Article

iNKT cell-neutrophil crosstalk promotes colorectal cancer pathogenesis

Georgia Lattanzi, Francesco Strati, Angélica Díaz-Basabe, Federica Perillo, Chiara Amoroso, Giulia Protti, Maria Rita Giuffrè, Luca Iachini, Alberto Baeri, Ludovica Baldari, Elisa Cassinotti, Michele Ghidini, Barbara Galassi, Gianluca Lopez, Daniele Noviello, Laura Porretti, Elena Trombetta, Eleonora Messuti, Luca Mazzarella, Giandomenica Iezzi, Francesco Nicassio, Francesca Granucci, Maurizio Vecchi, Flavio Caprioli, Federica Facciotti

PII: S1933-0219(23)00024-7

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mucimm.2023.03.006

Reference: MUCIMM 31

To appear in: Mucosal Immunology

Received Date: 11 January 2023 Accepted Date: 22 March 2023 ELSEYIER WHO 25, 32 2022 THE STREET BY THE S



Please cite this article as: Lattanzi, G., Strati, F., Díaz-Basabe, A., Perillo, F., Amoroso, C., Protti, G., Rita Giuffrè, M., Iachini, L., Baeri, A., Baldari, L., Cassinotti, E., Ghidini, M., Galassi, B., Lopez, G., Noviello, D., Porretti, L., Trombetta, E., Messuti, E., Mazzarella, L., Iezzi, G., Nicassio, F., Granucci, F., Vecchi, M., Caprioli, F., Facciotti, F., iNKT cell-neutrophil crosstalk promotes colorectal cancer pathogenesis, *Mucosal Immunology* (2023), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mucimm.2023.03.006

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of Society for Mucosal Immunology.

iNKT cell-neutrophil crosstalk promotes colorectal cancer pathogenesis

Georgia Lattanzi^{1,2,*}, Francesco Strati^{1,3,*}, Angélica Díaz-Basabe^{1,2}, Federica Perillo^{1,2}, Chiara Amoroso⁴, Giulia Protti³, Maria Rita Giuffrè¹, Luca Iachini³, Alberto Baeri³, Ludovica Baldari⁵, Elisa Cassinotti⁵, Michele Ghidini⁶, Barbara Galassi⁶, Gianluca Lopez⁷, Daniele Noviello^{4,8}, Laura Porretti⁹, Elena Trombetta⁹, Eleonora Messuti¹, Luca Mazzarella¹, Giandomenica Iezzi¹⁰, Francesco Nicassio¹¹, Francesca Granucci³, Maurizio Vecchi^{4,8}, Flavio Caprioli^{4,8}, Federica Facciotti^{1,3,#}

¹Department of Experimental Oncology, European Institute of Oncology IRCCS, Milan, Italy

²Department of Oncology and Hemato-oncology, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy

³Department of Biotechnology and Biosciences, University of Milano-Bicocca, Milan, Italy

⁴Gastroenterology and Endoscopy Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Cà Granda, Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy

⁵General and Emergency Surgery Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda, Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy

⁶Medical Oncology, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda, Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy

⁷Pathology Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Cà Granda, Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy

⁸Department of Pathophysiology and Transplantation, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy

⁹Clinical Chemistry and Microbiology Laboratory, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy

¹⁰Department of Visceral Surgery, EOC Translational Research Laboratory, Bellinzona, Switzerland

¹¹Center for Genomic Science of IIT@SEMM, Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia (IIT), Milan, Italy

^{*}Equal contribution

*Correspondence to: Dr. Federica Facciotti, University of Milano-Bicocca, Dept. of Biotechnology and Biosciences, Piazza della Scienza 2, Milan (IT); federica.facciotti@unimib.it, European Institute of Oncology, Dept. of Experimental Oncology, Via Adamello 16, 20139 Milan (IT); federica.facciotti@ieo.it

Conflicts of Interest: the authors declare no potential conflicts of interest

Abstract

iNKT cells account for a relevant fraction of effector T-cells in the intestine and are considered an attractive platform for cancer immunotherapy. Although iNKT cells are cytotoxic lymphocytes, their functional role in colorectal cancer (CRC) is still controversial, limiting their therapeutic use. To gain insights into iNKT cells role in CRC we examined the immune cell composition and iNKT cell phenotype of CRC lesions in patients (n=118) and different murine models. High-dimensional single-cell flow cytometry, metagenomics and RNAseq experiments revealed that iNKT cells are enriched in tumor lesions. The tumor-associated pathobiont *Fusobacterium nucleatum* induces IL17 and GM-CSF expression in iNKT cells without affecting their cytotoxic capability but promoting iNKT-mediated recruitment of neutrophils with PMN-MDSCslike phenotype and functions. Lack of iNKT cells reduced tumor burden and recruitment of immune suppressive neutrophils. iNKT cells in vivo activation with aGalCer restored their anti-tumor function suggesting that iNKT cells can be modulated to overcome CRC-associated immune evasion. Tumor co-infiltration by iNKT cells and neutrophils correlates with negative clinical outcomes highlighting the importance of iNKT cells in the pathophysiology of CRC. Our results reveal a functional plasticity of iNKT cells in CRC suggesting a pivotal role of iNKT cells in shaping the TME with relevant implications for treatment.

Highlights:

- iNKT cells infiltrate CRC lesions and correlate with neutrophil abundance in patients
- Fusobacterium nucleatum promotes iNKT cell-mediated recruitment of neutrophils
- Lack of iNKT cells reduces tumor burden and recruitment of neutrophils
- In vivo αGalCer treatment restores iNKT cell anti-tumor functions also in the gut
- iNKT cell-neutrophil co-infiltration correlates with poor clinical outcomes

Keywords: iNKT cells; CRC; neutrophils; *Fusobacterium nucleatum*

Introduction

Invariant Natural Killer T cells (iNKT) are a lipid-specific, evolutionary conserved, population of lymphocyte positioned at the interface between innate and adaptive immunity [1]. Microbial and endogenous [2, 3] signals finely tune iNKT cell functions, including tissue immune surveillance [4] and first line defense against infectious microorganisms [1]. iNKT cells are present in the intestinal lamina propria as tissue resident cells and variations in the gut microbiota composition can rapidly alter their phenotype [1, 5]. Dysbiosis imprints iNKT cells toward a pro-inflammatory phenotype [6] whereas normobiosis restoration upon fecal microbiota transplantation and exposure to Short Chain Fatty Acids (SCFA) induce their production of regulatory cytokines, such as IL10 [7, 8]. Along with the patrolling of tissue integrity, iNKT cells actively participate in the immune surveillance against malignant transformation and tumor progression, including human colorectal cancer (CRC) [9]. CRC is the third most prevalent cancer worldwide and the second leading cause of cancer-related death [10]. Microbiota-elicited inflammation is an important contributor to CRC pathogenesis regardless of pre-cancer inflammatory history [11]. Because of their fast responsiveness to microbes, iNKT cells can produce a large amount of effector cytokines [1] during the time required for the recruitment, activation and expansion of conventional T cells [12] with the potential to robustly imprint the tumor microenvironment (TME) and the CRC developmental trajectory. iNKT cells are considered important in antitumor immunity [9] and their infiltration in tumor lesions is a positive prognostic factor in different cancer types [13, 14]. Moreover, iNKT cells possess cytotoxic properties and are endowed with cell killing activities towards different human CRC cell lines and primary patient's derived cancer epithelial cells through the perforin-granzyme pathway [15]. However, their role in CRC progression has never been fully elucidated and it is still controversial [13, 16]. Indeed, iNKT cells with a pro-tumorigenic phenotype have been described in murine models of CRC and associated with shorter disease-free survival in patients [16, 17]. Here, by taking advantage of a large cohort of human CRC patients and of different murine models of colon carcinogenesis, we address the contribution of iNKT cells to CRC pathophysiology and the effect of the tumor-associated microbiota in shaping their functions. We demonstrate that tumor-infiltrating iNKT cells, but not those isolated form adjacent tumor-free areas, manifest a pro-tumorigenic phenotype and correlate

with negative disease outcomes in CRC patients. We show that the CRC-associated pathobiont *Fusobacterium nucleatum* promotes iNKT cell-mediated recruitment of neutrophils with a polymorphonuclear myeloid-derived suppressor cells (PMN-MDSCs) phenotype. Finally, we show that restoring the cytotoxic potential of iNKT cells by *in vivo* treatment with the iNKT-specific agonist α-galactosylceramide (αGalCer) leads to control of tumor growth.

