightarrow 0,$$

from which we deduce the following inclusion of sheaves of depth one on C :

$$S_j \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i}(-p) \hookrightarrow M_{E,V}.$$

Since we are assuming that $M_{E,V}$ is w -semistable, we have

$$(2.5) \quad \mu_w(S_j \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i}(-p)) \leq \mu_w(M_{E,V}).$$

As

$$\mu_w(S_j \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i}(-p)) = \frac{\chi(S_j \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i}(-p))}{w_i s_j} = \frac{-g_i}{w_i},$$

and

$$\mu_w(M_{E,V}) = \frac{\chi(M_{E,V})}{k-r} = -\frac{(d_1 + d_2) + (k-r)(p_a(C) - 1)}{k-r},$$

from the inequality 2.5 we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad w_i \leq \frac{g_i(k-r)}{d_1 + d_2 + (k-r)(p_a(C) - 1)}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Now recall that (w_1, w_2) is a polarization so $w_1 + w_2 = 1$. Hence,

$$(2.7) \quad 1 = w_1 + w_2 \leq \frac{p_a(C)(k-r)}{d_1 + d_2 + (k-r)(p_a(C) - 1)} = \frac{p_a(C)(k-r)}{p_a(C)(k-r) + (d_1 + d_2 - k + r)}.$$

Claim: $k < d_1 + d_2 + r$.

First of all, by Lemma 2.1 we have:

$$(2.8) \quad k \leq h^0(E) = h^0(E_1) + h^0(E_2) - r.$$

In order to prove the claim, we can consider the following cases:

Case 1: $\mu(E_i) > 2g_i - 2$ for $i = 1, 2$. Since E_i are semistable this implies that $h^1(E_i) = 0$. So, by Riemann-Roch theorem,

$$k \leq h^0(E) = h^0(E_1) + h^0(E_2) - r = d_1 + d_2 + r(1 - g_1 - g_2) < d_1 + d_2 + r$$

as $g_1 + g_2 \geq 2$.

Case 2: $\mu(E_i) > 2g_i - 2$ and $\mu(E_j) \leq 2g_j - 2$ for $i \neq j$. We have $h^1(E_i) = 0$ so by Riemann-Roch we can compute $h^0(E_i)$. On the other hand, we can use Clifford's inequality in order to give a bound on $h^0(E_j)$. Then we get

$$k \leq h^0(E) = h^0(E_i) + h^0(E_j) - r \leq d_i + r(1 - g_i) + \frac{d_j}{2} + r - r < d_1 + d_2 + r$$

as $g_i \geq 2$ and $d_j > 0$.

Case 3: $\mu(E_i) \leq 2g_i - 2$ for $i = 1, 2$. We use Clifford's inequality for a bound on both vector bundles:

$$k \leq h^0(E) = h^0(E_1) + h^0(E_2) - r \leq \frac{d_1}{2} + r + \frac{d_2}{2} + r - r < d_1 + d_2 + r.$$

Note that the last inequality holds since $d_i \geq 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. This follows since E_i is semistable and $h^0(E_i(-p)) \geq 1$ by assumption.

From the claim and inequality 2.7 we obtain $1 = w_1 + w_2 < 1$ which is a contradiction. Hence $M_{E,V}$ is actually w -unstable for all polarizations w . \square

Let E be a globally generated vector bundle of rank r on the curve C . Then we can apply Lemma 2.2, Remark 2.2.2 and Theorem 2.4 to the generated pair $(E, H^0(E))$, in order to obtain the following result on its kernel bundle M_E :

Corollary 2.5. *Let C be a reducible nodal curve as in Section 1. Let E be a globally generated vector bundle on C . If E_1 and E_2 are semistable and not trivial, then the restriction of M_E to each component C_i is unstable and M_E is strongly unstable.*

In particular, for line bundles we obtain the following:

Corollary 2.6. *Let C be a reducible nodal curve as in Section 1. Let L be a globally generated line bundle on C with non trivial restrictions. Then the restriction of M_L to each component C_i is unstable and M_L is strongly unstable.*

