

Lattice QCD estimate of the quark-gluon plasma photon emission rate

Bastian B. Brandt,^{*a*} Marco Cè,^{*d*}*Anthony Francis,^{*b*} Tim Harris,^{*c*} Harvey B. Meyer,^{*d*,*e*} Aman Steinberg,^{*f*} Arianna Toniato[†]*e*

^a Institute for Theoretical Physics, Goethe University, Max-von-Laue-Strasse 1, 60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

^b CERN, Theoretical Physics Department, 1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

^c Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano-Bicocca, and INFN, sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Piazza della Scienza 3, I-20126 Milano, Italy

^d Helmholtz-Institut Mainz, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, D-55099 Mainz, Germany ^e PRISMA+ Cluster of Excellence & Institut für Kernphysik, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität

Mainz, D-55099 Mainz, Germany

^f Fakultät für Physik, Universität Bielefeld, D-33615 Bielefeld, Germany E-mail: toniato@uni-mainz.de

We present a computation of the photon emission rate of the quark-gluon plasma from two-flavor lattice QCD at a temperature of 254 MeV, which follows up on the work presented in [1]. We perform a continuum extrapolation of the vector-current correlator, and consider a linear combination of the Lorentz indices corresponding to a UV-finite spectral function. To extract the spectral function from the lattice correlators, an ill-posed inverse problem, we model the spectral function with a Padé ansatz. We further constrain our analysis by simultaneously fitting data with different momenta. We present results for a multi-momentum fit including the three smallest momenta available from our lattice analysis.

MITP/19-082

POS(LATTICE2019)225

37th International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory - Lattice2019 16-22 June 2019 Wuhan, China

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

^{*}Current affiliation: CERN, Theoretical Physics Department, 1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland [†]Speaker.

1. Introduction

The quark-gluon plasma, the high-temperature phase of QCD matter, has been the object of extended experimental and theoretical interest over the past forty years. One of the observables carrying information on its properties is the photon emission rate. Thermal photons emitted by the quark-gluon plasma form part of the total photon spectrum measured in heavy-ion collisions. The size of the hot thermal medium generated in the collision is typically much smaller than the mean free path of photons, which undergo no further scattering after being produced and therefore carry direct information on the medium. A lattice computation of the photon emission rate in two-flavor QCD at a temperature of 254 MeV from continuum-extrapolated data has been presented in [1]. In these proceedings, we present a follow-up to that work. In particular, in the present analysis we improve the quality of the continuum extrapolation by introducing a new fine lattice ensemble, and we make use of a more constraining fitting strategy for the computation of the final results.

2. Photon rate and spectral function

In this section, we introduce the relevant observables, following closely reference [1], to which we refer for a more detailed discussion. In Euclidean space, we consider the correlator

$$G_{\mu\nu}(x_0, \mathbf{k}) = \int \mathrm{d}^3 x \, e^{-i\,\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \langle j_\mu(x) j_\nu^\dagger(0) \rangle \,, \tag{2.1}$$

where $j_{\mu}(x) = \sum_{f} Q_{f} \bar{\psi}_{f}(x) \gamma_{\mu} \psi_{f}(x)$ is the electromagnetic current. The spectral representation of the correlator relates it to the spectral function $\rho_{\mu\nu}(\omega, \mathbf{k})$ via

$$G_{\mu\nu}(x_0,\mathbf{k}) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{2\pi} \,\rho_{\mu\nu}(\omega,\mathbf{k}) \,\frac{\cosh\left[\omega(\beta/2-x_0)\right]}{\sinh(\omega\beta/2)}\,,\tag{2.2}$$

where $\beta \equiv 1/T$ is the inverse temperature. The photon emission rate per unit volume of the quarkgluon plasma, at leading order in the electromagnetic coupling *e*, can be expressed in terms of $\rho_{\mu\nu}$ as [2]

$$d\Gamma(\mathbf{k}) = e^2 \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3 2|\mathbf{k}|} \frac{\rho_{ii}(\omega = |\mathbf{k}|, \mathbf{k}) - \rho_{00}(\omega = |\mathbf{k}|, \mathbf{k})}{e^{\beta|\mathbf{k}|} - 1} .$$
(2.3)