Results

Tumor-infiltrating human iNKT cells show a pro-tumorigenic profile and correlate with TANs infiltration

To uncover the role of iNKT cells in human CRC, we collected freshly isolated surgical specimens from 118 CRC patients enrolled at the Policlinico Hospital Milan, whose clinical features are described in Table 1.

The immunophenotyping by manual gating analysis of multiparameter flow cytometry of tumor lesions (TUM) as well as adjacent non-tumor colon tissue (NCT) revealed that iNKT cells are significantly enriched in TUM samples (Figure 1A, Supplementary Figure 1A). By applying a Phenograph unsupervised clustering (Supplementary Figure 2A-B), we identified a cluster of iNKT cells (cluster C16) specifically enriched in TUM (Figure 1B, Supplementary Figure 2A-B); the metaclustering analysis of cluster C16 revealed that tumor-infiltrating iNKT cells are characterized by an overall increased expression of IL17 and GM-CSF (clusters C1 and C2) whereas IFNy is expressed mainly by NCT-infiltrating iNKT cells (cluster C4, Figure 1C). Manual gating analysis confirmed the increased frequency of GM-CSF+IL17+iNKT cells in CRC lesions and of IFNγ+iNKT cells in NCT (Figure 1D-E). The co-expression of IL17 and GM-CSF was a unique feature of iNKT cells, distinguishing them from other tumor-infiltrating conventional (CD4+ and CD8+) and unconventional (γδ T and MAIT cells) T cells (Supplementary Figure 2C-D). Phenotypically, manual gating analysis of FACS data showed an increased expression of exhaustion/inhibitory molecules including PD-1. TIGIT and TIM-3 (Supplementary Figure 2E) and a reduced expression of activation markers such as CD69, CD161 and CD137 (4-1BB) in tumor-infiltrating iNKT cells compared to NCT (Supplementary Figure 2F). No differences were observed for the secretion of other cytokines and cytotoxic molecules compared to NCT, although we observed a decreased expression of the pro-apoptotic factor Fas ligand (FasL) in

tumor-infiltrating iNKT cells (Supplementary Figure 2G-H). These data collectively indicate that tumor-infiltrating iNKT cells are skewed towards a pro-tumorigenic phenotype, characterized by the secretion of GM-CSF, IL17 and the expression of exhaustion/inhibitory molecules.

iNKT cells are able to modulate the activity of myeloid cells during homeostasis, inflammation and tumor development [18]. Thus, we hypothesized that tumorinfiltrating iNKT cells may shape the TME by acting primarily on innate immune cells. classification random forest-based modelling identified neutrophils (CD45+CD66b+CD15+ cells, Supplementary Figure 1B) as the most important innate immune cell population (Supplementary Figure 3A) to classify samples according to their location (i.e., TUM vs NCT) (Figure 1F). Neutrophils were significantly enriched in CRC lesions (Figure 1G), had a mature (CD33^{mid}CD10+CD16+), aged-like phenotype (CD62L-CXCR4+) and downregulated the expression of the antigen presenting molecules CD1d and MHC-II (Supplementary Figure 3B-C). No differences were detected in the expression of the immune checkpoint Programmed Death-Ligand 1 (PD-L1, Supplementary Figure 3C). Most importantly, neutrophils correlated with the frequency of GM-CSF+IL17+iNKT cells (Spearman's r=0.5, p<0.001, Figure 1H), but not with total iNKT cells (Supplementary Figure 3D) suggesting a specific crosstalk between Th17-like iNKT cells and tumor-associated neutrophils (TANs). Altogether these data suggest the existence of a functional iNKT-TAN axis in CRC.

Tumor-associated *Fusobacterium nucleatum* induces a pro-tumorigenic signature in iNKT cells and favors neutrophil recruitment

The gut microbiota is an oncogenic driver of CRC [19] and intestinal microbes represent potent stimulators of iNKT cell responses [6]. Thus, we analyzed the tumorassociated microbiota from a sub-study cohort of our patients (Table S1) by 16S rRNA gene sequencing and identified *Fusobacterium* as one of the most enriched Amplicon Sequence Variant (ASV) in TUM vs NCT (Figure 2A). *Fusobacterium nucleatum* (*Fn*) is a hallmark of CRC, extensively studied for its pro-tumorigenic properties [19], but its effect on iNKT cells has never been tested. Thus, we primed intestinal and circulating human iNKT cell lines [6, 15] with monocyte-derived dendritic cells (moDC) pulsed with *Fn* or αGalCer, the prototype agonist of iNKT cells [20], and performed *in vitro* functional and cytotoxic assays as well as RNA sequencing of iNKT cells (Figure 2B).

Exposure of iNKT cells to Fn did not affect in vitro cytotoxic functions against colon adenocarcinoma cell lines (Figure 2C). However, Fn-primed iNKT cells showed an enriched neutrophil chemotaxis gene signature, which included the chemokines of the C-X-C and C-C motif ligand family genes CXCL8, CXCL2, CXCL3, CCL3L1, CCL4L2, CCL20 and CCL22 (Figure 2D-E). By contrast, αGalCer-primed iNKT cells presented an IFNy/cytotoxic-related gene signature, including the expression of TBX21, IFNG, PFN1, GNLY, GZMA, GZMB, GZMH, LTA, LTB and NKG7 (Figure 2D). Consistently, αGalCer-primed iNKT cells secreted IFNy while Fn induced the production of GM-CSF and IL17 (Figure 2F). Fn-primed iNKT cells upregulated the expression of neutrophil chemotaxis genes such as CXCL8, CCL3L1, CCL4L2 and CCL20 also compared to the non-stimulated control, the latter still expressing the cytotoxic-related genes GZMA, PFN1 and GNLY (Supplementary Figure 4). Since iNKT cells may impact on neutrophil survival, recruitment and activation status [21-23], we evaluated how Fn affected the crosstalk between iNKT cells and neutrophils. Both Fn- and αGalCerprimed iNKT cells increased the survival rate of neutrophils compared to unstimulated cells (Supplementary Figure 5A-B). However, only Fn-primed iNKT cells induced neutrophil recruitment (Figure 2G), in line with the upregulation of *CXCL8* (Figure 2D) and the higher concentration of IL8 in the iNKT cell-derived culture supernatant (Figure 2H). Neutrophil migration was inhibited by the use of Reparixin, i.e., an allosteric inhibitor of the IL8 receptor (CXCR-1/-2), demonstrating that neutrophil chemotaxis is induced by chemokines produced by Fn-primed iNKT cells (Figure 2G). Moreover, Fnprimed iNKT cells affected neutrophil activation status by reducing their respiratory burst capability, inducing the expression of PD-L1 (Supplementary Figure 5C-D) and promoting their suppressive activity towards CD4+T cell proliferation (Figure 2I). These results suggest that iNKT cells functional shaping by CRC-associated microbiota promotes neutrophils recruitment and their immunosuppressive phenotype.

Absence of iNKT cells limits tumor burden by reducing pro-tumorigenic TANs

To functionally dissect the dynamic interaction between iNKT cells and neutrophils in CRC we induced colorectal tumorigenesis by using the chemical azoxymethane-dextran sodium sulphate (AOM-DSS) model of colitis-associated CRC.

Since mucosal iNKT cells are largely tissue-resident lymphocytes [12], they might infiltrate tumors at the initial steps of their formation and affect the TME by modulating

neutrophil behavior. To test this hypothesis, we first evaluated the dynamics of tumor growth and intratumor frequency of iNKT cells and neutrophils in the AOM-DSS model (Supplementary Figure 6A-B). Tumor-infiltrating iNKT cells reached their peak abundance between day 21 and day 28 from tumor induction (Supplementary Figure 6A). Conversely, the kinetic of neutrophils infiltration started at day 35 and increased until day 42; then, both neutrophils and iNKT cells abundance declined (Supplementary Figure 6A). At this timepoint, *i.e.*, day 49 from tumor induction (T1), the AOM-DSS model mirrored the key phenotypic and functional features of tumor infiltrating iNKT cells (Supplementary Figure 6C-D) and neutrophils (Supplementary Figure 5E-F) observed in our human cohort. Interestingly, at later timepoints from tumor induction *i.e.*, day 70 (T2), tumor-infiltrating iNKT cells began to lose the key features observed in CRC patients (Supplementary Figure 6G-H) prompting us to focus on the early timepoint (T1) *in vivo*.

