

Thermal deactivation of asbestos-cement, recycling, eco-compatibility and sustainability

Capitani G.¹, Viti C.², Ferrini S.³, Negri I.⁴, Mauri M.⁵, Bizjan B.⁶, Bernasconi A.⁷, Pavese M.⁸, Turcato M.¹, Marian M.² & Magnani N.*¹

¹ Dipartimento di Scienze dell'Ambiente e della Terra, Università di Milano-Bicocca. ² Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, della Terra e dell'Ambiente, Università di Siena. ³ Dipartimento Scienze Politiche e Internazionali, Università di Siena.

⁴ Dipartimento di Scienze delle Produzioni Vegetali Sostenibili (DIPROVES), Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Piacenza. ⁵ Dipartimento di Scienza dei Materiali, Università di Milano-Bicocca. ⁶ Laboratory for Water and Turbine Machines, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia. ⁷ Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, Università di Torino. ⁸ Dipartimento di Scienza Applicata e Tecnologia, Politecnico di Torino.

Corresponding author email: giancarlo.capitani@unimib.it

Keywords: asbestos-cement, thermal inertization, recycling.

Asbestos-cement, i.e. the hazardous construction material still widespread in the built environment, can be efficiently deactivated by thermal processes and recycled in a variety of commodities as secondary raw material. Tests have been successfully conducted in sanitary ware ceramics, mortar, rockwool and polymers (including eco-friendly polylactic acid, PLA). Among these, the most promising concern: mortar, where up to 7 wt. % of deactivated asbestos cement (DAC) can be added without compromising the workability of the mixture and slightly improving the mechanical properties of the final product; epoxy resin, where up to 30 wt.% of DAC can be embedded improving hardness and wear resistance of the composite; stonewool, where a mixture of 50:50 diabase:DAC can be fiberized obtaining mechanical and thermodynamical properties comparable to commercial stonewool (Capitani et al., 2024a, 2024b and references therein). Additional tests are currently in progress with construction bricks, geopolymers and cement.

However, for a process to be upscaled at industrial level, safety of the deactivated asbestos cement and sustainability of the whole process have to be assessed. In this regard, the results of a recent study (Negri et al., 2025) about the effects of DAC on the soil ecosystem through the soil model organism *Folsomia candida* (Collembola), suggest that improper disposal or soil contamination by DAC may pose ecological risks, reinforcing the conviction that recycling DAC into stable matrices, such as ceramics or mortar, minimizes environmental contamination while promoting circular economy. Moreover, the results of life cycle assessment combined with cost-benefit analysis (Ferrini et al., 2024), suggest that deactivation technologies may represent a sustainable alternative to landfilling, although their success is dependent on securing public funding, a thorough examination of hidden environmental costs and risks, and the establishment of supportive regulations promoting circular economy. All together these findings suggest that the time is ripe to make asbestos treatment options a global reality.

Capitani G. et al. (2024a) - Recycling thermally deactivated asbestos cement in mortar: A possible route towards a rapid conclusion of the “asbestos problem”. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 355, 120507, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2024.120507>.

Capitani G. et al. (2024b) - Recycling thermally detoxified asbestos-cement in stone-wool: An end-less-life material! *Construction and Building Materials*, 440, 137351, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2024.137351>.

Ferrini S. et al. (2024) - Assessing the economic impact of the thermal inertization of asbestos waste with an Italian case study. *Academia Environmental Sciences and Sustainability*, 1, <https://doi.org/10.20935/AcadEnvSci7398>.

Negri I. et al. (2025) - Ecotoxicological impact of deactivated asbestos-cement on soil ecosystems. *Waste Management*, 202, 114825, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2025.114825>.