We consider the linear combination

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{k}; \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \equiv \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{k_i k_j}{|\mathbf{k}|^2}\right) \boldsymbol{\rho}_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{k}) + \boldsymbol{\lambda} \left(\frac{k_i k_j}{|\mathbf{k}|^2} \boldsymbol{\rho}_{ij}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{k}) - \boldsymbol{\rho}_{00}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{k})\right),$$
(2.4)

which for $\lambda = 1$ corresponds to the expression required to compute the photon rate, $\rho(\omega, \mathbf{k}; 1) = \rho_{ii}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) - \rho_{00}(\omega, \mathbf{k})$. As a consequence of current conservation, for $\omega = |\mathbf{k}|$ the right-hand side of equation (2.4) is independent of λ [1], implying that the term $(\rho_{ii} - \rho_{00})|_{\omega = |\mathbf{k}|}$ in equation (2.3) can be replaced by $\rho(|\mathbf{k}|, \mathbf{k}; \lambda)$ with arbitrary λ . In particular, the choice $\lambda = -2$ has very interesting properties: due to Lorentz invariance and transversality, $\rho(\omega, \mathbf{k}; \lambda = -2)$ vanishes identically in the vacuum, and it is UV-finite at finite temperature [1]. Moreover, the spectral function with $\lambda = -2$ is non-negative for $\omega \leq |\mathbf{k}|$, and a super-convergent sum rule can be derived for it [1], which reads

$$\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\omega\,\omega\,\rho(\omega,\mathbf{k};\lambda=-2)=0\,. \tag{2.5}$$

label	T (MeV)	$6/g_0^2$	κ	β/a	L/a	$m_{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}(2 \mathrm{~GeV})}$ (MeV)	N _{conf}
F7	254(5)	5.3	0.13638	12	48	13	482
07	"	5.5	0.13671	16	64	13	305
W7	"	5.68573	0.136684	20	80	13	1566
X7	,,	5.82716	0.136544	24	96	16	511

Table 1: Ensembles used in this work. The ensemble W7 is new and has been generated using openQCD version 1.6, while the others were part of the work presented in [1]. The coarsest ensemble F7 is excluded from the continuum extrapolation. The parameters of F7 and O7 match the ones of corresponding zero-temperature ensembles from the CLS initiative.

3. Lattice setup

To compute the photon emission rate of the quark-gluon plasma in two-flavor lattice QCD, we make use of several lattice ensembles with a fixed temperature of T = 254 MeV, generated with the Wilson gauge action and non-perturbatively O(a)-improved Wilson fermions. The running of the quark mass and gauge coupling, used to tune the bare parameters, was determined by the ALPHA collaboration [3]. Details on the ensembles are reported in table 1.

We measure the correlator in equation (2.1), but instead of the electromagnetic current we use the isovector vector current (which in view of our final results amounts to neglecting quarkdisconnected contributions), and we use the linear combination of the Lorentz indices of equation (2.4) with $\lambda = -2$. Using the local and exactly-conserved definitions of the vector current, we construct four independent discretizations of the vector-current correlator [1], which are used for a simultaneous continuum extrapolation. Moreover, the correlators are tree-level improved by being multiplied with the ratio of the tree-level continuum correlator to the tree-level lattice one [1]. The correlators are measured at several Euclidean-time separations x_0 and projected to all spatial momenta consistent with $|\mathbf{k}| \leq 2\pi T$, averaging over all possible orientations of the momentum \mathbf{k} . To take the continuum limit at fixed Euclidean time, the correlators are interpolated as functions of x_0 with a piecewise cubic spline [1]. In our final analysis, we only use data with $x_0 \geq \beta/4$ and $|\mathbf{k}| < 2\pi T$. An example of a continuum extrapolation is shown in figure 1.