To rule out the anti- or pro-tumorigenic role of iNKT cells in CRC, we induced tumorigenesis in animals lacking iNKT cells *i.e.*, *CD1d¹⁻* and *Traj18¹⁻* mice. Both iNKT cell-deficient strains showed a reduced tumor formation compared to wild-type C57BL/6 (B6) mice (Figure 3A-C).

The abundance of TANs was significantly reduced in *CD1d*¹ and *Traj18*¹ compared to iNKT-cell proficient mice (Figure 3D). Moreover, Traj18-/- TANs negatively correlated with the number of tumors whereas tumors increased proportionally with TANs in B6 mice (Figure 3E). Next, we sought to understand if iNKT cells could shape distinct biological programs and unique molecular features of TANs. Transcriptomic analysis of sorted CD45+Lin-CD11b+Ly6G+ cells from B6 and Traj18-- mice revealed that TANs from B6 animals were enriched for transcripts of chemokines and inflammation-related molecules (Ccl3, Cxcl2, Cxcr5, Nfkbie, Nfkbiz, Socs3, Atf4, Ptsg2, Pla2g7) as well as of immune suppression (II10, S100a8) (Figure 3F and 3H); these genes have all been associated with different populations of polymorphonuclear myeloid-derived suppressor cells (PMN-MDSCs) [24, 25]. Additional MDSC markers identified include the C-type lectin domain family 4-member N and D (Clec4n and Clec4d), activating protein 1 transcription factor subunit (Junb) and myeloid cell surface antigen CD33 (Cd33) [25]. Conversely, TANs isolated from Traj18¹⁻ showed a marked increased expression of genes associated with MAPK signaling (Map3k14, Map14, Map12), NETs release (Hmgb1, Hmgb2, Ceacam1, Mmp15, Mmp21) [26, 27],

the hypoxia inducible factor 1 subunit alpha (Hif1a) and the anti-apoptotic BAG cochaperone 4 (Bag4) (Figure 3F and 3H). Pathway enrichment analysis highlighted the upregulation of genes associated with TNF signaling, mostly in B6 TANs (Figure 3G). To understand if the different transcriptional activity of TANs in B6 and Traj18^{-/-} mice could be restricted to different populations of PMN-MDSCs, we analyzed a publicly available scRNA-seg dataset of PMN-MDSC from tumor-bearing mice [24]. The t-SNE overlay analysis revealed the enrichment of the B6 TANs gene signature in a cluster of 'activated' PMN-MDSCs (PMN3) while the *Traj18*^{-/-} TANs gene signature was associated with a population of immature neutrophils/classical PMN-MDSCs (PMN2), reflecting different pathways of PMN-MDSC activation [24] in presence or absence of iNKT cells (Supplementary Figure 7). Accordingly, we identified two distinct and differentially represented populations of TANs in B6 and iNKT cell-deficient animals. CD1d^{/-} and Traj18^{/-} mice showed a lower frequency of CD11b+Ly6g^{low}TANs and an increase of CD11b+Ly6ghighTANs (Figure 3I). CD11b+Ly6glow cells had reduced respiratory burst capacity (Figure 3J) suggesting a diminished cytotoxic potential [28], and increased expression of PD-L1 compared to CD11b+Ly6ghigh cells (Figure 3K). Neutrophil responses to iNKT cells appear to be mediated by both CD1d expression on neutrophils and the iNKT cell activation status, since iNKT cells from tumor-bearing mice induced higher PD-L1 expression in neutrophil from B6 compared to CD1d¹⁻ mice (Supplementary Figure 8A-B). Collectively, these findings reveal that intestinal iNKT cells are associated with different functional subgroups of neutrophils in vivo suggesting a direct iNKT cell-neutrophil crosstalk in CRC pathogenesis.

In vivo aGalCer treatment reactivates the cytotoxic potential of iNKT cells

Next, we asked whether we could restore iNKT cells cytotoxicity and induce iNKT-dependent tumor control *in vivo* by using the syngeneic MC38 CRC model. First, we confirmed the pro-tumorigenic role of iNKT cells also in this CRC setting, since *Traj18*^{1/-} mice showed a significantly delayed tumor growth compared to B6 animals (Supplementary Figure 9A-C) and a reduced infiltration of TANs (Supplementary Figure 9D). Then, we tested whether the *in vivo* administration of αGalCer to MC38-bearing B6 mice could restore the anti-tumor phenotype of iNKT cells (Figure 4A). We found that αGalCer treatment significantly reduced tumor growth (Figure 4B-D) reestablishing iNKT cells ability to express high levels of IFNy (Figure 4E).

Reconstitution of Traj18^{-/-} mice with splenic iNKT cells promoted tumor growth and TANs infiltration (Figure 4G-J), while αGalCer treatment restored anti-tumor immunity (Figure 4G-I). Then we asked whether the intestinal microenvironment could be necessary to skew iNKT cells towards a pro-tumor phenotype (GM-CSF+IL17+). *In vitro* priming of splenic iNKT cells with the gut microbiota of tumor bearing AOM-DSS treated mice (B6, CD1d^{1/-} and Traj18^{1/-}) induced IL17 and GM-CSF expression in iNKT cells, while no effect was observed when iNKT cells were exposed to the gut microbiome of tumor-free mice (CTRL) (Supplementary Figure 10). Thus, we performed the orthotopic implantation of MC38 tumor cells into the caecum of B6 animals. We observed that intracaecal MC38 tumors were significantly infiltrated by pro-tumor GM-CSF+IL17+iNKT cells and TANs (high PD-L1 expression and low ROS production) [28, 29] compared to subcutaneous MC38 tumors (Figure 4J-L) suggesting that this phenotype is more associated with the presence of an intestinal TME. Finally, aGalCer treatment was still able to promote iNKT cell-mediated antitumor immunity in the gut as measured by longer survival rate, reduced tumor growth and higher IFNy expression by iNKT cells in intracaecal tumor-bearing animals (Figure 4M-Q). These data show that iNKT cells functional phenotype can be manipulated to restore their anti-tumor properties in CRC.

iNKT cell infiltration impairs the favorable prognostic significance of TANs in human CRC and correlates with poor clinical outcomes

Our findings identified a pro-tumorigenic role for iNKT cells in CRC and a functional crosstalk with TANs in murine models. To translate the significance of these results in human CRC, we stratified our patient cohort in tumor-infiltrating iNKT^{high} and iNKT^{low} subgroups and performed Kaplan-Meier analyses. Relapse free survival (RFS) at 4 years was higher in iNKT^{low} CRC patients (Figure 5A). Several studies described a favorable prognostic significance for neutrophil infiltration in CRC [30-32], which we confirmed in our cohort (Figure 5B); however, the neutrophil positive prognostic significance in CRC was lost with higher infiltration of iNKT cells (Figure 5C), thus indicating that the beneficial effects of neutrophils on clinical outcomes require the concomitant low infiltration of iNKT cells. Moreover, we validated these results by interrogating the colon adenocarcinoma cohort (COAD) of The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database [33] and found that the positive prognosis associated with neutrophil

infiltration in CRC patients (as measured by the expression of the *CEACAM8* gene, encoding for CD66b) was dependent on the low expression of the iNKT cell specific transcription factor PLZF, encoded by the *ZBTB16* gene [34] (Figure 5D-E).

Discussion

iNKT cells are essential components of anti-tumor immune responses due to their massive cytotoxic properties and active participation in immune surveillance against malignant transformation [9]. However, reduced frequencies and functional impairment of iNKT cells associated with loss of IFNγ secretion [35] have been associated with poor overall survival in solid or hematological tumors [9]. Nevertheless, αGalCer administration can revert iNKT cells functional impairment [36] as demonstrated in preclinical studies and clinical trials [37]. Intriguingly, iNKT cells showed also pro-tumor functions, as reported in the spontaneous murine adenomatous polyposis coli *Apc*^{Min/+} model for colon cancer, where iNKT cells promote tumor progression [17].

Here, we further expanded these findings by analyzing human CRC specimens and different murine models of CRC, describing the opposing roles of human and murine iNKT cells in paired non-tumor vs cancerous tissue. In this study, the immunophenotypic profiles of *ex vivo* isolated human iNKT cells and the use of two different iNKT cell-deficient murine strains confirmed that IFNγ-producing, cytotoxic iNKT cells limit colonic tumorigenesis whereas intratumor accumulation of GM-CSF+IL17+iNKT cells support colon cancer progression.