3. w -SEMISTABLE KERNEL BUNDLES

Let C be a nodal reducible curve with two smooth irreducible components C_i of genus $g_i \geq 2$ and a single node p as in Section 1. Let (E, V) be a generated pair on C , where E is a vector bundle of rank $r \geq 1$ on C and $V \subset H^0(E)$ of dimension $k \geq r + 1$. Let E_i be the restriction of E to the component C_i and assume that E_1 and E_2 are both semistable. As we have seen in the previous section, when $V \cap H^0(E_j(-p)) \neq \{0\}$ for $j = 1, 2$, the kernel bundle of the pair (E, V) is strongly unstable and both restrictions are unstable. In this section we would like to study generated pairs (E, V) defining w -semistable kernel bundles with semistable restrictions. Hence it is natural to assume the following condition:

$$(\star) \quad V \cap H^0(E_1(-p)) = V \cap H^0(E_2(-p)) = \{0\}.$$

Let $M_{E,V}$ be the kernel bundle of the pair (E, V) and (E_i, V_i) the restriction of the pair (E, V) to the component C_i . Then we have the following lemma:

Lemma 3.1. *Let C be a reducible nodal curve as in Section 1. Let (E, V) be a generated pair, then we have the following properties:*

- (1) (E, V) satisfies (\star) if and only if $M_{E,V} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i} \simeq M_{E_i, V_i}$, $i = 1, 2$, where M_{E_i, V_i} is the kernel bundle of (E_i, V_i) on C_i ;
- (2) under the above assumption, there exists a polarization $w = (w_1, w_2)$ such that

$$w_i \chi(M_{E,V}) \leq \chi(M_{E_i, V_i}) \leq w_i \chi(M_{E,V}) + \text{Rk}(M_{E,V}), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Proof. (1) As we have seen in the proof of Lemma 2.2, the kernel of $\rho_i: H^0(E) \rightarrow H^0(E_i)$ is $H^0(E_j(-p))$. So, $\rho_{i|V}: V \rightarrow V_i$ is an isomorphism if and only if $H^0(E_j(-p)) \cap V = \{0\}$. From commutative diagram 2.4 of Lemma 2.2, $M_{E,V} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i} \simeq M_{E_i, V_i}$, if and only if

$$S_j = V \cap H^0(E_j(-p)) = \{0\}.$$

(2) We want to prove the existence of $w = (w_1, w_2) \in \mathbb{Q}^2$ with $0 < w_i < 1$ and $w_1 + w_2 = 1$ satisfying the conditions:

$$w_i \chi(M_{E,V}) \leq \chi(M_{E_i, V_i}) \leq w_i \chi(M_{E,V}) + \text{Rk}(M_{E,V}), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Since $\chi(M_{E,V}) = \chi(M_{E_1,V_1}) + \chi(M_{E_2,V_2}) - \text{Rk}(M_{E,V})$, it is easy to verify that whenever w_1 satisfies the above condition for $i = 1$, then $w_2 = 1 - w_1$ satisfies the condition for $i = 2$. So it is enough to find $w_1 \in (0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ satisfying the following system of inequalities:

$$\begin{cases} \chi(M_{E_1,V_1}) \leq w_1 \chi(M_{E,V}) + \text{Rk}(M_{E,V}) \\ \chi(M_{E_1,V_1}) \geq w_1 \chi(M_{E,V}). \end{cases}$$

From the exact sequences defining $M_{E,V}$ and M_{E_1,V_1} one can get

$$\chi(M_{E,V}) = (k-r)(1-p_a(C)) - (d_1+d_2), \quad \chi(M_{E_1,V_1}) = (k-r)(1-g_1) - d_1$$

and $\text{Rk}(M_{E,V}) = k-r$. By substituting in the above system we obtain:

$$\frac{(k-r)(g_1-1)+d_1}{(k-r)(p_a(C)-1)+(d_1+d_2)} \leq w_1 \leq \frac{(k-r)g_1+d_1}{(k-r)(p_a(C)-1)+(d_1+d_2)}.$$

By denoting

$$a_1 = \frac{(k-r)(g_1-1)+d_1}{(k-r)(p_a(C)-1)+(d_1+d_2)} \quad b_1 = \frac{(k-r)g_1+d_1}{(k-r)(p_a(C)-1)+(d_1+d_2)}$$

it is immediate to see that

$$0 < a_1 < b_1 < 1$$

under the hypothesis of the lemma. Hence, for any $w_1 \in (a_1, b_1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$, we have that (w_1, w_2) satisfies both the conditions of the lemma. \square

Using Lemma 3.1 we get the first result of this section.