4. Fits to Padé ansatz

The determination of the spectral function from a finite set of noisy correlators is an ill-posed inverse problem, which we choose to regulate by proposing a model for the spectral function. The properties of the $\lambda = -2$ spectral function motivate the choice of the Padé ansatz [1]

$$\frac{\rho(\omega, \mathbf{k}; \lambda = -2)}{\tanh(\omega\beta/2)} = \frac{A(1 + B\omega^2)}{(\omega^2 + a^2)[(\omega + \omega_0)^2 + b^2][(\omega - \omega_0)^2 + b^2]},$$
(4.1)

depending on two linear parameters A and B, and three nonlinear ones a, b and ω_0 , whose values are to be determined by fits to the lattice correlators. To make our analysis more constraining, we want to fit simultaneously data with different momenta (a fixed-momentum analysis was conducted in [1]). With this aim, we express the non-linear parameters as functions of the momentum, using



Figure 1: Continuum extrapolation of $h^X(x_0, |\mathbf{k}|) \equiv \beta G^X(x_0, |\mathbf{k}|; \lambda = -2)/(2\chi_s)$, with $\chi_s \equiv \beta G_{00}(x_0, \mathbf{0})$ the static susceptibility, for fixed momentum $|\mathbf{k}|\beta = \pi\sqrt{3}/2$. X = (LL, LC link, LC site, CC) represents the four different discretizations of the vector-current correlator defined in [1]. Left: $h^{LL}(x_0, |\mathbf{k}|)$ as a function of x_0 , for three lattice ensembles, together with its continuum limit. In order to take the continuum limit at fixed Euclidean time, the correlators are interpolated with a piecewise cubic spline [1]. Right: simultaneous continuum extrapolation of the four independent discretizations, for $x_0/\beta = 0.25$. The coarsest ensemble is excluded from the continuum limit.

two different polynomial forms

$$a(k) = a_0 + a_2(k^n - k_0^n), \quad b(k) = b_0 + b_2(k^n - k_0^n), \quad \omega_0(k) = \widetilde{\omega}_0 + \widetilde{\omega}_2(k^n - k_0^n), \quad (4.2)$$

a linear one with n = 1 and a quadratic one with n = 2. In the above equation, k_0 represents the smallest momentum included in the multi-momentum fit, and $k \equiv |\mathbf{k}|$. Having identified a set of N_k momenta to include in the simultaneous fit, we perform a scan in the six-dimensional space of non-linear parameters $(a_0, a_2, b_0, b_2, \widetilde{\omega}_0, \widetilde{\omega}_2)$ and apply the following strategy:

- 1. Given a point $(a_0, a_2, b_0, b_2, \widetilde{\omega}_0, \widetilde{\omega}_2)$ in parameter space, we determine the linear coefficient *B* (at fixed momentum, for all N_k momenta) by imposing the sum rule (2.5).
- 2. For each momentum, and for all Euclidean-time separations x_0 , we compute the correlator arising from the model spectral function (retaining A as a free parameter) by using equation (2.2). We point out that numerical integration is not the fastest way to compute the model correlator. Inserting the ansatz (4.1) into equation (2.2), the integral can be solved analytically by contour integration in the complex- ω plane and finally be expressed as a linear combination of Lerch transcendents $\phi(e^{\pm i2\pi x_0}, 1, \frac{1}{2} + i\frac{\omega_p}{2\pi})$, where ω_p are the poles of equation (4.1). With this method, we could perform scans over $O(10^8)$ points in parameter space at comparably low computational cost.
- 3. Given the lattice correlators in the continuum limit and the model correlators, we determine one value of A for each of the N_k momenta by χ^2 minimization. We consider a fullycorrelated χ^2 with an $N_k N_{x_0} \times N_k N_{x_0}$ covariance matrix, where $N_{x_0} = 7$ is the number of Euclidean-time separations included in the analysis. In order to account for the residual





Figure 2: A representative scan of parameter space for the momentum group *G*1, repeated for different values of *y* and for x = 0.975. Acceptable D_{eff} results are reported together with results from leading-order perturbation theory [8] and from strongly-coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM [9]. For each D_{eff} bin, we consider the lowest χ^2 found in it, and represent this information with lines of different thickness. Thicker lines correspond to lower χ^2 . As a consequence of this analysis, we choose the value y = 0.975. For y = 1, no acceptable χ^2 was found with the linear ansatz (equation (4.2) with n = 1), and only one acceptable value with the quadratic ansatz (equation (4.2) with n = 2). Left: Quadratic ansatz. Right: Linear ansatz.