The gut microbiota is an oncogenic driver of CRC [19] and intestinal microbes represent potent stimulators of iNKT cell responses that can shape their functional plasticity [6]. *Fusobacterium* is a known CRC-associated pathobiont [19] we found enriched in our patients' cohort. We show that iNKT cell stimulation with *F. nucleatum* promotes their commitment towards a protumor phenotype characterized by the production of IL17 and GM-CSF. *F. nucleatum* is known to suppress anti-tumor immunity by binding to the TIGIT receptor on NK cells through the virulence factor Fap2 [38]. Accordingly, we observed increased TIGIT expression in tumor-infiltrating iNKT cells. Moreover, *F. nucleatum* imprinted a neutrophil chemotaxis gene signature in iNKT cells, with *CXCL8* being the most upregulated gene. CXCL8, namely IL8, is a chemokine that promotes neutrophil migration which is expressed also by TILs [39].

By expressing CXCL8, iNKT cells may regulate neutrophils trafficking within the TME, shaping early immune responses in CRC. Our in vitro observations and the early tumor infiltration of iNKT cells with respect to neutrophils in vivo suggest that this hypothesis may be valid. Few studies report the close interaction of iNKT cells with neutrophils. In melanoma, iNKT cells active crosstalk with TANs skews their cytokine production from tolerogenic to pro-inflammatory [21]. In inflammation, neutrophils regulate iNKT cell extravasation in the lung parenchyma [23] and license them to limit autoimmune responses in the spleen [22]. In all these studies, the iNKT cell-neutrophil crosstalk rely on CD1d signaling [21-23] In our CRC mouse models, iNKT cells modulate the abundance of TANs and affect their phenotype through a CD1d-mediated mechanism. Considering that some chemokines and their receptors, including CXCL8, are absent in mice [40], we speculate that TANs recruitment in our mouse models can be mediated by the expression of other neutrophil chemotaxis genes such as CXCL2, CXCL3 and CCL20, as suggested by the RNAseq analysis. We further showed that iNKT cells are necessary to imprint an activated PMN-MDSCs gene signature [24] with immune suppressive activity as demonstrated by functional assays. The finding that iNKT cells favor neutrophil trafficking within cancer lesions worsening tumor burden is however in sharp contrast with previous studies showing that neutrophil infiltration is associated with a better survival in CRC [30-32]. Nonetheless, the beneficial role of neutrophils in CRC is dependent on iNKT cells, since we showed that the concomitant high infiltration of iNKT cells is a negative prognostic factor in our patients' cohort and in the TCGA-COAD database. These findings parallel our in vivo experiments where the treatment of tumor-bearing mice with aGalCer reduced tumor burden, suggesting that modulating iNKT cell activation status may be considered a valid therapeutic option to restore their cytotoxic and antitumor functions.

Our study shows that tumor-infiltrating iNKT cells can contribute to the remodeling of the TME by recruiting TANs in the early phases of tumor progression, thereby sculpting the CRC developmental trajectory. Our findings uncover cellular and molecular mechanisms through which the iNKT-TAN axis can suppress antitumor immunity in CRC (Figure 6) and support the targeted manipulation of iNKT cells' function to improve cancer immunotherapies and adoptive cell transfer therapies based on CAR/TCR-engineered iNKT cells [41].

Materials & Methods

Human Samples

Tumors and adjacent non-tumor colon tissues were collected with informed consent from patients (n = 118) diagnosed with colorectal adenocarcinoma between January 2017 and July 2022 undergoing surgical resection at IRCCS Policlinico Ospedale Maggiore, Milan, Italy, as approved by the Institutional Review Board (Milan, Area B) with permission number 566_2015. AJCC IV patients have been excluded from this study. Patient clinical data are summarized in Table 1.

Human cells isolation

Tumor samples were taken transversally to collect both marginal and core tumor zone. Normal adjacent tissues were sampled at least 10 cm from the tumor margin toward the ileum. Human lamina propria mononuclear cells (LPMCs) were isolated as previously described [42]. Briefly, the dissected intestinal mucosa was freed of mucus and epithelial cells in sequential steps with DTT (0.1 mmol/l) and EDTA (1 mmol/l) (Sigma-Aldrich) and then digested with collagenase D (400 U/ml) (Worthington Biochemical Corporation) for 5 h at 37°C in agitation. LPMCs were then separated with a Percoll gradient.

Neutrophil isolation

Neutrophils were isolated from whole blood samples by dextran sedimentation (4% diluted in HBSS). Red blood cells were lysed using ACK lysis buffer (Life Technologies) and neutrophils separated with Percoll gradient.

Generation of iNKT cell lines

Human iNKT cell lines were generated from sorted CD45+CD3+CD1d:PBS57Tet+ cells from total LPMCs isolated from intestinal surgical specimens and PBMCs from healthy donor buffy coats, as previously described [6]. Sorted iNKT cells were stimulated with phytohemagglutinin (PHA, 1μg·mL−1, Sigma-Aldrich) and irradiated peripheral blood feeders. PBMCs used as feeders were irradiated at 12.5 Gy. Stimulated cells were then expanded for 15 days by subculturing them every 2–3 days and maintained in RPMI-1640 medium with stable glutamine, 5% v/v human serum, and 100 IU·mL−1 IL-2 (Proleukin).

Mice

B6(Cg)-Traj18tm1.1Kro/J (*Traj18^{-/-}*) [43] and B6.129S6-Del(3Cd1d2-Cd1d1)1Sbp/J (CD1d^{-/-}) mice [44] (provided by P. Dellabona, San Raffaele Scientific Institute) were previously backcrossed >12 times with C57BL/6 mice. C57BL/6, *Traj18^{-/-}* and *CD1d^{-/-}* mice were housed and bred at the IEO animal facility (Milan, Italy) or at the BIOS+ institute animal facility (Bellinzona, Switzerland) in SPF conditions. Sample size was chosen based on previous experience. No sample exclusion criteria were applied. No method of randomization was used during group allocation, and investigators were not blinded. Aged-matched male and female mice were used for experiments. Animal experimentation was approved by the Italian Ministry of Health (Auth. 10/21 and Auth. 1217/20) and by the animal welfare committee (OPBA) of the European Institute of Oncology (IEO), Italy and by the Swiss Animal Welfare Office (National n. 34368 and Cantonal n. TI54/2021), Switzerland.

Murine models of carcinogenesis

AOM-DSS model: 7 weeks old mice were injected intraperitoneally with 10 mg/kg body weight Azoxymethane (AOM, Merck), dissolved in isotonic saline solution. After 7 days, mice were given 1% (w/v) dextran sodium sulfate (DSS MW 40 kD; TdB Consultancy) in their drinking water for 7 days followed by 14 days of recovery. The cycles were repeated 2 or 3 times and mice sacrificed at day 49 or 70.

Subcutaneous MC38 model

7 weeks old mice were injected subcutaneously with 4 \times 10⁵ MC38 cells. Tumor volume (V) was calculated from the caliper measurements using the following formula: V = (W² \times L)/2 , where W is the tumor width and L is the tumor length [45]. 2μ g of α GalCer was injected intraperitoneally at day 0, 3 and 6. iNKT reconstitution in $Traj18^{J-1}$ mice was performed co-inoculating freshly sorted splenic iNKT with MC38 cells at 1:4 ratio. Tumor-bearing animals were sacrificed after 15 days or earlier when showing any sign of discomfort.

Intracaecal MC38 model

7 weeks old mice were intracaecally injected with 4×10^5 MC38 cells in a 1:1 solution of PBS and Matrigel (Corning) as previously described [46]. Mice were checked every day for the first week and starting from day 7 they were weight once a week. Tumor growth was measured on the IVIS Spectrum (Caliper LifeSciences) upon administration of D-luciferin (GoldBio). For α GalCer treatment mice were injected intraperitoneally with 2μ g of α GalCer at day 7, 10 and 13. Tumor-bearing animals were sacrificed after 35 days or earlier when showing any sign of discomfort.

Murine colonoscopy

Colonoscopy was performed weekly for tumor monitoring using the Coloview system (TP100 Karl Storz, Germany). Tumor endoscopic score has been quantified as previously described [47]. During the endoscopic procedure mice were anesthetized with 3% isoflurane.