Theorem 3.2. *Let C be a nodal reducible curve as in Section 1. Let (E, V) be a generated pair satisfying condition (\star) . If both M_{E_1,V_1} and M_{E_2,V_2} are semistable then there exists a polarization w such that $M_{E,V}$ is w -semistable. Moreover, if M_{E_i,V_i} is stable for at least one i , then $M_{E,V}$ is w -stable.*

Proof. We have to prove that $M_{E,V}$ is w -semistable for a suitable polarization. By Theorem 1.1, it is enough to verify that both restrictions of $M_{E,V}$ to C_1 and C_2 are semistable and to find a polarization w satisfying the conditions 1.2:

$$(3.1) \quad w_i \chi(M_{E,V}) \leq \chi(M_{E,V} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i}) \leq w_i \chi(M_{E,V}) + \text{Rk}(M_{E,V}), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

By Lemma 3.1 we have that the restrictions of $M_{E,V}$ are M_{E_i,V_i} , which are semistable by assumption. Finally, conditions 3.1 are exactly the ones given in Lemma 3.1. The last assertion follows from Theorem 1.1. \square

Remark 3.2.1. *Let C be a nodal reducible curve as in Section 1. Let E be a globally generated vector bundle of rank r on the curve C . Assume that there exist k global sections generating E , with $r+1 \leq k \leq \min(h^0(E_1), h^0(E_2))$. Then a general pair (E, V) with $\dim V = k$ is generated and satisfies condition (\star) .*

Proof. For any $k \leq \min(h^0(E_1), h^0(E_2))$, let $G(k, H^0(E))$ denote the Grassmannian variety parametrizing k -dimensional linear subspaces of $H^0(E)$. Under our hypothesis, the set of linear subspaces V such that (E, V) is generated is a non empty open subset. So it is enough to show that a general pair (E, V) satisfies (\star) . Let's consider the Schubert cycle

$$\sigma_j = \{V \in G(k, H^0(E)) \mid V \cap H^0(E_j(-p)) \geq 1\},$$

since $k + h^0(E_j(-p)) \leq h^0(E)$, then it is a proper closed subvariety of $G(k, H^0(E))$. This concludes the proof. \square

The first application of this Theorem deals with the case of line bundles. For each smooth irreducible component C_i of the nodal curve C , we denote by $\mathcal{G}_{d_i}^{k-1}(C_i)$ the variety parametrizing linear series of degree d_i and dimension $k - 1$ on the curve C_i . An element of $\mathcal{G}_{d_i}^{k-1}(C_i)$ is given by a pair (L_i, V_i) of type $(1, d_i, k)$ on C_i . We recall that, if C_i is a general curve, then $\mathcal{G}_{d_i}^{k-1}(C_i)$ is non empty if and only if the Brill-Noether number

$$\rho_i = g_i - k(g_i - d_i + k - 1)$$

is non negative, hence if and only if $d_i \geq g_i + k - 1 - \frac{g_i}{k}$. For details one can see [1].

Theorem 3.3. *Let C be a reducible nodal curve as in Section 1. Let (L, V) be a generated pair on C , where L is a line bundle and $\dim V = k$. Let (L_i, V_i) be its restriction to C_i . If C is general and (L_i, V_i) is general in $\mathcal{G}_{d_i}^{k-1}(C_i)$, then there exists a polarization w such that $M_{L,V}$ is w -semistable.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.2 it is enough to show that (L, V) satisfies condition (\star) and that M_{L_i, V_i} is semistable for $i = 1, 2$.

Since C is general the same holds for its components. Note that $\mathcal{G}_{d_i}^{k-1}(C_i)$ is non empty, since we are assuming $(L_i, V_i) \in \mathcal{G}_{d_i}^{k-1}(C_i)$. Moreover, we have that

$$\dim(V_i) = k = \dim(V),$$

which implies that the restriction map ρ_i induces an isomorphism of V into V_i . In particular, this gives us that $V \cap H^0(L_j(-p)) = \{0\}$, i.e. condition (\star) holds.