cutoff effects, which may be larger than the smallest eigenvalues of the covariance matrix, we regularize the latter. Introducing two parameters x and y with values between 0 and 1, we define a regularized covariance matrix as follows: we identify $N_{x_0} \times N_{x_0}$ sub-blocks $C^{(ij)}$ correlating data with fixed momenta k_i and k_j $(i, j = 1, ..., N_k)$, and obtain regularized sub-blocks $\tilde{C}^{(ij)}$ as: $\tilde{C}^{(ij)}_{x_0x'_0} = (1-y)\delta^{ij}\hat{C}^{(ii)}_{x_0x'_0} + y\hat{C}^{(ij)}_{x_0x'_0}$, where $\hat{C}^{(ij)}_{x_0x'_0} = (1-x)\delta_{x_0x'_0}C^{(ij)}_{x_0x'_0} + xC^{(ij)}_{x_0x'_0}$. We will comment on the values of x and y used in our analysis in the next section.

4. We apply physically-motivated constraints to the parameters *a*(*k*), *b*(*k*) and *ω*₀(*k*). Given that relaxation times in the plasma cannot be arbitrarily long, we impose a lower bound to the imaginary part of the poles of the ansatz (4.1): *a*(*k*), *b*(*k*) > min(*D*_{strong}*k*², *D*⁻¹_{weak}) [1]. To set this bound, we have chosen two representative processes with large relaxation time: the diffusion of a charge-density perturbation in strongly-coupled *N* = 4 SYM, with relaxation rate *D*_{strong}*k*² = (1/2π*T*)*k*², and the dissipation of a static current in weakly-coupled QCD, with leading-order relaxation rate *D*⁻¹_{weak} = 0.46 *T* [4]. We also impose the upper bounds: *a*(*k*), *b*(*k*) < 2.5*k*, *ω*₀(*k*) < 3*k*, motivated by the observation that, in NLO perturbation theory, the onset of the OPE-regime *ρ*(*ω*, *k*; *λ* = −2) ~ *O*(1/*ω*⁴) [1] is around *ω* ~ 20*T* [5]. Finally, to enforce spectral-function positivity for *ω* ≤ *k*, we require *A* ≥ 0 and *B* ≥ −1/*k*².

5. Results

We divided the available momenta $k_n = n T \pi/2$ into three groups: $G1 = \{k_n | n^2 = 1, 2, 3\}$, $G2 = \{k_n | n^2 = 3, 4, 5, 6, 8\}$, $G3 = \{k_n | n^2 = 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14\}$, and to each of the groups we applied the strategy described in section 4. We present results for an observable which is



Figure 3: Left: Acceptable D_{eff} results for the group G1 from our finest scans of parameter space, together with results from leading-order perturbation theory [8] and from strongly-coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM [9]. We represent our results with a histogram, where each D_{eff} bin is colored according to the minimum χ^2 per degree of freedom found in it. The quadratic ansatz (equation (4.2) with n = 2) and the linear ansatz (equation (4.2) with n = 1) give rise to comparable results. Right: Illustrative examples of spectral functions with $k = \pi/2T$, corresponding to low, median and large values of D_{eff} , together with the spectral functions of non-interacting quarks and of strongly-coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM. The vertical line corresponds to $\omega = k$.

proportional to the photon emission rate: the effective diffusion coefficient [6]

$$D_{\rm eff} \equiv \frac{\rho(\omega = k, k; \lambda = -2)}{4 k \chi_s} , \qquad (5.1)$$

where $\chi_s \equiv \beta G_{00}(x_0, \mathbf{0})$ is the static susceptibility. The result of our continuum extrapolation is $\chi_s/T^2 = 0.88(9)_{\text{stat}}(8)_{\text{syst}}$, to be compared with unity for non-interacting massless quarks.