Murine cells isolation

Single-cell suspensions were prepared from the colon of C57BL/6, *Traj18*¹⁻ and *CD1d* ¹⁻ mice as previously described [8]. Briefly, cells were isolated via incubation with 5 mM EDTA at 37°C for 30 min, followed by mechanical disruption with GentleMACS (Miltenyi Biotec). After filtration with 100-μm and 70-μm nylon strainers (BD), the LPMC were counted and stained for immunophenotyping. MC38 tumors were digested with collagenase D (0.75mg/ml, Merck) and Dnase I (0.1mg/ml, Merck) in RPMI-1640+2%FBS at 37°C for 60min. Cell suspension was filtered through 70-μm cell strainers, washed, counted and stained for multiparametric flow cytometry.

Fusobacterium nucleatum culture condition

F. nucleatum strain ATCC25586 was maintained on Columbia agar supplemented with 5% sheep blood or in Columbia broth (Difco, Detroit, MI, USA) under anaerobic conditions at 37°C. Columbia broth was supplemented with hemin at 5 μg·mL-1 and menadione at 1 μg·mL-1. Bacterial cell density was adjusted to 1 × 10⁷ CFU·mL-1 and heat-killed at 95°C for 15min before being stored at -80 °C until use in downstream experimentation.

aGalCer and Fn-priming of iNKT cell

Monocyte derived dendritic cells (moDCs) were pulsed with α GalCer (100ng/ml) or with heat-inactivated *Fusobacterium nucleatum* (*Fn*) (4 × 10⁵ CFU) and co-cultured with iNKT cells (2 × 10⁵ cells) in a 2:1:4 iNKT:moDC:*Fn* ratio in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10%FBS, Pen/Strep. After 24 h, iNKT cell activation status was estimated by intracellular staining.

iNKT cell cytotoxicity assay

iNKT cell cytotoxicity toward the human CRC cell lines Colo205 and RKO (American Type Culture Collection, ATCC) was performed as previously described [15].

iNKT-Neutrophil co-culture assay

 α GalCer or *F. nucleatum* primed-iNKT cells (2 × 10⁵ cells) were co-cultured with freshly isolated neutrophils in a 1:1 ratio, in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FBS. After 24h cells were stained for extracellular markers expression and ROS detection.

In vitro suppression assay

Naïve CD4+T cells were isolated from PBMCs of healthy donors (CD4 näive human microbeads, Miltenyi Biotech). Cells were labelled with 1 nM Far Red CellTrace (ThermoFisher), re-suspended in medium containing hIL2 (Proleukin) and anti-CD28 antibody (2 μ g/ml, Tonbo) and plated in 96-well plates (NUNC Maxisorp) pre-coated with anti-CD3 antibody (2 μ g/ml, Tonbo) at a concentration of 2.5 x 10⁴ cells/well. Freshly isolated neutrophils were co-cultured with T cells at a 1:1 ratio and the culture supernatant from NS, α GalCer or *Fn*-primed iNKT cells were added at a final concentration of 10%. After 5 days, proliferating naïve CD4+T cells were labelled with Zombie vital dye (Biolegend) and analyzed with a BD FACS Celesta. The suppression index was calculated using the FlowJo Proliferation Modeling tool and normalized on minimum proliferation levels.

Neutrophil migration assay

Freshly isolated neutrophils were first pre-incubated 20 min at 37 °C with Reparixin (20 μ M) or RPMI-1640+2%FBS and then seeded on top of a 3 μ m-pore transwell (SARSTEDT) in 200 μ l of RPMI-1640+2%FBS. 500 μ l of chemoattracting medium *i.e.*, the culture supernatant of activated iNKT cell lines diluted 10% in RPMI-1640+2% FBS

(see the αGalCer and *Fn*-priming of iNKT cell protocol) was added on the bottom of the transwell and neutrophils' migration was allowed for 4 hours at 37°C. RPMI-1640+10% FBS was used as positive control. After 4 hours of incubation, the total number of cells on the bottom of the plate were stained and counted using the FACSCelesta flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes NJ, USA) with plate-acquisition mode and defined volumes.

Neutrophil survival assay

Freshly isolated neutrophils were cultured with RPMI-1640+10% FBS supplemented with the culture supernatants (10%) from αGalCer or *Fn*-primed iNKT cells for 16h at 37°C. Cells were then stained with FITC Annexin V Apoptosis Detection Kit with 7-AAD (Biolegend) following manufacturer's instruction and acquired at a FACS Celesta flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes NJ, USA).

Respiratory Burst Assay

ROS production was quantified using the Neutrophil/Monocyte Respiratory Burst assay (Cayman) following manufacturer's instructions.

ELISA assay

Detection of IL8/CXCL8 in culture supernatants was performed using the OptEIA Human IL-8 kit (BD Biosciences), according to manufacturer's instruction.

Gut microbiota-priming of murine iNKT cells

Splenic **iNKT** cells were isolated from C57BL/6 mice sorting CD45+CD3+CD1d:PBS57Tet+ cells upon enrichment through B cells exclusion (Mouse CD19 microbeads, Miltenyi Biotec). Bone marrow derived dendritic cells (BMDCs) from C57BL/6 mice were pulsed with heat-inactivated fecal microbiota of controls or AOM-DSS treated C57BL/6, Traj18-/- and CD1d-/- mice and co-cultured with freshly isolated splenic iNKT cells (2 × 10⁵ cells) in a 2:1:10 iNKT:BMDC:microbiota ratio in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FBS and Pen/Strep solution. After 24h, iNKT cell activation status was estimated by intracellular staining. Fecal samples were resuspended 1:10 (w/v) in PBS and filtered through a 0.75 µm filter to remove large debris; microbiota cell density was quantified by qPCR [48], adjusted to 2×10^7

CFU·mL-1 and heat-killed at 95°C for 15min before being stored at -80 °C until use in downstream experimentation.

Co-culture of iNKT cells with bone marrow derived cells

Liver iNKT cells were isolated from AOM-DSS tumor-bearing and control C57BL/6 mice sorting for CD45+CD3+CD1d:PBS57Tet+ cells. iNKT cells were co-cultured with bone marrow derived cells from either C57BL/6 or *CD1d*-/- animals in a 1:1 ratio for 24h in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FBS.

Flow Cytometry

Cells were washed and stained with the combination of mAbs purchased from different vendors, as listed in Table S2. iNKT cells were stained and identified using human or mouse CD1d:PBS57 Tetramer (NIH Tetramer core facility) diluted in PBS with 1% heat-inactivated FBS for 30 min at 4°C. For intracellular cytokine labeling cells were incubated for 3 h at 37°C in RPMI-1640+10% FBS with PMA (50ng/ml, Merck), lonomycin (1µg/ml, Merck) and Brefeldin A (10 µg/ml, Merck). Before intracellular staining cells were fixed and permeabilized using Cytofix/Cytoperm (BD). Samples were analyzed with a FACSCelesta flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes NJ, USA) or a BD FACSymphonyTM A5 (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes NJ, USA). Data were analyzed using the FlowJo software (Version 10.8, TreeStar, Ashland, OR, USA). For the multidimensional analysis using t-SNE visualization and Phenograph clustering [49] refer to the dedicated section in the supplementary material. Briefly, FCS files were quality checked for live, singlets and antibody agglomerates and normalized to avoid batch effects. Multidimensional regression and clustering analysis were performed using the cytofkit package through the cytofkit GUI interface.

Bulk RNA sequencing of human iNKT cells

Total RNA (from 1 × 10⁶ cells) was isolated with the RNeasy kit (Qiagen) and RNA quality was checked with the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). 0.5-1 µg were used to prepare libraries for RNA-seq with the Illumina TruSeq RNA Library Prep Kit v2 following the manufacturer's instructions. RNA-seq libraries were then run on the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies) for quantification and quality control and pair-end sequenced on the Illumina NovaSeq platform.

Bulk RNA sequencing of sorted neutrophils

Total RNA from ~ 5 × 10⁵ neutrophils (CD45+Lin-CD11b+Ly6G+) was isolated with the RNeasy micro kit (Qiagen) and RNA quality was checked with the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). Sequencing libraries were prepared by using the NEBNext® rRNA Depletion Kit v2 and the NEBNext® Ultra™ II Directional RNA Library Prep kits following manufacturer's instructions. RNA-seq libraries were then run on the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies) for quantification and quality control and pair-end sequenced on the Illumina NovaSeq platform.