It remains to show that, under the assumptions of the Theorem, the vector bundle M_{L_i, V_i} is semistable. This follows from [4, Theorem 5.1] since C_i is general and (L_i, V_i) is general in $\mathcal{G}_{d_i}^{k-1}(C_i)$. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.3, if we also require that a component C_i is Petri-general, $k \geq 6$ and $g_i \geq 2k - 6$, then $M_{L,V}$ is w -stable.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.3 there exists a polarization w such that $M_{L,V}$ is w -semistable. According to Theorem 1.1, it is enough to show that one of the restrictions of $M_{L,V}$ is

stable. Since C_i is Petri, $k \geq 6$ and $g_i \geq 2k - 6$, then M_{L_i, V_i} is stable, from [4, Theorem 6.1], so we can conclude that $M_{L, V}$ is w -stable too. \square

The second application of Theorem 3.2 deals with generated pairs (E, V) whose restrictions to each irreducible component C_i is the complete pair $(E_i, H^0(E_i))$. By Lemma 3.1, as we are requiring condition (\star) to hold, this occurs when $\rho_i|_V : V \rightarrow H^0(E_i)$ is an isomorphism. In particular, this implies that in this case, $\dim(V) = h^0(E_1) = h^0(E_2)$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let C be a reducible nodal curve as in Section 1. Let E be a vector bundle on C of rank $r \geq 1$. Assume that its restrictions E_i are semistable of degree $d_i \geq 2rg_i$ and satisfy $d_1 - d_2 = r(g_1 - g_2)$ so that $h^0(E_1) = h^0(E_2) = k$. Then there exists a polarization w such that for a general $V \in G(k, H^0(E))$ we have that $M_{E, V}$ is w -semistable. Moreover, if $d_i > 2rg_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, then $M_{E, V}$ is w -stable.*

Proof. Since $d_i \geq 2rg_i$ and E_i is semistable we have that E_i is globally generated, $h^1(E_i) = 0$ and $h^0(E_i) = d_i + r(1 - g_i)$. Using the same argument of the proof of Lemma 2.1, we have that $h^0(E) = h^0(E_1) + h^0(E_2) - r$ (note that in the proof it is only needed that E_i is globally generated). Hence we have $h^0(E) = 2k - r$.

Now we will show that for general $V \in G(k, H^0(E))$ we have that $\rho_i|_V$ is an isomorphism. Assume that $j \in \{1, 2\}$ with $j \neq i$. As E_j is globally generated we have $h^0(E_j(-p)) = h^0(E_j) - r = k - r$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(E_j(-p)) \longrightarrow H^0(E) \xrightarrow{\rho_i} H^0(E_i)$$

we have $\dim(\rho_i(H^0(E))) = 2k - r - (k - r) = k = h^0(E_i)$ so ρ_i is surjective.

Notice that $k + h^0(E_j(-p)) = h^0(E)$, hence, for a general subspace V of $H^0(E)$ of dimension k we have $V \cap H^0(E_j(-p)) = \{0\}$. This imply that $\rho_i|_V$ is injective and hence an isomorphism as $\dim(V) = h^0(E_i)$. Moreover, since E_i is globally generated, for V general in $G(k, H^0(E))$, (E, V) is a generated pair and satisfies condition (\star) .

By Theorem 3.2, in order to conclude it is enough to prove that both M_{E_i, V_i} are semistable. By hypothesis, we have $M_{E_i, V_i} = M_{E_i}$. Hence, the result follows from [15], Theorem 1.2: M_{E_i} is semistable when $d_i \geq 2rg_i$ and it is stable if $d_i > 2rg_i$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P. Griffiths, J. Harris, *Geometry of algebraic curves. Vol. I* **267**, (1985), Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [2] A. Beauville, Some stable vector bundles with reducible theta divisor, *Manuscripta Math.* **110**, (2003), n 3, 343-349.