As already observed in the fixed-momentum analysis [1], the χ^2 has no clear global minimum. In the case of multi-momentum fits, we observe many almost degenerate local minima in the χ^2 -landscape, which motivates us to retain all solutions with acceptable χ^2 as possible solutions compatible with the lattice data. We choose a minimum *p*-value $p_0 = 0.32$, and we consider as acceptable all solutions with $p \ge p_0$. As discussed in section 4, the covariance matrix has been regularized. In order to fix the regularization parameters *x* and *y*, we chose a representative scan of parameter space and we repeated it for different values of *x* and *y*. We fixed at first y = 0 and repeated the scan for several values of *x*. We chose for our analysis the largest *x* such that D_{eff} results are stable under small changes in *x*. For the group G1 this value is x = 0.975. Having fixed *x*, we repeated the same procedure to choose an appropriate value of *y*. For G1, this corresponds to y = 0.975, as shown in figure 2.

The results of our finest scans of parameter space for momenta in G1 are shown in the left panel of figure 3, while the right panel shows examples of spectral functions. As an advantage of simultaneously analyzing multiple momenta, our results carry information on the momentum dependence of D_{eff} . A possible way to visualize this information is shown in figure 4. We observe a clear correlation between values of D_{eff} at subsequent momenta.

In the future, we will present results for the groups G2 and G3. Moreover, we plan on studying the $\lambda = -2$ correlator at fixed virtuality, *i.e.* projected to imaginary spatial momentum $k = i\omega$,



Figure 4: $D_{\text{eff}}(\pi T/2)$ vs. $D_{\text{eff}}(\pi \sqrt{2}T/2)$ (left) and $D_{\text{eff}}(\pi \sqrt{2}T/2)$ vs. $D_{\text{eff}}(\pi \sqrt{3}T/2)$ (right). Acceptable D_{eff} values are represented with a histogram, where each bin is colored according to the minimum χ^2 per degree of freedom found in it. The diagonal is represented as a dashed line.

which exclusively probes the photon rate, rather than receiving contributions from the whole (ω, k) dependence of the spectral function [7].

Acknowledgments: Computing resources for this project were provided by the Clover and Himster II HPC clusters at Helmholtz-Institut Mainz and Mogon II at JGU Mainz. We acknowledge the use of computing time on the JUGENE and JUQUEEN computers at FZ Jülich allocated under the grant HMZ21. This work was supported in part by DFG Grant ME 3622/2-2, by the European Research Council (ERC) through Grant Agreement No. 771971-SIMDAMA and by DFG – project number 315477589 – TRR 211.

References

- [1] B. B. Brandt, A. Francis, T. Harris, H. B. Meyer and A. Steinberg, *An estimate for the thermal photon rate from lattice QCD, EPJ Web Conf.* **175** (2018) 07044 [1710.07050].
- [2] L. D. McLerran and T. Toimela, Photon and Dilepton Emission from the Quark Gluon Plasma: Some General Considerations, Phys. Rev. D31 (1985) 545.
- [3] P. Fritzsch, F. Knechtli, B. Leder, M. Marinkovic, S. Schaefer, R. Sommer et al., *The strange quark mass and Lambda parameter of two flavor QCD*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B865** (2012) 397 [1205.5380].
- [4] P. B. Arnold, G. D. Moore and L. G. Yaffe, *Transport coefficients in high temperature gauge theories*.
 2. Beyond leading log, JHEP 05 (2003) 051 [hep-ph/0302165].
- [5] G. Jackson and M. Laine, Testing thermal photon and dilepton rates, 1910.09567.
- [6] J. Ghiglieri, O. Kaczmarek, M. Laine and F. Meyer, *Lattice constraints on the thermal photon rate*, *Phys. Rev.* **D94** (2016) 016005 [1604.07544].
- [7] H. B. Meyer, *Euclidean correlators at imaginary spatial momentum and their relation to the thermal photon emission rate*, *Eur. Phys. J.* A54 (2018) 192 [1807.00781].
- [8] P. B. Arnold, G. D. Moore and L. G. Yaffe, Photon emission from quark gluon plasma: Complete leading order results, JHEP 12 (2001) 009 [hep-ph/0111107].
- [9] S. Caron-Huot, P. Kovtun, G. D. Moore, A. Starinets and L. G. Yaffe, *Photon and dilepton production in supersymmetric Yang-Mills plasma*, JHEP 12 (2006) 015 [hep-th/0607237].