RNA sequencing data analysis

RNA-seq reads were preprocessed using the FASTX-Toolkit tools. Quality control was performed using FastQC. Pipelines for primary analysis (filtering and alignment to the reference genome of the raw reads) and secondary analysis (expression quantification, differential gene expression) have been integrated and run in the HTS-flow system [50]. Differentially expressed genes were identified using the Bioconductor Deseq2 package [51]. P-values were False Discovery Rate corrected using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure implemented in DESeq2. Functional enrichment analyses to determine Gene Ontology categories and KEGG pathways were performed using the DAVID Bioinformatics Resources (DAVID Knowledgebase v2022q2) (https://david.ncifcrf.gov) [52].

Statistical analysis

Statistical tests were conducted using Prism (Version 8.2.0, GraphPad) software or the R software (version 3.6.2). Paired, non-parametric Wilcoxon test was used to compare non-tumor and tumor tissues, both in human and murine samples. The Mann-Whitney U test was used for unpaired comparisons. Spearman's correlation coefficient was used for the analysis of correlations. Random Forest [53] analysis of flow cytometric data from innate immune cells was performed using the randomForest R package; permutation tests with 1000 permutations were performed to assess model significance. Kaplan-Meier analysis were carried out using the R packages survival (version 3-2-11) and survminer (version 0.4.9). Statistical analyses were

always performed as two-tailed. P-values were corrected for multiple comparisons and considered statistically significant with p < 0.05. ***p < 0.001; **p < 0.01; *p < 0.05.

Data availability

RNA-seq data available the ArrayExpress database are in (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress) under accession numbers E-MTAB-12278 and E-MTAB-12281. 16S rRNA gene sequencing data are available in the European (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena) Nucleotide Archive under accession number PRJEB56178. The PMN-MDSC scRNA-seg dataset is publicly available at GEO (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) under accession number GSE163834.

Authors contribution

FF conceived the study. FF, GL and FS designed the experiments. GL, FS, ADB, FP, CA, LI, AB and MRG performed the experiments. FF and FS supervised the experiments. FC, MV, FG, GI and LM contributed with reagents and resources. FC, DN, MV, MG, LB, EC and BG recruited patients. GL performed multidimensional FACS data analysis. FS performed RNAseq and metagenomics data analyses. GP performed scRNAseq analysis. LP and ET performed FACS cells sorting. FN helped with the design of RNAseq experiments. FF, FS and GL wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed and critically edited the manuscript. The co-first authorship order was determined by considering the early career stage. Both GL and FS contributed equally and have the right to list their name first in their CV. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Acknowledgments

We thank the IEO Animal Facility for the excellent animal husbandry, the IEO Genomic Unit for supporting in high throughput sequencing, the NIH Tetramer Facility for providing human and murine CD1d:PBS57 tetramers. We are grateful to the équipe of the General and Emergency Surgery Unit, Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milano for their tireless work. We thank Dr. Paolo Dellabona for providing CD1d^{1/-} and Traj18^{1/-} mice. We thank Prof. Maria Rescigno, Prof. Massimo C. Fantini, Dr. Matteo Marzi and Dr. Roberto Gianbruno for the helpful discussions and support in the set-up of sequencing experiments. We thank Claudia Burrello and Erika Mileti for initial set-ups

of the experiments. Schemes in Fig. 2B and 4A were created using icons from the Noun Project (https://thenounproject.com/). Figure 6 was created with BioRender.com (https://biorender.com/). We thank the Associazione Italiana per la Ricerca sul Cancro (AIRC) and the European Association for Cancer Research (EACR) for the financial support to GL.

Funding

This work was made possible thanks to the financial support of Associazione Italiana per la Ricerca sul Cancro (Start-Up 2013 14378, Investigator Grant - IG 2019 22923 to FF) and of Italy's Ministry of Health (GR-2016-0236174 to FF and FC). This work has been and partially supported by the Italian Ministry of Health with Ricerca Corrente and 5X1000 fund.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

References

- 1. Crosby, C.M. and M. Kronenberg, *Tissue-specific functions of invariant natural killer T cells*. Nat Rev Immunol, 2018. **18**(9): p. 559-574.
- 2. Brigl, M. and M.B. Brenner, How invariant natural killer T cells respond to infection by recognizing microbial or endogenous lipid antigens. Semin Immunol, 2010. **22**(2): p. 79-86.
- 3. Facciotti, F., et al., *Peroxisome-derived lipids are self antigens that stimulate invariant natural killer T cells in the thymus.* Nat Immunol, 2012. **13**(5): p. 474-80.
- 4. Diaz-Basabe, A., F. Strati, and F. Facciotti, *License to Kill: When iNKT Cells Are Granted the Use of Lethal Cytotoxicity.* Int J Mol Sci, 2020. **21**(11).
- 5. Constantinides, M.G. and Y. Belkaid, *Early-life imprinting of unconventional T cells and tissue homeostasis.* Science, 2021. **374**(6573): p. eabf0095.
- 6. Burrello, C., et al., *Mucosa-associated microbiota drives pathogenic functions in IBD-derived intestinal iNKT cells.* Life Sci Alliance, 2019. **2**(1).
- 7. Burrello, C., et al., Therapeutic faecal microbiota transplantation controls intestinal inflammation through IL10 secretion by immune cells. Nat Commun, 2018. **9**(1): p. 5184.
- 8. Burrello, C., et al., *IL10 secretion endows intestinal human iNKT cells with regulatory functions towards pathogenic T lymphocytes*. J Crohns Colitis, 2022.
- 9. Delfanti, G., et al., Adoptive Immunotherapy With Engineered iNKT Cells to Target Cancer Cells and the Suppressive Microenvironment. Front Med (Lausanne), 2022. **9**: p. 897750.

- 10. Deo, S.V.S., J. Sharma, and S. Kumar, *GLOBOCAN 2020 Report on Global Cancer Burden: Challenges and Opportunities for Surgical Oncologists.* Ann Surg Oncol, 2022. **29**(11): p. 6497-6500.
- 11. Chen, J., E. Pitmon, and K. Wang, *Microbiome, inflammation and colorectal cancer*. Semin Immunol, 2017. **32**: p. 43-53.
- 12. Fan, X. and A.Y. Rudensky, *Hallmarks of Tissue-Resident Lymphocytes*. Cell, 2016. **164**(6): p. 1198-1211.
- 13. Tachibana, T., et al., *Increased intratumor Valpha24-positive natural killer T cells: a prognostic factor for primary colorectal carcinomas.* Clin Cancer Res, 2005. **11**(20): p. 7322-7.
- 14. Metelitsa, L.S., et al., *Natural killer T cells infiltrate neuroblastomas expressing the chemokine CCL2.* J Exp Med, 2004. **199**(9): p. 1213-21.
- 15. Diaz-Basabe, A., et al., *Human intestinal and circulating invariant natural killer T cells are cytotoxic against colorectal cancer cells via the perforin-granzyme pathway.* Mol Oncol, 2021. **15**(12): p. 3385-3403.
- 16. Krijgsman, D., et al., Characterization of circulating T-, NK-, and NKT cell subsets in patients with colorectal cancer: the peripheral blood immune cell profile. Cancer Immunol Immunother, 2019. **68**(6): p. 1011-1024.
- 17. Wang, Y., et al., *Unique invariant natural killer T cells promote intestinal polyps by suppressing TH1 immunity and promoting regulatory T cells.* Mucosal Immunol, 2018. **11**(1): p. 131-143.
- 18. Cortesi, F., et al., *The Pathophysiological Relevance of the iNKT Cell/Mononuclear Phagocyte Crosstalk in Tissues.* Front Immunol, 2018. **9**: p. 2375.
- 19. Garrett, W.S., *The gut microbiota and colon cancer.* Science, 2019. **364**(6446): p. 1133-1135.
- 20. Brossay, L., et al., *CD1d-mediated recognition of an alpha-galactosylceramide by natural killer T cells is highly conserved through mammalian evolution.* J Exp Med, 1998. **188**(8): p. 1521-8.
- 21. De Santo, C., et al., *Invariant NKT cells modulate the suppressive activity of IL-10-secreting neutrophils differentiated with serum amyloid A.* Nat Immunol, 2010. **11**(11): p. 1039-46.
- 22. Hagglof, T., et al., *Neutrophils license iNKT cells to regulate self-reactive mouse B cell responses.* Nat Immunol, 2016. **17**(12): p. 1407-1414.
- 23. Thanabalasuriar, A., et al., *iNKT Cell Emigration out of the Lung Vasculature Requires Neutrophils and Monocyte-Derived Dendritic Cells in Inflammation*. Cell Rep, 2016. **16**(12): p. 3260-3272.
- 24. Veglia, F., et al., Analysis of classical neutrophils and polymorphonuclear myeloid-derived suppressor cells in cancer patients and tumor-bearing mice. J Exp Med, 2021. **218**(4).
- 25. Alshetaiwi, H., et al., *Defining the emergence of myeloid-derived suppressor cells in breast cancer using single-cell transcriptomics*. Sci Immunol, 2020. **5**(44).
- 26. Rayes, R.F., et al., Neutrophil Extracellular Trap-Associated CEACAM1 as a Putative Therapeutic Target to Prevent Metastatic Progression of Colon Carcinoma. J Immunol, 2020. **204**(8): p. 2285-2294.
- 27. Shaul, M.E. and Z.G. Fridlender, *The dual role of neutrophils in cancer.* Semin Immunol, 2021. **57**: p. 101582.