- [3] U.N.Bhosle, L. Brambila-Paz, P.E. Newstead, On coherent systems of type $(n, d, n + 1)$ on Petri curves, *Manuscripta Math.* **126**,(2008), n 4, 409-441.
- [4] U.N. Bhosle, L. Brambila-Paz, P.E. Newstead, On linear series and a conjecture of D. C. Butler, *Internat. J. Math.* **26** (2015), n. 2, 1550007, 18pp.
- [5] M.Bolognesi, S.Brivio, Coherent systems and modular subvarieties of $SU_C(r)$, *Internat. J. Math.* **23**, n 4, (2012), 1250037, 23pp.
- [6] L.Brambila-Paz, Non-emptiness of moduli spaces of coherent systems, *Internat. J. Math.* **19**, (2008), n 7, 779-799.
- [7] L. Brambila-Paz, I. Grzegorzcyk, P. Newstead, Geography of Brill-Noether loci for small slopes, *J. Algebraic. Geom.* **6** (1997), n 4, 645-669.
- [8] S.B.Bradlow, O. Garcia-Prada, V.Munoz, P.E. Newstead, Coherent systems and Brill-Noether theory, *Internat. J. Math.* **14**, (2003), n 7, 683-733.
- [9] S.Brivio, A note on theta divisors of stable bundles, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **31**, (2015), n 2, 601-608.
- [10] S.Brivio Families of vector bundles and linear systems of theta divisors, *Internat. J. Math.*, **28**(2017), n 6, 1750039, 16pp.
- [11] S.Brivio, Theta divisors and the geometry of tautological model, *Collect. Math.*, **69**,(2018), n 1, 131-150.
- [12] S. Brivio, F. Favale, Genus 2 curves and generalized theta divisors, *Bull. Sci. Math*, **155**, (2019), 112-140
- [13] S.Brivio, F. Favale, On vector bundles over reducible curves with a node, To appear on *Adv. Geom.* (2019)
- [14] S.Brivio, A. Verra, Pluecker forms and the theta map, *Am. J. Math.* **134**, (2012), n 5, 1247-1273.
- [15] D.Butler, Normal generation of vector bundles over a curve, *J. Differential Geom.*, **39** (1994), n 1, 1-34.
- [16] D.Butler, Birational maps of moduli of Brill-Noether pairs, Preprint arXiv:alg-geom/9705009v1 (1997).
- [17] C. Camere, About the stability of the tangent bundle restricted to a curve, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **346** (2008), n 7-8, 421-426
- [18] P.Deligne, D.Mumford, The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus, *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math.*, **36** (1969), 75-120.
- [19] L.Ein, R. Lazarsfeld, Stability and restrictions of Picard bundles, with an application to the normal bundles of elliptic curves, *Complex projective geometry* (Trieste, 1989/Bergen 1989), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **179**, (1992), Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, pp. 149-156.
- [20] M. Franciosi, E. Tenni On Clifford's theorem for singular curves, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3), **108**, (2014), n 3, 225-252
- [21] D. Gieseker, *Lectures on moduli of curves*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Lectures on Mathematics and Physics, **69**, (1982), Springer-Verlag.
- [22] A.D. King, P. Newstead, Moduli of Brill-Noether pairs on algebraic curves, *Internat. J. Math.* **6**, (1995), n 5, 733-748.
- [23] R. Lazarsfeld, A sampling of vector bundles techniques in the study of linear series, *Lectures on Riemann surfaces*, Trieste 1987, 500-559, World Sci. Pub. Teaneck NJ (1989).
- [24] E.C. Mistretta, Stability of line bundle transforms on curves with respect to two codimensional subspaces, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2), **78** (2008), no. 1, 172-182.

- [25] E.C. Mistretta, L. Stoppino, Linear series on curves: stability and Clifford index, *Internat. J. Math.* **23**,(2012), no 12, 1250121, 25 pp.
- [26] M. Popa, Generalized theta linear series on moduli spaces of vector bundles on curves, *Handbook of moduli*, **III**, 219-255, *Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM)* **26**, (2013) Int. Press, Somerville, MA.
- [27] C.S.Seshadri, *Fibres vectoriels sur les courbes algebriques*, Asterisque **96**, (1982).
- [28] M.Teixidor, Moduli spaces of vector bundles on reducible curves, *Amer. J. Math.*, **117**, (1995), n 1, 125-139.
- [29] M.Teixidor, Vector bundles on reducible curves and applications, *Grassmannian, moduli spaces and vector bundles*, 169-180, Clay Math. Proc.,**14**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2011).

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO-BICOCCA,
 VIA ROBERTO COZZI, 55, I-20125 MILANO, ITALY
E-mail address: `sonia.brivio@unimib.it`

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO-BICOCCA,
 VIA ROBERTO COZZI, 55, I-20125 MILANO, ITALY
E-mail address: `filippo.favale@unimib.it`