- 28. Gershkovitz, M., et al., *TRPM2 Mediates Neutrophil Killing of Disseminated Tumor Cells.* Cancer Res, 2018. **78**(10): p. 2680-2690.
- 29. He, G., et al., *Peritumoural neutrophils negatively regulate adaptive immunity via the PD-L1/PD-1 signalling pathway in hepatocellular carcinoma.* Journal of Experimental & Clinical Cancer Research, 2015. **34**(1): p. 1-11.
- 30. Wikberg, M.L., et al., *Neutrophil infiltration is a favorable prognostic factor in early stages of colon cancer.* Hum Pathol, 2017. **68**: p. 193-202.
- 31. Tosti, N., et al., *Infiltration by IL22-Producing T Cells Promotes Neutrophil Recruitment and Predicts Favorable Clinical Outcome in Human Colorectal Cancer*. Cancer Immunol Res, 2020. **8**(11): p. 1452-1462.
- 32. Ponzetta, A., et al., *Neutrophils Driving Unconventional T Cells Mediate Resistance against Murine Sarcomas and Selected Human Tumors.* Cell, 2019. **178**(2): p. 346-360 e24.
- 33. Cancer Genome Atlas Research, N., et al., *The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer analysis project.* Nat Genet, 2013. **45**(10): p. 1113-20.
- 34. Kovalovsky, D., et al., *The BTB-zinc finger transcriptional regulator PLZF controls the development of invariant natural killer T cell effector functions.* Nat Immunol, 2008. **9**(9): p. 1055-64.
- 35. Tahir, S.M., et al., Loss of IFN-gamma production by invariant NK T cells in advanced cancer. J Immunol, 2001. **167**(7): p. 4046-50.
- 36. Terabe, M. and J.A. Berzofsky, *The immunoregulatory role of type I and type II NKT cells in cancer and other diseases.* Cancer Immunol Immunother, 2014. **63**(3): p. 199-213.
- 37. Zhang, Y., et al., *alpha-GalCer and iNKT Cell-Based Cancer Immunotherapy:* Realizing the Therapeutic Potentials. Front Immunol, 2019. **10**: p. 1126.
- 38. Gur, C., et al., Binding of the Fap2 protein of Fusobacterium nucleatum to human inhibitory receptor TIGIT protects tumors from immune cell attack. Immunity, 2015. **42**(2): p. 344-355.
- 39. Crespo, J., et al., *Human Naive T Cells Express Functional CXCL8 and Promote Tumorigenesis*. J Immunol, 2018. **201**(2): p. 814-820.
- 40. Eruslanov, E.B., S. Singhal, and S.M. Albelda, *Mouse versus Human Neutrophils in Cancer: A Major Knowledge Gap.* Trends Cancer, 2017. **3**(2): p. 149-160.
- 41. Delfanti, G., et al., *TCR-engineered iNKT cells induce robust antitumor response by dual targeting cancer and suppressive myeloid cells.* Sci Immunol, 2022. **7**(74): p. eabn6563.
- 42. Caprioli, F., et al., *Autocrine regulation of IL-21 production in human T lymphocytes.* J Immunol, 2008. **180**(3): p. 1800-7.
- 43. Cui, J., et al., Requirement for Valpha14 NKT cells in IL-12-mediated rejection of tumors. Science, 1997. **278**(5343): p. 1623-6.
- 44. Smiley, S.T., M.H. Kaplan, and M.J. Grusby, *Immunoglobulin E production in the absence of interleukin-4-secreting CD1-dependent cells.* Science, 1997. **275**(5302): p. 977-9.
- 45. Bousquet, P.F., et al., *Preclinical evaluation of LU 79553: a novel bis-naphthalimide with potent antitumor activity.* Cancer research, 1995. **55**(5): p. 1176-1180.
- 46. Cremonesi, E., et al., *Gut microbiota modulate T cell trafficking into human colorectal cancer.* Gut, 2018. **67**(11): p. 1984-1994.

- 47. Becker, C., M.C. Fantini, and M.F. Neurath, *High resolution colonoscopy in live mice.* Nat Protoc, 2006. **1**(6): p. 2900-4.
- 48. Furet, J.P., et al., *Comparative assessment of human and farm animal faecal microbiota using real-time quantitative PCR.* FEMS Microbiol Ecol, 2009. **68**(3): p. 351-62.
- 49. Brummelman, J., et al., *Development, application and computational analysis of high-dimensional fluorescent antibody panels for single-cell flow cytometry.* Nat Protoc, 2019. **14**(7): p. 1946-1969.
- 50. Bianchi, V., et al., *Integrated Systems for NGS Data Management and Analysis:*Open Issues and Available Solutions. Front Genet, 2016. **7**: p. 75.
- 51. Love, M.I., W. Huber, and S. Anders, *Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2*. Genome Biol, 2014. **15**(12): p. 550.
- 52. Sherman, B.T., et al., *DAVID: a web server for functional enrichment analysis and functional annotation of gene lists (2021 update).* Nucleic Acids Res, 2022.
- 53. Breiman, L., Random forests. Machine learning, 2001. 45(1): p. 5-32.

Figure legends

Figure 1: iNKT cells infiltrate CRC lesions and correlate with neutrophil abundance.

(A) Frequency of iNKT cells in adjacent non-tumor colonic tissue (NCT) and paired tumor lesions (TUM) (n=115), with representative dot plots. (B) t-SNE map of iNKT cells based on Phenograph metaclustering analysis in NCT and TUM samples. (C) Balloon plot of the scaled integrated Mean Fluorescent Intensity (MFI) of Phenograph clusters generated in B. (D, E) Frequency of IL17+GM-CSF+ (D) and IFN γ + (E) iNKT cells infiltrating NCT and TUM. (F) Random Forest analysis of myeloid and B cell compartment in NCT and TUM with the highest discriminatory power, sorted by mean decrease GINI value. (G) Frequency of neutrophils in NCT and TUM (n=75) with representative plots. (H) Spearman's correlation analysis of IL17+GM-CSF+iNKT and neutrophils infiltrating NCT and TUM (n=25). P < 0.05 (*), P < 0.01 (**), P < 0.001(***); Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

Figure 2: Fusobacterium nucleatum promotes iNKT cell-mediated recruitment of neutrophils.

(A) Bar plot representing the significantly enriched amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) (FDR p <0.05) in TUM vs NCT mucosal samples (n=70 paired samples from n=35 patients) by DEseq2 analysis. (B) Schematic representation of the experimental plan. (C) Percentage of killing of unstimulated (NS), αGalCer- or F. nucleatum (Fn) -primed iNKT cells; results are representative of three (n=3) independent experiments (D) Volcano plot representing the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in Fn- vs aGalCer-primed iNKT cells; the volcano plot shows for each gene (dots) the differential expression (log₂fold-change [log₂FC]) and its associated statistical significance (log₁₀p-value). Dots indicate those genes with an FDRcorrected p < 0.05 and log₂FC > I1.5I. **(E)** Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of the differentially expressed genes (Bonferroni-corrected p < 0.05 and $log_2FC > 1$). (F) Representative histograms (left panels), MFI (middle panels) and frequency (right panels) of IL17+, GM-CSF+ and IFNy+ iNKT cells unstimulated (NS) or primed with either aGalCer or Fn. (G) Fold-change of neutrophils migration index upon exposure to unstimulated (gray bar), aGalCer (blue bars) or Fn-primed (red bars) iNKT cell supernatants in the absence (full bars) or presence (pattern fill bars) of Reparixin (20 μM). (H) hIL8 protein concentration in the supernatant released by unstimulated (NS) or αGalCer- or *Fn*-primed iNKT cells. (I) Proliferation index of näive CD4+T cells co-cultured with neutrophils and cell free supernatants from unstimulated (NS), aGalCer or Fn primed-iNKT cells. P < 0.05 (*), P < 0.01 (**), P < 0.001(***); Kruskal-Wallis test. Data are representative of at least three independent experiments.

Figure 3: Absence of iNKT cells reduces tumor formation *in vivo* and infiltration of protumorigenic TANs.

(A-C) Cumulative tumor endoscopic score and representative endoscopic pictures (A), number (B) and volume (C) of tumors from AOM-DSS treated C57BL/6, CD1d¹⁻ and Traj18¹⁻ animals (D) Frequencies (left panels) and absolute numbers (right panels) of TANs in C57BL/6, CD1d/- and Traj18/- animals. (E) Correlation analysis of TANs frequency and number of tumors in C57BL/6, CD1d^{-/-} and Traj18^{-/-} animals. (F) Volcano plot representing the DEGs of TANs in C57BL/6 and *Traj18*^{-/-} animals; the volcano plot shows for each gene (dots) the differential expression (log₂fold-change [log₂FC]) and its associated statistical significance $(\log_{10} p\text{-value})$. Dots indicate those genes with an FDR-corrected p < 0.1 and $\log_2 FC > 111$. (G) DEGs enriched in the KEGG TNF signaling pathway (Bonferroni-corrected p < 0.05 and log2FC > I1I). (H) Heatmap and hierarchical clustering of MDSC-related DEGs (FDR-corrected p-value < 0.05 and log₂FC > I1I) in neutrophils from C57BL/6 and *Traj18*¹ tumor bearing vs healthy controls (I) Frequency of CD11b+, Ly6Ghigh and Ly6Glow TANs in C57BL/6, CD1d-2 and Traj18^{-/-} animals, with representative dot plots. (J, K) Respiratory burst quantification (J) and frequency of PD-L1+ (K) in CD11b+Ly6Ghigh and CD11b+Ly6Glow TANs in Traj18- mice, with representative plots. Data points (n=8) from two pooled independent experiments representative of at least three. P < 0.05 (*), P < 0.01 (**), P < 0.001 (***); Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney tests. Two-tailed Pearson test for correlation analysis.

Figure 4: In vivo aGalCer administration restores iNKT cell anti-tumor functions.

(A) Schematic representation of experimental plan. (B-D) MC38 tumor growth with their relative AUC (B), representative pictures (C) and weight of tumors (D) from MC38-bearing C57BL/6 mice treated with vehicle or αGalCer. (E) Frequency of tumor infiltrating IFNγ+iNKT cells in MC38-bearing C57BL/6 animals treated with vehicle or αGalCer, and representative dot plots. (F-I), MC38 tumor growth and AUC (F), representative pictures (G), weight of tumors (H) and frequency of TANs (I) in MC38-bearing *Traj18*^{-/-} animals reconstituted, or not, with iNKT cells prior to treatment with αGalCer. (J) Frequency of GM-CSF+IL17+iNKT cells in intracecal (i.c.) and subcutaneous (s.c.) MC38-bearing mice. (K) Frequency of PD-L1+ and (L) respiratory burst quantification in CD11b+Ly6G+ TANs from intracaecal (i.c.) and subcutaneous (s.c.) MC38-bearing mice. (M) Frequency of survival of intracaecal MC38-bearing mice treated with vehicle or αGalCer (n=18 per group). (N) Tumor burden calculated by Photons/s with IVIS representative pictures and (O) tumor weights from intracaecal MC38-bearing mice treated with vehicle or αGalCer. (P) Frequency of tumor infiltrating IFNγ+iNKT cells in intracaecal MC38-bearing mice treated with vehicle or αGalCer. Data shown (n=3-4)

per group) are representative of at least one of two independent experiments. P < 0.05 (*), P < 0.01 (**), P < 0.001(***). Mann-Whitney, Kruskal-Wallis tests and Two-Way ANOVA for tumor growth.

Figure 5: iNKT cell infiltration correlates with poor patient outcomes.

(A-C) Kaplan-Meier relapse free survival (RFS) curves of CRC patients from Policlinico Hospital, Milan presenting high vs low (A) tumor infiltrating iNKT cells, (B) high vs low TANs or (C) high vs low tumor infiltrating iNKT cells in the population of TAN^{high} patients. (D-E) Kaplan-Meier overall survival (OS) curves of CRC patients from TCGA cohort with respect to (D) high or low expression of *CEACAM8* within tumor specimens and (E) high or low expression of *ZBTB16* in the in the population of *CEACAM8*^{high} patients. (F) Proposed model for iNKT-mediated pro/antitumor immunity in CRC.

Figure 6: Proposed model for iNKT cell-mediated pro/antitumor immunity in CRC.

The CRC-associated pathobiont *F. nucleatum* (*Fn*) impairs iNKT cell cytotoxic functions and promotes a pro-inflammatory phenotype in iNKT cells. Moreover, iNKT cell conditioning by *F. nucleatum* promotes iNKT cell-mediated recruitment of neutrophils with phenotypic and functional characteristics ascribable to polymorphonuclear myeloid-derived suppressor cells (PMN-MDSCs) in the TME (panel on the right of the dotted line). Our findings indicate that restoring the cytotoxic potential of iNKT cells by treating them with αGalCer leads to control of tumor growth (panel on the left of the dotted line).

Table 1: Clinical characteristics of the study population

| | All patients [n=118] | Stage 0/l [n=4/25] | Stage II [n=38] | Stage III [n=51] |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | | | | |
| Male/Female, n. | 64/54 | 14/15 | 24/14 | 25/26 |
| Age at enrolment, mean ± SD | 70 [±12.5] | 71.7 [±12.5] | 71.8 [±10.9] | 67.7 [±14.1] |
| Male | 69 [±12.7] | 72.6 [±10.4] | 71.3 [±11] | 68.5 [±14.2] |
| Female | 69.5 [±13] | 71.2 [±13.8] | 72.75 [±10.2] | 66.8 [±14.3] |
| Disease Location | | | | |
| Left-side colon (CSX) | 66 | 20 | 18 | 28 |
| Right-side colon (CDX) | 52 | 9 | 20 | 23 |
| MMR status | | | | |
| Proficient/Deficient | 103/15 | 27/2 | 32/6 | 44/7 |
| Therapy | | | | |
| Neoadjuvant CT-RT | 9 | 5 | 1 | 3 |
| Adjuvant CT | 21 | 1 | 6 | 14 |
| CAPOX | 13 | 1 | 1 | 11 |
| Capecitabine | 6 | - | 5 | 1 |
| Relapse | 8 | 1 | - | 7 |

Table 1: Clinical characteristics of the study population

| | All patients | Stage 0/I | Stage II | Stage III |
|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| | - | _ | _ | _ |
| | [n=118] | [n=4/25] | [n=38] | [n=51] |
| Male/Female, n. | 64/54 | 14/15 | 24/14 | 25/26 |
| Age at enrolment, mean ± SD | 70 [±12.5] | 71.7 [±12.5] | 71.8 [±10.9] | 67.7 [±14.1] |
| Male | 69 [±12.7] | 72.6 [±10.4] | 71.3 [±11] | 68.5 [±14.2] |
| Female | 69.5 [±13] | 71.2 [±13.8] | 72.75 [±10.2] | 66.8 [±14.3] |
| Disease Location | | | | |
| Left-side colon (CSX) | 66 | 20 | 18 | 28 |
| Right-side colon (CDX) | 52 | 9 | 20 | 23 |
| MMR status | | | | |
| Proficient/Deficient | 103/15 | 27/2 | 32/6 | 44/7 |
| Therapy | | | | |
| Neoadjuvant CT-RT | 9 | 5 | 1 | 3 |
| Adjuvant CT | 21 | 1 | 6 | 14 |
| CAPOX | 13 | 1 | 1 | 11 |
| Capecitabine | 6 | - | 5 | 1 |
| Relapse | 8 | 1 | | 7 |









